

Case Number:	CM15-0102153		
Date Assigned:	06/04/2015	Date of Injury:	04/29/2008
Decision Date:	07/07/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/08/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/28/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Texas, Florida, California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 51 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 04/29/2008. She has reported subsequent bilateral hand and shoulder pain and was diagnosed with bilateral shoulder impingement and carpal tunnel syndrome. Treatment to date has included oral and topical pain medication, steroid injections and rest. In a progress note dated 03/13/2015, the injured worker complained of bilateral hand pain radiating to the arms. Objective findings were notable for tenderness to palpation of the left and right shoulder, positive Hawkin's and Empty Can tests and positive swelling of the left shoulder and tenderness to palpation, positive Tinel's and Phalen's tests of the bilateral wrists, positive Finkelstein's test and swelling of the left wrist. A request for authorization of urine drug screen was submitted.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Retrospective urine drug screen: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Urine Drug Screen Page(s): 43.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 - 9792.26 MTUS (Effective July 18, 2009) Page(s): 43 of 127.

Decision rationale: This claimant was injured in 2008. There is oral medicine. There is continued hand pain. No drug issues are noted. Regarding urine drug testing, the MTUS notes in the Chronic Pain section: Recommended as an option, using a urine drug screen to assess for the use or the presence of illegal drugs. For more information, see Opioids, criteria for use: (2) Steps to Take Before a Therapeutic Trial of Opioids & (4) On-Going Management; Opioids, differentiation: dependence & addiction; Opioids, screening for risk of addiction (tests); & Opioids, steps to avoid misuse/addiction. There is no mention of suspicion of drug abuse, inappropriate compliance, poor compliance, drug diversion or the like. There is no mention of possible adulteration attempts. The patient appears to be taking the medicine as directed, with no indication otherwise. It is not clear what drove the need for this drug test. The request is not medically necessary and appropriately non-certified under MTUS criteria.