

Case Number:	CM14-0184025		
Date Assigned:	11/10/2014	Date of Injury:	06/10/2009
Decision Date:	12/15/2014	UR Denial Date:	10/28/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	11/05/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesiology, has a subspecialty in Pain Management and is licensed to practice in Georgia. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The patient is a 47 years old female presenting with work related injury on 06/10/2009. On 7/1/2014, the patient complained of right knee and right leg pain. The patient was diagnosed with complex regional pain syndrome. The patient's medications included Prozac, Tramadol, Prilosec and Xanax for sleep. The physical exam was significant for antalgic gait requiring a cane, weak quadriceps and hamstrings that is 4/5, tenderness of the knee, ankle and leg. The patient was diagnosed with complex regional pain syndrome in the right lower extremity, right knee medial meniscus tear, right ankle regional pain syndrome, right foot regional pain syndrome. Lumbar pain stimulator in place, lumbar pain secondary to abnormal gait and pain stimulator surgery. A claim was placed for multiple medications.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Gabapentin/Ketoprofen/Tramadol topical cream: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111-112.

Decision rationale: Gabapentin/Ketoprofen/Tramadol topical cream is not medically necessary. According to California MTUS, 2009, chronic pain, page 111 California MTUS guidelines does not cover "topical analgesics that are largely experimental in use with a few randomized controlled trials to determine efficacy or safety. Any compounded product that contains at least one drug or drug class that is not recommended, is not recommended". Additionally, Per CA MTUS page 111 states that topical analgesics are "recommended for localized peripheral pain after there has been evidence of a trial of first-line therapy (anti-depressants or AED)...Only FDA-approved products are currently recommended. Non-neuropathic pain: Not recommended. The claimant was not diagnosed with neuropathic pain and there is no documentation of physical findings or diagnostic imaging confirming the diagnosis; therefore, the compounded mixture is not medically necessary.

Xanax 1 mg, sixty count: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Benzodiazepines Page(s): 24.

Decision rationale: Xanax 1mg, 60 count is not medically necessary for long term use but given this medication is a benzodiazepine, it is appropriate to set a weaning protocol to avoid adverse and even fatal effects. Ca MTUS page 24 states that "benzodiazepines are not recommended for long-term use because long-term efficacy is unproven and there is a risk of dependence. Most guidelines limit use to 4 weeks. They're ranging actions include sedative/have not it, anxiolytic, anticonvulsant and muscle relaxant. Chronic benzodiazepines for the treatment of choice for very few conditions. Tolerance to hypnotic effects develops rapidly. Tolerance to anxiolytic effects occurs within months and long-term use may actually increase anxiety; therefore the requested medication is not medically necessary.

Prozac 40 mg, sixty count: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Antidepressants Page(s): 13.

Decision rationale: Prozac 40 mg 60 count is not medically necessary. Ca MTUS page 13 states that antidepressants are recommended as first-line option for neuropathic pain, as a possibility for non-neuropathic pain. Tricyclics are generally considered first line agent unless they're ineffective, poorly tolerated, or contraindicated. Prozac is a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor. Per Ca MTUS SSRIs is a class of antidepressants that inhibit serotonin reuptake without action on noradrenaline and are controversial based on controlled trials. It is been suggested that the main role of SSRIs may be in addressing psychological symptoms associated with chronic pain. More information is needed regarding the role of SSRIs and pain. The

medical records do not appropriately address whether the claimant has depression associated with chronic pain through psychological evaluation. Additionally there was no documentation that the enrollee failed Tricyclics which is recommended by Ca MTUS as first line therapy.

Prilosec 20 mg, ninety count: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG)

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDS Page(s): 67.

Decision rationale: Prilosec 20mg ninety count is not medically necessary. CA MTUS does not make a direct statement on proton pump inhibitors (PPI) but in the section on NSAID use page 67. Long term use of PPI, or misoprostol or Cox-2 selective agents have been shown to increase the risk of Hip fractures. CA MTUS does state that NSAIDs are not recommended for long term use as well and if there possible GI effects of another line of agent should be used for example acetaminophen. Prilosec is therefore, not medically necessary.

Tramadol 150 mg, sixty count: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Tramadol Page(s): 83.

Decision rationale: Tramadol 150mg sixty count is not medically necessary. Tramadol is a centrally- acting opioid. Per MTUS page 83, opioids for osteoarthritis is recommended for short-term use after failure of first line non-pharmacologic and medication option including Acetaminophen and NSAIDS. Additionally, Page 79 of MTUS guidelines states that weaning of opioids are recommended if (a) there are no overall improvement in function, unless there are extenuating circumstances (b) continuing pain with evidence of intolerable adverse effects (c) decrease in functioning (d) resolution of pain (e) if serious non-adherence is occurring (f) the patient requests discontinuing. The claimant's medical records did not document that there was an overall improvement in function or a return to work with previous opioid therapy. In fact, the claimant continued to report pain. Given Tramadol is a synthetic opioid, it's use in this case is not medically necessary. The claimant has long-term use with this medication and there was a lack of improved function or return to work with this opioid and all other medications.