

Case Number:	CM15-0105530		
Date Assigned:	06/09/2015	Date of Injury:	01/30/2013
Decision Date:	07/28/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/26/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/01/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Chiropractor, Oriental Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 48-year-old male with an industrial injury dated 01/30/2013. The injured worker's diagnoses include right shoulder pain, right elbow pain, neck pain, right knee pain and history of operative fixation to the right shoulder/ right elbow. Treatment consisted of diagnostic studies, prescribed medications, and periodic follow up visits. In a progress note dated 05/11/2015, the injured worker reported pain. The injured worker rated pain at best a 5-6/10 with medications. The injured worker also reported that the longer he stands the more he will have swelling on the lateral aspect of the right knee. Objective findings revealed tenderness about the right fibular head and tenderness along the lateral joint line. The treating physician prescribed services for acupuncture x 8 now under review.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Acupuncture x 8: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

Decision rationale: Provider requested initial trial of 8 acupuncture sessions which were modified to 6 by the utilization review. Per guidelines, 3-6 treatments are supported for initial course of Acupuncture with evidence of functional improvement prior to consideration of additional care. Requested visits exceed the quantity of initial acupuncture visits supported by the cited guidelines. Additional visits may be rendered if the patient has documented objective functional improvement. MTUS- Definition 9792.20 (f) Functional improvement means either a clinically significant improvement in activities of daily living or a reduction in work restrictions as measured during the history and physical exam. Per guidelines and review of evidence, 8 Acupuncture visits are not medically necessary.