

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM14-0165059		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	10/10/2014	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	02/16/1998
<b>Decision Date:</b>	03/30/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	09/29/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	10/07/2014

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
State(s) of Licensure: New York, Tennessee  
Certification(s)/Specialty: Emergency Medicine

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 46 year old male who sustained a work related injury on February 16, 1998, incurring low back injuries working as a ranch hand and laborer. He complained of back pain and underwent back surgery for herniated discs and then a spinal fusion was performed in 2009. Treatment included narcotics, anti-inflammatory medications and psychotherapy for depression. Currently, the injured worker complained of increased low back pain radiating into the left lower extremity and cervical pain. He had been using an outdated malfunctioning Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) unit with no relief. Other treatments included pain medications and anti-inflammatory medications. He noted decreased range of motion of his spine. On October 10, 2014, a request for a service of a Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) scan of the lumbar spine as an outpatient between September 25, 2014 and November 9, 2014, was non-certified by Utilization Review noting, Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule Guidelines.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**MRI of the lumbar spine:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 303. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines: Low Back Lumbar and Thoracic MRIs

**Decision rationale:** Imaging of the lumbosacral spine is indicated in patients with unequivocal objective findings that identify specific nerve compromise on the neurologic examination who do not respond to treatment and who would consider surgery an option. When the neurologic examination is less clear, however, further physiologic evidence of nerve dysfunction should be obtained before ordering an imaging study. Indiscriminant imaging will result in false-positive findings, such as disk bulges, that are not the source of painful symptoms and do not warrant surgery. Further investigation is indicated in patients with history of tumor, infection, abdominal aneurysm, or other related serious conditions, who have positive findings on examination. MRI of the spine is recommended for indications below. MRIs are test of choice for patients with prior back surgery. MRI of the lumbar spine for uncomplicated low back pain, with radiculopathy, is not recommended until after at least one month conservative therapy, sooner if severe or progressive neurologic deficit. Repeat MRI is not routinely recommended, and should be reserved for a significant change in symptoms and/or findings suggestive of significant pathology (eg, tumor, infection, fracture, neurocompression, recurrent disc herniation). Indications for imaging, Magnetic resonance imaging: Thoracic spine trauma: with neurological deficit, Lumbar spine trauma: trauma, neurological deficit, Lumbar spine trauma: seat belt (chance) fracture (If focal, radicular findings or other neurologic deficit), Uncomplicated low back pain, suspicion of cancer, infection, other red flags, Uncomplicated low back pain, with radiculopathy, after at least 1 month conservative therapy, sooner if severe or progressive neurologic deficit, Uncomplicated low back pain, prior lumbar surgery, Uncomplicated low back pain, cauda equina syndrome, Myelopathy (neurological deficit related to the spinal cord), traumatic- Myelopathy, painful Myelopathy, sudden onset Myelopathy, stepwise progressive- Myelopathy, slowly progressive Myelopathy, infectious disease patient Myelopathy, oncology patient In this case there is no documentation that there are any red flags or that the patient has significant neurological deficits. Medical necessity has not been established. The request should not be authorized.