

Case Number:	CM14-0139228		
Date Assigned:	09/05/2014	Date of Injury:	05/14/2012
Decision Date:	06/08/2015	UR Denial Date:	07/29/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/28/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 32 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 5/14/2012. She reported twisting her right knee, slipping and falling onto her right side, with pain in her right shoulder, lower back and right knee. Diagnoses have included lumbar radiculopathy, right shoulder adhesive capsulitis, right carpal tunnel syndrome, right knee chondromalacia patella and stress. Treatment to date has included physical therapy, chiropractic treatment, acupuncture and medication. According to the progress report dated 4/14/2014, the injured worker complained of constant low back pain radiating to the lower extremities with numbness and tingling rated 8/10. She complained of constant right shoulder pain rated 5/10, frequent right wrist pain with numbness and tingling rated 5/10 and constant right knee pain rated 8/10. Pain without medications was rated 9/10 and pain with medications was rated 5/10. The injured worker walked with an antalgic gait. Straight leg raise was positive bilaterally. The injured worker underwent a drug screen. She was to remain off work. Authorization was requested for Ativan and Norco.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

1 PRESCRIPTION OF ATIVAN 1MG #30 -- MODIFIED TO 1 PRESCRIPTION OF ATIVAN 1MG #12: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Benzodiazepines, page 23.

Decision rationale: Lorazepam (Ativan) is an anti-anxiety medication in the benzodiazepine family and like other benzodiazepines, act by enhancing the effects of gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) in the brain. GABA is a neurotransmitter (a chemical that nerve cells use to communicate with each other) which inhibits many of the activities of the brain. It is believed that excessive activity in the brain may lead to anxiety or other psychiatric disorders. Clonazepam also is used to prevent certain types of seizures. Lorazepam is used for the short-term relief anxiety symptoms, usually up to 4 weeks as long-term efficacy is unproven with risk of dependency. It is used for certain types of seizures, specifically petit mal seizures, akinetic seizures, and myoclonus, as well as Lennox-Gastaut syndrome. Submitted reports have not adequately addressed the indication for Lorazepam's continued use for the chronic injury nor is there documented functional efficacy from treatment already rendered. The 1 PRESCRIPTION OF ATIVAN 1MG #30 MODIFIED TO 1 PRESCRIPTION OF ATIVAN 1MG #12 is not medically necessary and appropriate.

1 PRESCRIPTION OF NORCO 10/325MG #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, page(s) 74-96.

Decision rationale: Per the MTUS Guidelines cited, opioid use in the setting of chronic, non-malignant, or neuropathic pain is controversial. Patients on opioids should be routinely monitored for signs of impairment and use of opioids in patients with chronic pain should be reserved for those with improved functional outcomes attributable to their use, in the context of an overall approach to pain management that also includes non-opioid analgesics, adjuvant therapies, psychological support, and active treatments (e.g., exercise). Submitted documents show no evidence that the treating physician is prescribing opioids in accordance to change in pain relief, functional goals with demonstrated improvement in daily activities, decreased in medical utilization or change in functional status. There is no evidence presented of random drug testing or utilization of pain contract to adequately monitor for narcotic safety, efficacy, and compliance. The MTUS provides requirements of the treating physician to assess and document for functional improvement with treatment intervention and maintenance of function that would otherwise deteriorate if not supported. From the submitted reports, there is no demonstrated evidence of specific functional benefit derived from the continuing use of opioids with persistent severe pain for this chronic injury without acute flare, new injury, or progressive deterioration. The 1 PRESCRIPTION OF NORCO 10/325MG #30 is not medically necessary and appropriate.

