

Case Number:	CM14-0215027		
Date Assigned:	01/02/2015	Date of Injury:	02/26/1993
Decision Date:	03/04/2015	UR Denial Date:	12/10/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	12/22/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

62 year old with reported industrial injury of 2/26/93. Claimant is status post lumbar laminectomy and discectomy without fusion. Claimant is status post left total knee replacement 4/30/12. Exam note 11/26/14 demonstrates complaints of right knee pain. Exam demonstrates significant varus alignment of the knee. Range of motion is from 7 to 105 degrees. Normal quadriceps strength is noted. Radiographs demonstrate 95% loss of medial joint space. Sclerosis and osteophytes are noted. Request is made for right total knee replacement.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Right knee arthroplasty total replacement: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Indications for Surgery, Knee Arthroplasty and AAOS Clinical Guidelines

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Knee section, Arthroplasty

Decision rationale: CA MTUS/ACOEM is silent on the issue of total knee replacement. According to the Official Disability Guidelines regarding Knee arthroplasty: Criteria for knee joint replacement which includes conservative care with subjective findings including limited range of motion less than 90 degrees. In addition the patient should have a BMI of less than 35 and be older than 50 years of age. There must also be findings on standing radiographs of significant loss of chondral clear space. The clinical information submitted demonstrates insufficient evidence to support a knee arthroplasty in this patient. There is no documentation from the exam notes from 11/26/14 of increased pain with initiation of activity or weight bearing. There are no records in the chart documenting when physical therapy began or how many visits were attempted. There is no evidence in the cited examination notes of limited range of motion less than 90 degrees. There is no formal weight bearing radiographic report of degree of osteoarthritis. Therefore the guideline criteria have not been met and the determination is for non-certification.

Ice therapy unit: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Knee, Continuous flow cryotherapy

Decision rationale: As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate.