

Case Number:	CM14-0063014		
Date Assigned:	07/11/2014	Date of Injury:	03/27/2010
Decision Date:	06/02/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/15/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/05/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker (IW) is a 42 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on 03/27/2010. She reported low back, left shoulder and neck pain. The injured worker was diagnosed as having left shoulder tenosynovitis; cervical C6-7 Disc herniation, L-S Disc. Treatment to date has included injections. Currently, the injured worker complains of neck pain. The current request is for purchase of an over the door cervical traction unit.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Purchase 1 over the door cervical traction unit: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 173-174. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Neck & Upper Back.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Neck and Upper Back, Traction.

Decision rationale: MTUS is silent specifically regarding traction devices. ODG states, "Recommend home cervical patient controlled traction (using a seated over-the-door device or a supine device, which may be preferred due to greater forces), for patients with radicular symptoms, in conjunction with a home exercise program. Not recommend institutionally based powered traction devices. Several studies have demonstrated that home cervical traction can provide symptomatic relief in over 80% of patients with mild to moderately severe (Grade 3) cervical spinal syndromes with radiculopathy . . . For decades, cervical traction has been applied widely for pain relief of neck muscle spasm or nerve root compression. It is a technique in which a force is applied to a part of the body to reduce paravertebral muscle spasms by stretching soft tissues, and in certain circumstances separating facet joint surfaces or bony structures. Cervical traction is administered by various techniques ranging from supine mechanical motorized cervical traction to seated cervical traction using an over-the-door pulley support with attached weights. Duration of cervical traction can range from a few minutes to 30 min, once or twice weekly to several times per day. In general, over-the-door traction at home is limited to providing less than 20 pounds of traction." The treating physician does not document radicular or neurologic deficits in the upper extremities to justify traction at this time. As such, the request for Purchase 1 over the door cervical traction unit is not medically necessary.