

Case Number:	CM15-0017597		
Date Assigned:	02/05/2015	Date of Injury:	11/22/2013
Decision Date:	03/30/2015	UR Denial Date:	12/29/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	01/29/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 62 year old male sustained a work related injury on 11/22/2013. According to documents submitted for review, the injured worker's last therapy session was completed on 12/08/2014. According to a progress report dated 12/08/2014, the injured worker reported increased symptoms since his last appointment. He finished therapy but only noticed temporary relief. He rated right hand and middle finger pain 4-5 on a scale of 0-10 with swelling, numbness, tingling and weakness and right elbow pain with radiating pain to the shoulder. Diagnoses included right middle finger volar ulnar laceration at the proximal interphalangeal joint level 1, right Guyon's Canal Syndrome and right Cubital Tunnel Syndrome. The injured worker was temporarily totally disabled. On 12/29/2014, Utilization Review non-certified occupational therapy right middle finger 12 sessions. According to the Utilization Review physician, the most recent report dated 12/08/2014, stated that the injured worker had minimal improvement. He had completed 12 therapy visits to date. There was no therapy notes available for review to determine the efficacy of therapy. Guidelines cited for this requested included CA MTUS Post-Surgical Rehabilitation, Forearm, Hand and Wrist. The decision was appealed for an Independent Medical Review.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Occupational Therapy-right middle finger (12 sessions): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Postsurgical Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine Page(s): 98.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, Physical Medicine is "Recommended as indicated below. Passive therapy (those treatment modalities that do not require energy expenditure on the part of the patient) can provide short term relief during the early phases of pain treatment and are directed at controlling symptoms such as pain, inflammation and swelling and to improve the rate of healing soft tissue injuries. They can be used sparingly with active therapies to help control swelling, pain and inflammation during the rehabilitation process. Active therapy is based on the philosophy that therapeutic exercise and/or activity are beneficial for restoring flexibility, strength, endurance, function, range of motion, and can alleviate discomfort. Active therapy requires an internal effort by the individual to complete a specific exercise or task. This form of therapy may require supervision from a therapist or medical provider such as verbal, visual and/or tactile instruction(s). Patients are instructed and expected to continue active therapies at home as an extension of the treatment process in order to maintain improvement levels. Home exercise can include exercise with or without mechanical assistance or resistance and functional activities with assistive devices. (Colorado, 2002) (Airaksinen, 2006) Patient-specific hand therapy is very important in reducing swelling, decreasing pain, and improving range of motion in CRPS. (Li, 2005) The use of active treatment modalities (e.g., exercise, education, activity modification) instead of passive treatments is associated with substantially better clinical outcomes. In a large case series of patients with low back pain treated by physical therapists, those adhering to guidelines for active rather than passive treatments incurred fewer treatment visits, cost less, and had less pain and less disability. The overall success rates were 64.7% among those adhering to the active treatment recommendations versus 36.5% for passive treatment. (Fritz, 2007)." There is no documentation of the efficacy and outcome of previous physical therapy sessions. The patient underwent 12 sessions of physical therapy without clear documentation of efficacy. According to the progress report dated December 8, 2014, the patient reported minimal improvement with previous physical therapy sessions. There is no documentation that the patient cannot perform home exercise. Therefore, Occupational Therapy-right middle finger (12 sessions) is not medically necessary.