

Case Number:	CM14-0199600		
Date Assigned:	12/10/2014	Date of Injury:	02/10/2010
Decision Date:	01/28/2015	UR Denial Date:	11/06/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	12/01/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is licensed in Cardiology, has a subspecialty in Acupuncture and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 62 year old female who reported neck and wrist pain from injury sustained on 02/10/10. Patient is diagnosed with cervical spine discopathy and carpal tunnel syndrome. Patient has been treated with medication, physical therapy, acupuncture and epidural injection. Per medical notes dated 09/15/14, patient complains of neck pain radiating down the hand, which is 7/10. There was associated numbness and tingling in the bilateral upper extremity. Patient also reports occipital headaches. Examination revealed tenderness to palpation in the cervical midline as well as the occipital notch. Per medical notes dated 10/23/14, patient complains neck and back pain which was rated at 6-7/10. Examination revealed cervical spine spasm and tenderness as well as decreased range of motion. Provider requested additional 2X4 acupuncture treatment which were non-certified by the utilization review. Therefore, the Utilization Review decision was appealed for an Independent Medical Review.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Acupuncture with electrical stimulation, 2 x 4: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

Decision rationale: Per MTUS- Section 9792.24.1 Acupuncture Medical treatment Guidelines page 8-9. "Acupuncture is used as an option when pain medication is reduced and not tolerated, it may be used as an adjunct to physical rehabilitation and/or surgical intervention to hasten functional recovery". "Time to produce function improvement: 3-6 treatments. 2) Frequency: 1-3 times per week. 3) Optimum duration: 1-2 months. Acupuncture treatments may be extended if functional improvement is documented". Patient has had prior acupuncture treatment. Provider requested additional 2X4 acupuncture treatment which were non-certified by the utilization review. There is no assessment in the provided medical records of functional efficacy with prior acupuncture visits. Medical reports reveal little evidence of significant changes or improvement in findings, revealing a patient who has not achieved significant objective functional improvement to warrant additional treatment. Additional visits may be rendered if the patient has documented objective functional improvement. Per MTUS guidelines, Functional improvement means either a clinically significant improvement in activities of daily living or a reduction in work restrictions as measured during the history and physical exam or decrease in medication intake. Per review of evidence and guidelines, 2x4 acupuncture treatments are not medically necessary.