

Case Number:	CM15-0110803		
Date Assigned:	06/17/2015	Date of Injury:	05/25/2012
Decision Date:	07/21/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/12/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/09/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California, Texas, Florida

Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology, Pain Management, Hospice & Palliative Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 51-year-old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 5/25/12. He reported pain in his neck and lower back after lifting a heavy object. The injured worker was diagnosed as having left lower extremity radiculopathy, lumbar degenerative disc disease and cervical degenerative disc disease. Treatment to date has included a cervical and lumbar MRI, physical therapy, a cervical discectomy and an NCS study of the lower extremities. Current medications include Neurontin, Zanaflex and Norco since at least 3/26/14. On 1/8/15, the treating physician noted a positive straight leg raise test bilaterally and diminished sensation in the left lateral leg. As of the PR2 dated 3/9/15, the injured worker reports ongoing low back and neck pain. He stated that he is using Norco three times a day and pain is decreased from an 8/10 to a 3/10. The treating physician requested Norco 10/325mg #90.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, criteria for use.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 9792. 20-9792. 26 Page(s): 44, 47, 75-79, 120 of 127.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for Norco (hydrocodone/acetaminophen), California Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that Norco is an opiate pain medication. Due to high abuse potential, close follow-up is recommended with documentation of analgesic effect, objective functional improvement, side effects, and discussion regarding any aberrant use. Guidelines go on to recommend discontinuing opioids if there is no documentation of improved function and pain. Within the documentation available for review, there is no indication that the medication is improving the patient's function (in terms of specific examples of functional improvement), no documentation regarding side effects, and no discussion regarding aberrant use. As such, there is no clear indication for ongoing use of the medication. Opioids should not be abruptly discontinued, but fortunately, the last reviewer modified the current request to allow tapering. In light of the above issues, the currently requested Norco (hydrocodone/acetaminophen) is not medically necessary.