

Case Number:	CM15-0019182		
Date Assigned:	02/09/2015	Date of Injury:	08/11/2008
Decision Date:	03/27/2015	UR Denial Date:	01/22/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	02/02/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Chiropractic

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 60 year old male who sustained an industrial related injury on 8/11/08. The injured worker had complaints of back and right foot pain. Physical examination findings included pelvic tilt with mild compensatory scoliosis. Right foot arch more collapsed than the left arch. Tenderness in the medial fascial region of the right foot and moderate tenderness to the left side of the lumbar spine with moderate spasm was also noted. The diagnosis was noted to be disc disease of the lumbar spine and lumbar radiculopathy. Treatment included motion control orthotics. The treating physician requested chiropractic adjustment x4 for the lumbar spine. On 1/22/15 the request was non-certified. The utilization review physician cited the Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule guidelines and noted the injured worker had completed at least 12 visits of chiropractic care and physical therapy. There was no evidence of objective functional improvement from the 12 visits of treatments already provided. Therefore the request is non-certified.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Chiro Adjustment x4 Visits Lumbar: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Manual Therapy and Manipulation Page(s): 58-60.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Manual Therapy and Manipulation Page(s): 58. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation ODG Low Back Chapter, Manipulation Section/MTUS Definitions

Decision rationale: The patient has received prior chiropractic care for his low back injury. The MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines recommends additional manipulative care with evidence of objective functional improvement. The ODG Low Back Chapter for Recurrences/flare-ups states : "Need to re-evaluate treatment success, if RTW achieved then 1-2 visits every 4-6 months when there is evidence of significant functional limitations on exam that are likely to respond to repeat chiropractic care." The MTUS-Definitions page 1 defines functional improvement as a "clinically significant improvement in activities of daily living or a reduction in work restrictions as measured during the history and physical exam, performed and documented as part of the evaluation and management visit billed under the Official Medical Fee Schedule (OMFS) pursuant to Sections 9789.10-9789.11; and a reduction in the dependency on continued medical treatment." The PTP describes some Improvements with treatment but no objective measurements are listed. The one PR2 report contained in the records provided by the treating chiropractor does not evidence objective functional improvement as defined by The MTUS definitions. The records provided by the primary treating chiropractor do not show objective functional improvements with ongoing chiropractic treatments rendered. I find that the 4 additional chiropractic sessions requested to the lumbar spine to not be medically necessary and appropriate.