

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0109807		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	06/16/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	06/06/2014
<b>Decision Date:</b>	07/15/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	05/22/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	06/08/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: California  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 35 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 6/06/2014. He reported acute low back pain from lifting activity. Diagnoses include lumbosacral sprain/strain, lumbar disc without myelopathy, radiculitis and sacroiliac dislocation/subluxation. Treatments to date include medication management, heat therapy, stretching, physical therapy, chiropractic therapy, TENS unit and acupuncture treatments. Currently, he complained of low back pain, increased approximately two weeks previous requiring presentation to the Emergency Department. On 4/10/15, the physical examination documented tenderness from T8 through S1 with muscles spasms. Current medications included Naproxen, Norco, Tramadol, Cyclobenzaprine and Omeprazole. The plan of care included Omeprazole 20mg #60.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Omeprazole 20mg #60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI Symptoms and Cardiovascular risk, Pages 68-69.

**Decision rationale:** Prilosec (Omeprazole) medication is for treatment of the problems associated with erosive esophagitis from GERD, or in patients with hypersecretion diseases. Per MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines, the patient does not meet criteria for Omeprazole (Prilosec) namely reserved for patients with history of prior GI bleeding, the elderly (over 65 years), diabetics, and chronic cigarette smokers. Submitted reports have not described or provided any GI diagnosis that meets the criteria to indicate medical treatment. Review of the records show no documentation of any history, symptoms, or GI diagnosis to warrant this medication. The Omeprazole 20mg #60 is not medically necessary and appropriate.