

Case Number:	CM14-0089495		
Date Assigned:	09/10/2014	Date of Injury:	06/11/2011
Decision Date:	05/18/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/12/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/13/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Alabama, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 52-year-old male, who sustained an industrial injury on June 11, 2011. The diagnoses have included right upper cervical spine radiculopathy left shoulder, hand trauma and lumbar spine radiculopathy left less than right. Treatment to date was not indicated. Currently, the injured worker complains of neck pain and bilateral shoulder pain and low back pain consistent with numbness. In a progress note dated May 5, 2014, the treating provider reports the injured worker is awaiting authorization for L3-S1 laminectomy.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Ambien 10mg #20: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Treatment Index, 12th Edition (web), 2014, Pain, Zolpidem.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Non-Benzodiazepine sedative-hypnotics (Benzodiazepine-receptor agonists (<http://worklossdatainstitute.verioiponly.com/odgtwc/pain.htm>)).

Decision rationale: According to ODG guidelines, non-benzodiazepine sedative-hypnotics (benzodiazepine-receptor agonists) are first-line medications for insomnia. This class of medications includes zolpidem (Ambien and Ambien CR), zaleplon (Sonata), and eszopiclone (Lunesta). Benzodiazepine-receptor agonists work by selectively binding to type-1 benzodiazepine receptors in the CNS. All of the benzodiazepine-receptor agonists are schedule IV controlled substances, which means they have potential for abuse and dependency. Ambien is not recommended for long-term use to treat sleep problems. There no documentation characterizing the type of sleep issues in this case. Furthermore, there is no documentation of the use of non-pharmacologic treatment for the patient sleep issue if there is any. Therefore, the request is not medically necessary.