

Case Number:	CM15-0112885		
Date Assigned:	06/19/2015	Date of Injury:	05/19/2014
Decision Date:	07/21/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/01/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/11/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: Connecticut, California, Virginia
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 57 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 5/19/14. The injured worker was diagnosed as having lumbar herniated nucleus pulposus. Treatment to the lower back was not discussed in the submitted medical records. Currently, the injured worker complains of lumbar pain with bilateral lower extremity pain. The treating physician requested authorization for a MRI of the lumbar spine.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

MRI of the lumbar spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints
 Page(s): 303-304.

Decision rationale: The MTUS discusses recommendations for MRI in unequivocal findings of specific nerve compromise on physical exam, in patients who do not respond to treatment, and who would consider surgery an option. Absent red flags or clear indications for surgery, a clear indication for MRI is not supported by the provided documents. The medical records are focused on a knee injury and there is no appreciable history of attempted conservative management of back pain. Without further indication for imaging, the request for MRI at this time is not medically necessary per the guidelines.

