

Case Number:	CM14-0088522		
Date Assigned:	07/23/2014	Date of Injury:	05/11/2007
Decision Date:	10/01/2014	UR Denial Date:	05/30/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/12/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesia, has a subspecialty in Acupuncture and Pain Medicine and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

66 year old male injured worker with date of injury 5/11/07 with related right hand pain. Per progress report dated 5/2/14, the injured worker reported pain on gripping, grasping, lifting, pushing, and pulling. He denied numbness or tingling and described the pain as radiating in the right hand. The injured worker indicated that use of flurbiprofen lidocaine compound topical medication helped to relieve his symptoms. Per physical exam, there was tenderness over the metacarpophalangeal joint for the thumb. There was no effusion present. The documentation submitted for review do not state whether physical therapy was utilized. Treatment to date has included medication management.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Flubiprofen 30gm: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111-112.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines TOPICAL ANALGESICS Page(s): 112.

Decision rationale: Per MTUS with regard to Flurbiprofen (p112), "(Biswal, 2006) These medications may be useful for chronic musculoskeletal pain, but there are no long-term studies

of their effectiveness or safety. Indications: Osteoarthritis and tendinitis, in particular, that of the knee and elbow or other joints that are amenable to topical treatment: Recommended for short-term use (4-12 weeks). There is little evidence to utilize topical NSAIDs for treatment of osteoarthritis of the spine, hip or shoulder." The documentation submitted for review indicates that the request is for a topical ointment compounded with lidocaine. Per MTUS p112 with regard to lidocaine: Non-neuropathic pain: Not recommended. There is only one trial that tested 4% lidocaine for treatment of chronic muscle pain. The results showed there was no superiority over placebo. (Scudds, 1995). Note the statement on page 111: Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended. Regarding the use of multiple medications, MTUS p60 states "Only one medication should be given at a time, and interventions that are active and passive should remain unchanged at the time of the medication change. A trial should be given for each individual medication. Analgesic medications should show effects within 1 to 3 days, and the analgesic effect of antidepressants should occur within 1 week. A record of pain and function with the medication should be recorded. (Mens, 2005) The recent AHRQ review of comparative effectiveness and safety of analgesics for osteoarthritis concluded that each of the analgesics was associated with a unique set of benefits and risks, and no currently available analgesic was identified as offering a clear overall advantage compared with the others." Therefore, it would be optimal to trial each medication individually. As lidocaine is not recommended, the request is not medically necessary.