

Case Number:	CM15-0017405		
Date Assigned:	02/12/2015	Date of Injury:	08/30/1995
Decision Date:	03/30/2015	UR Denial Date:	12/31/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	01/29/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Indiana

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 50 year old man sustained an industrial injury on 8/30/1995 to his low back when a hose blew up during hose testing. Treatment has included oral medication, activity modification, heat, ice, physical therapy, and traction. Physician notes, from a consultation with pain specialists, dated 12/18/2014 show neck pain that has worsened. Recommendations include facet injection to the cervical levels listed. On 12/31/2014, Utilization Review evaluated a prescription for bilateral cervical medial branch blocks at C3, C4, C5, and C6, that was submitted on 1/26/2015. The UR physician noted there was no documentation indicating cervical facet mediated pain. The documentation supports pain to the lumbar spine. The MTUS, ACOEM Guidelines, (or ODG) was cited. The request was denied and subsequently appealed to Independent Medical Review.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Outpatient Bilateral Cervical Medial Branch Blocks C3, C4, C5, C6: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Neck and Upper Back, facet joint diagnostic blocks

Decision rationale: MTUS is silent concerning cervical medial branch blocks. ODG recommends "Criteria for the use of diagnostic blocks for facet nerve pain: Clinical presentation should be consistent with facet joint pain, signs & symptoms.1. One set of diagnostic medial branch blocks is required with a response of 70%. The pain response should be approximately 2 hours for Lidocaine.2. Limited to patients with cervical pain that is non-radicular and at no more than two levels bilaterally.3. There is documentation of failure of conservative treatment (including home exercise, PT and NSAIDs) prior to the procedure for at least 4-6 weeks.4. No more than 2 joint levels are injected in one session (see above for medial branch block levels).5. Recommended volume of no more than 0.5 cc of injectate is given to each joint, with recent literature suggesting a volume of 0.25 cc to improve diagnostic accuracy.6. No pain medication from home should be taken for at least 4 hours prior to the diagnostic block and for 4 to 6 hours afterward.7. Opioids should not be given as a 'sedative' during the procedure.8. The use of IV sedation may be grounds to negate the results of a diagnostic block, and should only be given in cases of extreme anxiety.9. The patient should document pain relief with an instrument such as a VAS scale, emphasizing the importance of recording the maximum pain relief and maximum duration of pain. The patient should also keep medication use and activity logs to support subjective reports of better pain control.10. Diagnostic facet blocks should not be performed in patients in whom a surgical procedure is anticipated.11. Diagnostic facet blocks should not be performed in patients who have had a previous fusion procedure at the planned injection level.12. It is currently not recommended to perform facet blocks on the same day of treatment as epidural steroid injections or stellate ganglion blocks or sympathetic blocks or trigger point injections as this may lead to improper diagnosis or unnecessary treatment" The treating physician's request for medial branch block C3-6 is for greater than two joint levels bilaterally and there is no documentation of failure of conservative treatment (including home exercise, PT and NSAIDs). As such the request for medial branch block C3-6 is not medically necessary.