

Case Number:	CM15-0011296		
Date Assigned:	01/28/2015	Date of Injury:	10/15/2009
Decision Date:	03/25/2015	UR Denial Date:	12/31/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	01/20/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California, District of Columbia, Maryland
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a male, who sustained an industrial injury on 10/15/2009. On provider visit dated 11/24/2014 the injured worker has reported pain in lumbar spine. He was noted to have tightness and stiffness at L4-L5 and L5 and S1 mostly on left side. The diagnoses have included Lumbar strain. Treatment to date has included Norco, Tizanidine and K-rub II cream. Treatment plan included medication refill, join a gym and follow up visit in six weeks. On 12/30/2014 Utilization Review non-certified Norco 7.5/325 mg #90, Tizanidine 2mg #45 and K-rub II cream. The CA MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines were cited.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 7.5/325mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 76-80, 91-94. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Opioids for chronic pain

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 78, 91.

Decision rationale: Per MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines p78 regarding ongoing management of opioids "Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: Pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or nonadherent) drug related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the '4 A's' (Analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and any aberrant drug-taking behaviors).The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework for documentation of the clinical use of these controlled drugs."Review of the available medical records reveals no documentation to support the medical necessity of norco nor any documentation addressing the '4 A's' domains, which is a recommended practice for the on-going management of opioids. Specifically, the notes do not appropriately review and document pain relief, functional status improvement, appropriate medication use, or side effects. The MTUS considers this list of criteria for initiation and continuation of opioids in the context of efficacy required to substantiate medical necessity, and they do not appear to have been addressed by the treating physician in the documentation available for review. Furthermore, efforts to rule out aberrant behavior (e.g. CURES report, UDS, opiate agreement) are necessary to assure safe usage and establish medical necessity. It is documented that UDS was performed 10/2014, however, the report was not included for review and results were not disclosed. As MTUS recommends to discontinue opioids if there is no overall improvement in function, medical necessity cannot be affirmed.

Tizadine 2mg #45: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle Relaxants (for pain) Page(s): 63-66.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Antispasticity/antispasmodic drugs Page(s): 66.

Decision rationale: Per MTUS CPMTG p66 "Tizanidine is a centrally acting alpha2-adrenergic agonist that is FDA approved for management of spasticity; unlabeled use for low back pain. (Malanga, 2008) Eight studies have demonstrated efficacy for low back pain. (Chou, 2007) One study (conducted only in females) demonstrated a significant decrease in pain associated with chronic myofascial pain syndrome and the authors recommended its use as a first line option to treat myofascial pain."The documentation submitted for review indicates that the injured worker has been using this medication since at least 9/2014. As it is only recommended for short-term use, the request is not medically necessary.

K-Rub II Cream 60gm #1: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111-113.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical analgesics Page(s): 60, 111-113.

Decision rationale: With regard to topical Ketoprofen, the MTUS CPMTG states "This agent is not currently FDA approved for a topical application. It has an extremely high incidence of photocontact dermatitis. (Diaz, 2006) (Hindsen, 2006)." K-Rub-II cream contains ketoprofen, cyclobenzaprine, lidocaine, baclofen, and gabapentin. Per MTUS CPMTG p113, "There is no evidence for use of any other muscle relaxant as a topical product." Cyclobenzaprine is not indicated. With regard to lidocaine MTUS p 112 states "Further research is needed to recommend this treatment for chronic neuropathic pain disorders and other than post-herpetic neuralgia" and "Non-neuropathic pain: Not recommended. There is only one trial that tested 4% lidocaine for treatment of chronic muscle pain. The results showed there was no superiority over placebo. (Scudds, 1995)" The injured worker has not been diagnosed with post-herpetic neuralgia. Lidocaine is not indicated. Per MTUS p113 with regard to topical baclofen, "Baclofen: Not recommended. There is currently one Phase III study of Baclofen-Amitriptyline-Ketamine gel in cancer patients for treatment of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. There is no peer-reviewed literature to support the use of topical baclofen. Other muscle relaxants: There is no evidence for use of any other muscle relaxant as a topical product." Baclofen is not indicated. Per MTUS p113 with regard to topical gabapentin: "Not recommended. There is no peer-reviewed literature to support use." The results showed there was no superiority over placebo. (Scudds, 1995) Regarding the use of multiple medications, MTUS p60 states "Only one medication should be given at a time, and interventions that are active and passive should remain unchanged at the time of the medication change. A trial should be given for each individual medication. Analgesic medications should show effects within 1 to 3 days, and the analgesic effect of antidepressants should occur within 1 week. A record of pain and function with the medication should be recorded. (Mens, 2005) The recent AHRQ review of comparative effectiveness and safety of analgesics for osteoarthritis concluded that each of the analgesics was associated with a unique set of benefits and risks, and no currently available analgesic was identified as offering a clear overall advantage compared with the others." Therefore, it would be optimal to trial each medication individually. Note the statement on page 111: Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended. As several of the agents in this compound are not recommended, the request is not medically necessary.