

Case Number:	CM13-0065328		
Date Assigned:	01/03/2014	Date of Injury:	11/02/2011
Decision Date:	03/26/2015	UR Denial Date:	11/05/2013
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	12/13/2013

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a patient with a date of injury of 11/2/11. A utilization review determination dated 11/5/13 recommends non-certification of a weight loss program. 8/23/13 medical report identifies recent increase in chronic low back pain without radicular pain. On exam, there is guarded ROM, tenderness. Treatment plan includes a weight loss program, rest, and HEP.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Weight loss program: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=obesity%20in%20US%20workers%20%27Caban%27>

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Other Medical Treatment Guideline or Medical Evidence: Systematic review: an evaluation of major commercial weight loss programs in the United States. (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15630109>)

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for a weight loss program, CA MTUS and ODG do not address the issue. A search of the National Library of identified an article entitled "Systematic review: an evaluation of major commercial weight loss programs in the United States." This article noted that, with the exception of 1 trial of [REDACTED], the evidence to support the use of the major commercial and self-help weight loss programs is suboptimal, and controlled trials are needed to assess the efficacy and cost-effectiveness of these interventions. Within the documentation available for review, the documentation does not clearly describe the patient's attempts at diet modification and a history of failure of reasonable weight loss measures such as dietary counseling, behavior modification, caloric restriction, and exercise within the patient's physical abilities. In light of the above issues, the currently requested weight loss program is not medically necessary.