

Case Number:	CM14-0096209		
Date Assigned:	07/30/2014	Date of Injury:	01/17/2007
Decision Date:	10/17/2014	UR Denial Date:	06/12/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/24/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, has a subspecialty in Pain Management and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a patient with a date of injury of 1/17/07. A utilization review determination dated 6/12/14 recommends non-certification of PT. 5/27/14 medical report identifies neck and wrist pain. There is limited cervical ROM, Spurling's maneuver on the left elicits pain radiating to the right shoulder, 4/5 weakness right first DI. Recommendations include medications and PT to strengthen the cervical and thoracic region and transition her to a home program.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Physical Therapy X 6 Sessions - Cervical / Thoracic: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine Page(s): 98-99.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 - 9792.26 MTUS (Effective July 18, 2009) Physical Medicine Page(s):.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for physical therapy, Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines recommend a short course of active therapy with continuation of active therapies at home as an extension of the treatment process in order to maintain improvement levels. Within the documentation available for review, the patient has a longstanding injury, but there is no

documentation of a recent objective exacerbation, objective functional improvement with any previous PT sessions, and remaining deficits that cannot be addressed within the context of an independent home exercise program, yet are expected to improve with formal supervised therapy. In light of the above issues, the currently requested physical therapy is not medically necessary.