

Case Number:	CM15-0101340		
Date Assigned:	06/03/2015	Date of Injury:	09/22/2014
Decision Date:	07/02/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/06/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/27/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 44 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on September 22, 2014. The injured worker reported knee, left arm and back pain due to a fall. The injured worker was diagnosed as having knee injury. Treatment to date has included chiropractic, therapy, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), pain management and trigger point injections. A progress note dated February 14, 2015 provides the injured worker complains of right knee pain and locking with difficulty ambulating and left shoulder, arm and back pain. Physical exam notes right knee tenderness with swelling, decreased range of motion (ROM) and positive McMurray's test. Recommendation is for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). There is a request for physical therapy.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Physical Therapy three (3) times a week for six (6) weeks for the Right Knee: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Physical Medicine Guidelines - Work Conditioning.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Therapy, pages 98-99.

Decision rationale: Physical therapy is considered medically necessary when the services require the judgment, knowledge, and skills of a qualified physical therapist due to the complexity and sophistication of the therapy and the physical condition of the patient. However, there is no clear measurable evidence of deficits to support for further PT treatment beyond extensive sessions already rendered. Clinical reports submitted also had no focal neurological deficits or ADL limitation to support for further PT treatment. There is no evidence documenting functional baseline with clear goals to be reached and the patient striving to reach those goals. The Chronic Pain Guidelines allow for 9-10 visits of physical therapy with fading of treatment to an independent self-directed home program. It appears the employee has received significant therapy sessions without demonstrated evidence to allow for additional therapy treatments. There is no report of acute flare-up, new injuries, or change in symptom or clinical findings to support for formal PT in a patient that has been instructed on a home exercise program for this injury. Submitted reports have not adequately demonstrated the indication to support further physical therapy. The Physical Therapy three (3) times a week for six (6) weeks for the Right Knee is not medically necessary and appropriate.