

Case Number:	CM15-0110932		
Date Assigned:	06/17/2015	Date of Injury:	05/12/1998
Decision Date:	07/16/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/19/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/09/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Emergency Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 53 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on 5/12/98. The injured worker was diagnosed as having chronic intractable pain, status post intrathecal pump implantation, failed back syndrome with continued severe lumbar pain and lumbar radiculopathy left greater than right, and lumbago. Currently, the injured worker was with complaints of low back pain. Previous treatments included physical therapy, acupuncture treatment, injection therapy, and status post lumbar fusion, and status post intrathecal pump implantation, home exercise program and medication management. The injured workers pain level was noted as 10/10 without medication and 6-7/10 with medication. The plan of care was for medication prescriptions.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Oxycodone HCL 10mg #210 1-2 every 4 hours as needed for pain: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, On-Going Management, Pages 78-80, Opioids for Chronic Pain, Pages 80-82 Page(s): 78-82.

Decision rationale: The requested Oxycodone HCL 10mg #210 1-2 every 4 hours as needed for pain, is not medically necessary. CA MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines, Opioids, On-Going Management, Pages 78-80, Opioids for Chronic Pain, Pages 80-82, recommend continued use of this opiate for the treatment of moderate to severe pain, with documented objective evidence of derived functional benefit, as well as documented opiate surveillance measures. The injured worker has low back pain. Previous treatments included physical therapy, acupuncture treatment, injection therapy, and status post lumbar fusion, and status post intrathecal pump implantation, home exercise program and medication management. The injured workers pain level was noted as 10/10 without medication and 6-7/10 with medication. The treating physician has not documented VAS pain quantification with and without medications, duration of treatment, objective evidence of derived functional benefit such as improvements in activities of daily living or reduced work restrictions or decreased reliance on medical intervention, nor measures of opiate surveillance including an executed narcotic pain contract or urine drug screening. The criteria noted above not having been met, Oxycodone HCL 10mg #210 1-2 every 4 hours as needed for pain is not medically necessary.