

Case Number:	CM14-0219152		
Date Assigned:	01/09/2015	Date of Injury:	10/23/2003
Decision Date:	03/09/2015	UR Denial Date:	12/23/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	12/31/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a patient with a date of injury of October 23, 2003. A utilization review determination dated December 23, 2014 recommends noncertification of pantoprazole. A progress report dated July 21, 2014 indicates that the patient's medication improves your pain and function keeping her pain at a manageable level. The medications reduce her pain 30-50%. The patient uses Prilosec for upset stomach. The treatment plan recommends Prilosec 20 mg #30. Review of systems identifies heartburn. A progress report dated October 27, 2014 states that the patient denies heartburn or signs of G.I. bleeding. Pantoprazole 20 mg twice a day is prescribed.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Pantoprazole 20mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI Symptoms & Cardiovascular Risk.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 68-69 of 127. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Pain Chapter, Proton Pump Inhibitors (PPIs)

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for pantoprazole (Protonix), California MTUS states that proton pump inhibitors are appropriate for the treatment of dyspepsia secondary to NSAID therapy or for patients at risk for gastrointestinal events with NSAID use. Additionally, ODG recommends Nexium, Protonix, Dexilant, and AcipHex for use as 2nd line agents, after failure of omeprazole or lansoprazole. Within the documentation available for review, there is no recent documentation that the patient has complaints of dyspepsia secondary to NSAID use, a risk for gastrointestinal events with NSAID use, or another indication for this medication. Furthermore, there is no indication that the patient has failed first-line agents prior to initiating treatment with pantoprazole (a 2nd line proton pump inhibitor). The notes seem to indicate that omeprazole was working well. In the absence of clarity regarding those issues, the currently requested pantoprazole is not medically necessary.