

Case Number:	CM14-0217630		
Date Assigned:	01/07/2015	Date of Injury:	01/29/2014
Decision Date:	03/04/2015	UR Denial Date:	12/01/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	12/29/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & Gen Prev Med

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 42 year old male with a reported industrial injury on January 29, 2014, semi-truck accident where the injured worker was sleeping in the sleeper and was involved in a roll over and was thrown around the sleeper and items in the sleeper struck his body. The injured worker was seen on September 8, 2014 for follow-up visit with orthopedic surgeon. The presenting complaints included pain and discomfort in the low back with radiation down the leg and numbness and tingling noted. The physical exam of cervical spine was normal, the shoulder exam revealed slight decrease in range of motion to the right side and the lumbar spine revealed decreased range of motion due to pain and a positive sciatic notch. The medical treatment to date has included, right shoulder arthroscopy, anterior labral repair, acromioplasty, bursectomy and debridement of rotator cuff on June 6, 2014, physical therapy and steroid injections. Diagnoses are pain in joint, shoulder region, lumbago and sprain/strain of the shoulder and upper arm. The treatment plan is Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of lumbar spine, continue anti-inflammatory medication and home exercises. The injured worker is temporally totally disabled. On November 20, 2014 the provider requested Tens Unit on December 1, 2014, the Utilization Review non-certified the request, the decision was based on the California Medical treatment utilization schedule (MTUS) guidelines.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

TENS Unit: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints, Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines TENS.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Interferential Current Stimulation, Transcutaneous electrotherapy Page(s): 54, 114-116, 118-120. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation TENS chronic pain (transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation)

Decision rationale: MTUS states regarding TENS unit, "Not recommended as a primary treatment modality, but a one-month home-based TENS trial may be considered as a noninvasive conservative option, if used as an adjunct to a program of evidence-based functional restoration, for the conditions described below." For pain, MTUS and ODG recommend TENS (with caveats) for neuropathic pain, phantom limb pain and CRPSII, spasticity, and multiple sclerosis. The medical records do not indicate any of the previous conditions. ODG further outlines recommendations for specific body parts: Low back: Not recommended as an isolated intervention Knee: Recommended as an option for osteoarthritis as adjunct treatment to a therapeutic exercise program. Neck: Not recommended as a primary treatment modality for use in whiplash-associated disorders, acute mechanical neck disease or chronic neck disorders with radicular findings. Ankle and foot: Not recommended Elbow: Not recommended Forearm, Wrist and Hand: Not recommended Shoulder: Recommended for post-stroke rehabilitation ODG further details criteria for the use of TENS for Chronic intractable pain (for the conditions noted above): (1) Documentation of pain of at least three months duration (2) There is evidence that other appropriate pain modalities have been tried (including medication) and failed. (3) A one-month trial period of the TENS unit should be documented (as an adjunct to ongoing treatment modalities within a functional restoration approach) with documentation of how often the unit was used, as well as outcomes in terms of pain relief and function; rental would be preferred over purchase during this trial. (4) Other ongoing pain treatment should also be documented during the trial period including medication usage. (5) A treatment plan including the specific short- and long-term goals of treatment with the TENS unit should be submitted. (6) After a successful 1-month trial, continued TENS treatment may be recommended if the physician documents that the patient is likely to derive significant therapeutic benefit from continuous use of the unit over a long period of time. At this point purchase would be preferred over rental. (7) Use for acute pain (less than three months duration) other than post-operative pain is not recommended. (8) A 2-lead unit is generally recommended; if a 4-lead unit is recommended, there must be documentation of why this is necessary. The medical records do not satisfy the several criteria for selection specifically, lack of documented 1-month trial, lack of documented short-long term treatment goals with TENS unit, and unit use for acute (less than three months) pain. As such, the request for TENS Unit is not medically necessary.