

Case Number:	CM15-0017240		
Date Assigned:	02/05/2015	Date of Injury:	02/20/1998
Decision Date:	03/23/2015	UR Denial Date:	01/20/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	01/29/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
State(s) of Licensure: California
Certification(s)/Specialty: Emergency Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 55 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on 2/20/98. The injured worker reported symptoms in the back. The diagnoses included thoracic/lumbar neuritis/radiculitis unspecified, insomnia, unspecified, lumbosacral spondylosis without myelopathy, post laminectomy syndrome lumbar region. Treatments to date include oral pain medications, duragesic patches, and physical therapy. In a progress note dated 1/2/15 the treating provider reports the injured worker was with back pain described as "constant shooting and throbbing" also noting "The pain radiates to the bilateral hips and right hip." On 1/20/15 Utilization Review non-certified the request for morphine sulfate immediate release 15 milligrams quantity of 240 modified to morphine sulfate immediate release 15 milligrams quantity of 60. The MTUS, ACOEM Guidelines, (or ODG) was cited.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

MSIR 15mg #240: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines
Neuropathic pain: Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, On-Going Management, Pages 78-80, Opioids for Chronic Pain, Pages 80-82 Page(s): Pages.

Decision rationale: The requested MSIR 15mg #240, is not medically necessary. CA MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines, Opioids, On-Going Management, Pages 78-80, Opioids for Chronic Pain, Pages 80-82, recommend continued use of this opiate for the treatment of moderate to severe pain, with documented objective evidence of derived functional benefit, as well as documented opiate surveillance measures. The injured worker has back pain described as "constant shooting and throbbing" also noting "The pain radiates to the bilateral hips and right hip." The treating physician has not documented VAS pain quantification with and without medications, duration of treatment, objective evidence of derived functional benefit such as improvements in activities of daily living or reduced work restrictions or decreased reliance on medical intervention, nor measures of opiate surveillance including an executed narcotic pain contract or urine drug screening. The criteria noted above not having been met, MSIR 15mg #240 is not medically necessary.