

Case Number:	CM15-0017503		
Date Assigned:	02/06/2015	Date of Injury:	09/04/2014
Decision Date:	03/30/2015	UR Denial Date:	01/20/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	01/29/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 19 year old female who sustained an industrial related injury on 9/4/14 after a pallet dropped onto her right foot. An x-ray was noted to have revealed a fractured 1st digit. The injured worker had complaints of right foot pain. Physical examination findings included an altered gait, weakness to the extensor hallucis longus and intact sensation. A MRI was negative for fractures, dislocations or signs of degenerative joint disease. The Diagnosis was contusion of the right foot. Treatment included a right foot DepoMedrol injection and physical therapy. The treating physician requested authorization for a work hardening program 2 times per week for 2 weeks for the right foot. On 1/20/15, the request was non-certified. The utilization review physician cited the Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule (MTUS) guidelines and noted a defined return to work goal, documented specific job to return to, and documented on the job training must be provided to meet MTUS guideline criteria. In this case, the injured worker does not meet the criteria. Therefore, the request was non-certified.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Work Hardening Program 2xwk X 2wks Right Foot: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Work conditioning, Work Hardening Page(s): 125.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Work conditioning, work hardening Page(s): 125.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, Work conditioning, work hardening. Recommended as an option, depending on the availability of quality programs. Criteria for admission to a Work Hardening Program: (1) Work related musculoskeletal condition with functional limitations precluding ability to safely achieve current job demands, which are in the medium or higher demand level (i.e., not clerical/sedentary work). An FCE may be required showing consistent results with maximal effort, demonstrating capacities below an employer verified physical demands analysis (PDA). (2) After treatment with an adequate trial of physical or occupational therapy with improvement followed by plateau, but not likely to benefit from continued physical or occupational therapy, or general conditioning. (3) Not a candidate where surgery or other treatments would clearly be warranted to improve function. (4) Physical and medical recovery sufficient to allow for progressive reactivation and participation for a minimum of 4 hours a day for three to five days a week. (5) A defined return to work goal agreed to by the employer & employee: (a) A documented specific job to return to with job demands that exceed abilities, OR (b) Documented on-the-job training. (6) The worker must be able to benefit from the program (functional and psychological limitations that are likely to improve with the program). Approval of these programs should require a screening process that includes file review, interview and testing to determine likelihood of success in the program. (7) The worker must be no more than 2 years past date of injury. Workers that have not returned to work by two years post injury may not benefit. (8) Program timelines: Work Hardening Programs should be completed in 4 weeks consecutively or less. (9) Treatment is not supported for longer than 1-2 weeks without evidence of patient compliance and demonstrated significant gains as documented by subjective and objective gains and measurable improvement in functional abilities. (10) Upon completion of a rehabilitation program (e.g. work hardening, work conditioning, outpatient medical rehabilitation) neither re-enrollment in nor repetition of the same or similar rehabilitation program is medically warranted for the same condition or injury. In this case, a defined return to work goal, documented specific job to return to, and documented on the job training must be provided. Based on the above, the request is not certified.