

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0017539		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	02/05/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	04/30/2013
<b>Decision Date:</b>	03/30/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	12/31/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	01/29/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 40 year old male, who sustained a work/ industrial injury while cutting sheet metal on 4/30/13 with left middle finger distal tip amputation. He has reported symptoms of left middle finger pain with numbness and paresthesias. Past medical history was noncontributory. The diagnoses have included chronic pain. Treatment to date has included a digital block, splint, medications. Examination reported scarring to left distal middle finger, tender with hyperalgesia and hyperesthesia and decreased sensation. There was 70% relief with Norco medications along with self-care and dressing and 30% improvement with capsaicin and Gabapentin. Medications included Norco, Gabapentin, and Capsaicin cream to treat the neuropathic and chronic pain to the left middle finger. On 12/31/14, Utilization Review modified Norco 10/325 mg #120 x 3 refills to Norco 10/325 mg #60 with 0 refills; Capsaicin Cream 1% #1 tubes x 2 refills was non-certified; and Gabapentin 800 mg #90 x 2 refills is certified, noting the California Medical treatment Utilization Schedule (MTUS) Guidelines.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Norco 10/325mg #120 with 3 refills: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines When to Continue Opioids.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids Page(s): 76-79.

**Decision rationale:** According to MTUS guidelines, Norco (Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen) is a synthetic opioid indicated for the pain management but not recommended as a first line oral analgesic. In addition and according to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules: (a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework. According to the patient file, there is no objective documentation of pain and functional improvement to justify continuous use of Norco. Norco was used for longtime without documentation of functional improvement or evidence of return to work or improvement of activity of daily living. Therefore, the prescription of Norco 10/325mg #120 with 3 refills is not medically necessary.

**Capsaicin Cream 1% #1-1 1/2 tubes x 2 refills:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111.

**Decision rationale:** According to MTUS, in Chronic Pain Medical Treatment guidelines section Topical Analgesics (page 111), topical analgesics are largely experimental in use with few randomized controlled trials to determine efficacy or safety. Many agents are combined to other pain medications for pain control. That is limited research to support the use of many of these agents. Furthermore, according to MTUS guidelines, any compounded product that contains at least one drug or drug class that is not recommended is not recommended. There is no evidence that Capsaicin is recommended as topical analgesics for chronic pain. Capsaicin, a topical analgesic is not recommended by MTUS guidelines. Based on the above Capsaicin Cream 1% #1-1 1/2 tubes x 2 refills is not medically necessary.