

Case Number:	CM14-0217216		
Date Assigned:	01/07/2015	Date of Injury:	09/04/1996
Decision Date:	05/21/2015	UR Denial Date:	12/09/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	12/29/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: Pennsylvania, Ohio, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 63 year old male who sustained an industrial injury on September 4, 1996. He has reported injury to the knee and has been diagnosed with status post left knee arthroplasty and subcutaneous cyst, left knee. Treatment has included medications. Currently the injured worker complains of intermittent pain in the left knee. The treatment request included Norco, Ambien, and a urine drug screen.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325 mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids/Ongoing Management Page(s): 78.

Decision rationale: MTUS discusses in detail the 4 As of opioid management, emphasizing the importance of dose titration vs. functional improvement and documentation of objective,

verifiable functional benefit to support an indication for ongoing opioid use. The records in this case do not meet these 4As of opioid management and do not provide a rationale or diagnosis overall, for which ongoing opioid use is supported. Therefore, this request is not medically necessary.

Ambien 10 mg #30 with 2 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain/Insomnia Treatment.

Decision rationale: MTUS does not discuss this medication. Official Disability Guidelines/ Treatment in Workers' Compensation/Pain/ Insomnia Treatment do discuss Ambien/Zolpidem. This guideline notes that Zolpidem/Ambien is indicated for short-term use, generally up to 10 days. Treatment guidelines do not recommend this medication for ongoing or chronic use; the records in this case do not provide a rationale for an exception to this guideline. This request is not medically necessary.

One (1) urine drug screening: Overturned

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Urine Drug Testing Page(s): 43.

Decision rationale: MTUS recommends urine drug screening as an option. A prior physician review recommended non-certification of a current urine drug screen since opioids and been non-certified. However, drug screening would also be indicated to rule out the use of illegal or improperly obtained medications and to monitor compliance with a recommended drug taper. For these reasons, this request is medically necessary.