

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM14-0214580		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	01/07/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	12/17/2012
<b>Decision Date:</b>	02/28/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	12/16/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	12/22/2014

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: Texas, California  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a 49 year old male patient who sustained a work related injury on 12/17/12. The current diagnoses include abdominal pain and incisional hernia. Per the doctor's note dated 12/22/14, patient has complaints of abdominal pain at 7/10 that radiates in right leg and had blood clot in right LE. Physical examination revealed normal gait and tenderness on palpation on abdominal incision. The current medication lists include Norco and Terocin Patch. The patient's surgical history include bilateral inguinal and incisional hernia repair. The patient has received 10 PT visits for this injury.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Follow up visit:** Overturned

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation ACOEM Practice Guidelines, Chapter 7, IME and consultations.

**Decision rationale:** Request: Follow up visit Per the cited guidelines, "The occupational health practitioner may refer to other specialists if a diagnosis is uncertain or extremely complex, when psychosocial factors are present, or when the plan or course of care may benefit from additional expertise." Per the doctor's note dated 12/22/14, patient has complaints of abdominal pain at 7/10 that radiates in right leg and had a blood clot in right LE Physical examination revealed normal gait and tenderness on palpation on abdominal incision The patient's surgical history include bilateral inguinal and incisional hernia repair The pt. is taking controlled substances like Norco. This is a complex case. A 1 follow-up visit w/pain management specialist is deemed medically appropriate and necessary

**Norco 10/325mg #60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines opioids.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, criteria for use and therapeutic trial of Opioids Page(s): 76-80.

**Decision rationale:** Request: Norco 10/325mg #60 Norco contains Hydrocodone with APAP which is an opioid analgesic in combination with acetaminophen. According to CA MTUS guidelines cited below, "A therapeutic trial of opioids should not be employed until the patient has failed a trial of non-opioid analgesics. Before initiating therapy, the patient should set goals, and the continued use of opioids should be contingent on meeting these goals. The records provided do not specify that patient has set goals regarding the use of opioid analgesic. A treatment failure with non-opioid analgesics is not specified in the records provided. Other criteria for ongoing management of opioids are: The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. Continuing review of the overall situation with regard to nonopioid means of pain control. Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Consider the use of a urine drug screen to assess for the use or the presence of illegal drugs. The records provided do not provide a documentation of response in regards to pain control and functional improvement to opioid analgesic for this patient. The continued review of overall situation with regard to nonopioid means of pain control is not documented in the records provided. As recommended by MTUS a documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects should be maintained for ongoing management of opioid analgesic, these are not specified in the records provided. MTUS guidelines also recommend urine drug screen to assess for the use or the presence of illegal drugs in patients using opioids for long term. A recent urine drug screen report is not specified in the records provided. Whether improvement in pain translated into objective functional improvement including ability to work is not specified in the records provided with this, it is deemed that, this patient does not meet criteria for ongoing continued use of opioids analgesic. The medical necessity of Norco 10/325mg #60 is not established for this patient.