

Case Number:	CM14-0171222		
Date Assigned:	10/23/2014	Date of Injury:	09/11/2001
Decision Date:	11/25/2014	UR Denial Date:	10/07/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/16/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Internal Medicine and is licensed to practice in New York. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The claimant is a 52 year old male who sustained an industrial injury on 09/11/2001. The mechanism of injury was not provided for review. His diagnosis is chronic low back pain- s/p posterior fusion L4-5, and L5-S1. He continues with low back pain with radiation to the bilateral lower extremities. On physical exam there is decreased range of motion of the lumbar spine with trigger points in the lumbar paraspinal region. There is decreased sensation to sharp along the posterolateral thigh, calf and dorsum of right foot. Treatment in addition to surgery has included Norco, epidural steroid injections and facet blocks. The treating provider has requested Norco 10/325mg #180.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

NORCO 10/325MG #180: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines OPIOIDS.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines California MTUS Guidelines 2009, (pdf format) Page(s): 91-97.

Decision rationale: The documentation indicates the enrollee has been treated with opioid therapy with Norco. Per California MTUS Guidelines, short-acting opioids such as Norco are

seen as an effective method in controlling chronic pain. They are often used for intermittent or breakthrough pain. The treatment of chronic pain with any opioid agent requires review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include current pain: last reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid, and the duration of pain relief. Per the medical documentation there has been no documentation of the medication's pain relief effectiveness and no clear documentation that he has responded to ongoing opioid therapy. In addition there have been recommendations to wean the patient from regular opioid use. According to the California MTUS Guidelines there has to be certain criteria followed including an ongoing review and documentation of pain relief and functional status. This does not appear to have occurred with this patient. The patient has continued pain despite the chronic use of short acting opioid medications. The patient may require a multidisciplinary evaluation to determine the best approach to treatment of his chronic pain syndrome. Medical necessity for Norco 10/325 has not been established. The requested treatment is not medically necessary.