

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0011752		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	01/29/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	11/05/2012
<b>Decision Date:</b>	03/18/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	12/16/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	01/20/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: California  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 33 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 11/5/12. The injured worker has complaints of low back pain with left leg complaints. He reports that he had seen a gastrointestinal specialist for the burning in his stomach and acid reflux. The documentation noted that he was recommended to have an endoscopy, which was has not been able to do at that time. The diagnoses have included grade 1 spondylolisthesis at L5-S1 with bilateral pars defects; lumbar herniation at L4-5 with left-sided neural foraminal narrowing; lumbar radiculopathy and adverse reaction to cortisone injection. According to the utilization review performed on 12/16/14, the requested Urology Consultation within MPN and Hydrocodone 10/325mg #90 has been non-certified and the request for a Follow-up in 6 weeks has been certified. ACOEM Guidelines, 2nd edition (text, page 127), Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines the Criteria for Use of opioids were used.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Hydrocodone 10/325mg #90:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, criteria for use. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation ACOEM Practice Guidelines, Chapter 7: Independent Medical Examinations and Consultations, page 127

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, page(s) 74-96.

**Decision rationale:** Per the MTUS Guidelines cited, opioid use in the setting of chronic, non-malignant, or neuropathic pain is controversial. Patients on opioids should be routinely monitored for signs of impairment and use of opioids in patients with chronic pain should be reserved for those with improved functional outcomes attributable to their use, in the context of an overall approach to pain management that also includes non-opioid analgesics, adjuvant therapies, psychological support, and active treatments (e.g., exercise). Submitted documents show no evidence that the treating physician is prescribing opioids in accordance to change in pain relief, functional goals with demonstrated improvement in daily activities, decreased in medical utilization or change in functional status. There is no evidence presented of random drug testing or utilization of pain contract to adequately monitor for narcotic safety, efficacy, and compliance. The MTUS provides requirements of the treating physician to assess and document for functional improvement with treatment intervention and maintenance of function that would otherwise deteriorate if not supported. From the submitted reports, there is no demonstrated evidence of specific functional benefit derived from the continuing use of opioids with persistent severe pain for this chronic injury without acute flare, new injury, or progressive deterioration. The Hydrocodone 10/325mg #90 is not medically necessary and appropriate.