

Case Number:	CM15-0100431		
Date Assigned:	06/02/2015	Date of Injury:	05/22/2012
Decision Date:	06/30/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/06/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/26/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 41 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 5/22/2012. She reported developing pain, numbness and tingling to bilateral wrists and hands from repetitive type activity. Diagnoses include carpal tunnel syndrome; status post bilateral carpal tunnel release. Treatments to date include medication management, post operative physical therapy and occupational therapy. Currently, she complained of pain, numbness and tingling in bilateral hands. On 4/14/15, the physical examination documented positive Tinel's test bilaterally. The request was for occupational therapy three times a week for four weeks for bilateral wrists and acupuncture treatments three times a week for four weeks.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Occupational Therapy 3x4 weeks for bilateral wrist: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine Page(s): 98-99.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Therapy, pages 98-99.

Decision rationale: There is history of carpal tunnel syndrome s/p release (undated). Recent therapy report noted OT visit #14. Occupational therapy is considered medically necessary when the services require the judgment, knowledge, and skills of a qualified occupational therapist due to the complexity and sophistication of the therapy and the physical condition of the patient. However, there is no clear measurable evidence of progress with the OT treatment already rendered including milestones of increased ROM, strength, and functional capacity. Review of submitted physician reports show no evidence of functional benefit, unchanged chronic symptom complaints, clinical findings, and functional status. There is no evidence documenting functional baseline with clear goals to be reached and the patient striving to reach those goals. The Chronic Pain Guidelines allow for 9-10 visits of occupational therapy with fading of treatment to an independent self-directed home program. It appears the employee has received significant therapy sessions without demonstrated evidence of functional improvement to allow for additional therapy treatments. There is no report of acute flare-up, new injuries, or change in symptom or clinical findings to support for formal OT in a patient that has been instructed on a home exercise program for this chronic injury. Submitted reports have not adequately demonstrated the indication to support further occupational therapy when prior treatment rendered has not resulted in any functional benefit. The Occupational Therapy 3x4 weeks for bilateral wrist is not medically necessary and appropriate.

Acupuncture 3x4 weeks for bilateral wrist: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints Page(s): 265, Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

Decision rationale: Current clinical exam show no specific physical impairments or clear dermatomal/ myotomal neurological deficits to support for treatment with acupuncture. There are no clear specific documented goals or objective measures to identify for improvement with a functional restoration approach for this injury with ongoing unchanged chronic pain complaints. MTUS, Acupuncture Guidelines recommend initial trial of conjunctive acupuncture visit of 3 to 6 treatment with further consideration upon evidence of objective functional improvement. Submitted reports have not demonstrated the medical indication to support this request or specific conjunctive therapy towards a functional restoration approach for acupuncture visits, beyond guidelines criteria. It is unclear how many acupuncture sessions the patient has received for this chronic injury nor what specific functional benefit if any were derived from treatment. Submitted reports have not demonstrated functional improvement or medical indication to support for additional acupuncture sessions. There are no specific objective changes in clinical findings, no report of acute flare-up or new injuries, nor is there any decrease in medication usage from conservative treatments already rendered. The Acupuncture 3x4 weeks for bilateral wrist is not medically necessary and appropriate.