

Case Number:	CM14-0181013		
Date Assigned:	11/05/2014	Date of Injury:	07/31/2001
Decision Date:	12/09/2014	UR Denial Date:	10/17/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/31/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesiology, has a subspecialty in Pain Management and is licensed to practice in Georgia. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The patient is a 73-year-old female presenting with a work-related injury on July 31, 2001. The patient complained of back pain that is aching and constant. The pain is rated a 9/10 with medications. The physical exam was significant for tenderness at the lumbar spine facet joint, decreased flexion, extension and lateral bending. The patient was diagnosed with lumbago, low back pain; disc degeneration lumbosacral; hip/pelvic pain. The patient's medications include Flurbiprofen, Capsaicin cream, Norco and Soma.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Flurbiprofen 25%: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI Symptoms & Cardiovascular Risk.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111-112.

Decision rationale: Flurbiprofen 25% is not medically necessary. According to California MTUS, 2009, chronic pain, page 111 California MTUS guidelines does not cover "topical analgesics that are largely experimental in use with a few randomized controlled trials to determine efficacy or safety. Any compounded product that contains at least one drug or drug

class that is not recommended, is not recommended". Additionally, Per CA MTUS page 111 states that topical analgesics such as diclofenac, is indicated for Osteoarthritis and tendinitis, in particular, that of the knee and elbow or other joints that are amenable to topical treatment. It is also recommended for short-term use (4-12 weeks). There is little evidence to utilize topical NSAIDs for treatment of pain associated with the spine, hip or shoulder. Therefore, compounded topical cream (Flurbiprofen) is not medically necessary.

Capsaicin 0.0275% cream: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111-112.

Decision rationale: Capsaicin 0.0275 % cream, apply to affected area 3 x a day #1 topical cream is not medically necessary. According to California MTUS, 2009, chronic pain, page 111 California MTUS guidelines does not cover "topical analgesics that are largely experimental in use with a few randomized controlled trials to determine efficacy or safety. Any compounded product that contains at least one drug or drug class that is not recommended, is not recommended". Additionally, Per CA MTUS page 111 states that topical analgesics are "recommended for localized peripheral pain after there has been evidence of a trial of first-line therapy (anti-depressants or AED)...Only FDA-approved products are currently recommended. Non-neuropathic pain: Not recommended. The claimant was not diagnosed with neuropathic pain and there is no documentation of physical findings or diagnostic imaging confirming the diagnosis; therefore, the compounded mixture (Capsaicin) is not medically necessary.

Norco 10mg - 325mg tab: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 79.

Decision rationale: Norco 10/325mg is not medically necessary. Per MTUS Page 79 of MTUS guidelines states that weaning of opioids are recommended if (a) there are no overall improvement in function, unless there are extenuating circumstances (b) continuing pain with evidence of intolerable adverse effects (c) decrease in functioning (d) resolution of pain (e) if serious non-adherence is occurring (f) the patient requests discontinuing. The claimant's medical records did not document that there was an overall improvement in function or a return to work with previous opioid therapy. The claimant has long-term use with this medication and there was a lack of improved function with this opioid. In fact the claimant was designated permanent and stationary. Therefore, the requested Norco is not medically necessary.

Soma 350mg: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Carisoprodol/Soma.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Carisoprodol Page(s): 26.

Decision rationale: Soma tablets 350mg are not medically necessary. Ca MTUS states that Soma is not recommended. This medication is not indicated for long-term use. Carisoprodol is commonly prescribed, centrally acting skeletal muscle relaxant and his primary active metabolite is meprobamate (schedule for controlled substances). Carisoprodol is now scheduled in several states but not on the federal level. Since been suggested that the main affect is due to generalized sedation and treatment of anxiety. Abuse has been noted for sentences and relaxants effects. In regular basis to maintain concern is the cannulation of medical date. Carisoprodol abuse has also been noted in order to augment or alter effects of other drugs. This includes the following: Increasing sedation of benzodiazepines or alcohol; used to prevent side effects of cocaine; use with tramadol to produce relaxation and euphoria; as a combination with hydrocodone, and affected some abusers claim is similar to heroin; the combination with codeine. There was a 300% increase in numbers of emergency room episodes related to [REDACTED] [REDACTED] from 1994 2005. Intoxication appears to include subjective consciousness, decreased cognitive function, and abnormalities of the eyes, vestibular function, appearance, gait and motor function. Intoxication includes the effects of both cars up at all and meprobamate, both of which act on different neurotransmitters. A withdrawal syndrome has been documented that consists of insomnia, vomiting, tremors, muscle twitching, anxiety, and ataxia when abrupt discontinuation of large doses occur. This is similar to withdrawal from meprobamate. There is little research in terms of weaning of high dose carries up at all and there is no standard treatment regimen for patients with known dependence. Most treatment includes treatment for symptomatic complaints of a stroke. Another option is to switch to phenobarbital to prevent withdrawal with subsequent tapering. A maximum dose of phenobarbital is 500 mg per day and the taper is 3 mg per day with a slower taper in an outpatient setting. Tapering should be individualized to reach patient. There was no specific time limit for the prescription of Soma or a weaning protocol; therefore, Soma is not medically necessary.