

Case Number:	CM15-0014463		
Date Assigned:	02/02/2015	Date of Injury:	06/23/2014
Decision Date:	03/25/2015	UR Denial Date:	01/23/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	01/26/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Georgia

Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 20 year old female who sustained a work related injury on June 23, 2014, when she fell off a 9 foot ladder and injured her back. She was diagnosed with a cervical strain and tear, lumbar strain, shoulder impingement, contusion of the spine and thoracic strain. Treatment included cervical and lumbar Magnetic Resonance Imagings, exercises and pain medication. Currently, the injured worker presents upon examination with persistent spinal pain in mid back radiating to the right side, and pain in the shoulder, lower legs, thorax and abdomen. On January 23, 2015, a request for a prescription for 90 tablets of Tramadol 50mg between January 21, 2015 and March 7, 2015, was modified to 19 tablets of Tramadol 50mg; a prescription for 60 tablets of Mobic 7.5 mg between January 21, 2015 and March 7, 2015, was non-certified; and a prescription for 100 tubes of Voltaren Gel (100gm) 1% between January 21, 2015 and March 7, 2015 was non-certified by Utilization Review, noting California Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule Guidelines.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Tramadol 50mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Tramadol Page(s): 83.

Decision rationale: Tramadol is not medically necessary. Tramadol is a centrally- acting opioid. Per MTUS page 83, opioids for osteoarthritis is recommended for short-term use after failure of first line non-pharmacologic and medication option including Acetaminophen and NSAIDS. Additionally, Page 79 of MTUS guidelines states that weaning of opioids are recommended if (a) there are no overall improvement in function, unless there are extenuating circumstances (b) continuing pain with evidence of intolerable adverse effects (c) decrease in functioning (d) resolution of pain (e) if serious non-adherence is occurring (f) the patient requests discontinuing. The claimant's medical records did not document that there was an overall improvement in function or a return to work with previous opioid therapy. In fact, the claimant continued to report pain. Given Tramadol is a synthetic opioid, it's use in this case is not medically necessary. The claimant has long-term use with this medication and there was a lack of improved function or return to work with this opioid and all other medications.

Mobic 7.5mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDS Page(s): 67.

Decision rationale: Mobic 7.5 mg #60 is not medically necessary. Per MTUS guidelines page 67, NSAIDS are recommended for osteoarthritis at the lowest dose for the shortest period in patients with moderate to severe pain so to prevent or lower the risk of complications associate with cardiovascular disease and gastrointestinal distress. The medical records do no document the length of time the claimant has been on Naproxen. Additionally, the claimant had previous use of NSAIDs. The medication is therefore not medically necessary.

Voltaren Gel (100g) of 100 tubes: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111-112.

Decision rationale: Voltaren Gel (100g) of 100 tubes is not medically necessary. According to California MTUS, 2009, chronic pain, page 111 California MTUS guidelines does not cover "topical analgesics that are largely experimental in use with a few randomized controlled trials to determine efficacy or safety. Any compounded product that contains at least one drug or drug

class that is not recommended, is not recommended." Additionally, Per CA MTUS page 111 states that topical analgesics such as diclofenac, is indicated for Osteoarthritis and tendinitis, in particular, that of the knee and elbow or other joints that are amenable to topical treatment. It is also recommended for short-term use (4-12 weeks). There is little evidence to utilize topical NSAIDs for treatment of pain associated with the spine, hip or shoulder. The limitation of use was not specified in the medical records. Additionally, there was not documentation of a contraindication to oral NSAID use; therefore compounded topical cream is not medically necessary.