

Case Number:	CM14-0163210		
Date Assigned:	10/08/2014	Date of Injury:	01/13/2014
Decision Date:	11/07/2014	UR Denial Date:	09/22/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/03/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Occupational Medicine and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

Patient is a 49 year-old female with date of injury 01/13/2014. The medical document associated with the request for authorization, a primary treating physician's progress report, dated 09/08/2014, lists subjective complaints as pain in the low back with radicular symptoms down the right lower extremity. X-ray and MRI of the lumbar spine were notable for mild degenerative disc disease. Objective findings: Examination of the lumbar spine revealed paraspinal spasm on the right side. Trigger points were detected at the right sciatic notch, right iliac crest, and lumbar paraspinals. Range of motion was reduced by 25%. Sensory exam, motor exam, and reflexes were normal. Diagnosis: Lumbosacral strain, right leg pain.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

EMG left lower extremity: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, (ODG), and Electromyography.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic), EMGs (Electromyography).

Decision rationale: According to the Official Disability Guidelines, EMG's are recommended as an option and may be useful to obtain unequivocal evidence of radiculopathy, after 1-month conservative therapy, but EMG's are not necessary if radiculopathy is already clinically obvious. The patient is neither subjective nor of objective findings compatible with radiculopathy in the left lower extremity. EMG left lower extremity is not medically necessary.

NCV left lower extremity: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic), Nerve Conduction Studies (NCS).

Decision rationale: According to the Official Disability Guidelines, nerve conduction studies are not recommended. There is minimal justification for performing nerve conduction studies when a patient is presumed to have symptoms on the basis of radiculopathy neurological testing procedures have limited overall diagnostic accuracy in detecting disc herniation with suspected radiculopathy. NCV left lower extremity is not medically necessary.

EMG right lower extremity: Overturned

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Low Back.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic), EMGs (Electromyography).

Decision rationale: According to the Official Disability Guidelines, EMG's are recommended as an option and may be useful to obtain unequivocal evidence of radiculopathy, after 1-month conservative therapy, but EMG's are not necessary if radiculopathy is already clinically obvious. Although the patient has a negative physical exam for radiculopathy, she has subjective complaints of right leg pain radiating from the back. I am reversing the previous utilization review decision.

NCV right left lower extremity: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Low Back.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic), Nerve Conduction Studies (NCS).

Decision rationale: According to the Official Disability Guidelines, nerve conduction studies are not recommended. There is minimal justification for performing nerve conduction studies when a patient is presumed to have symptoms on the basis of radiculopathy neurological testing procedures have limited overall diagnostic accuracy in detecting disc herniation with suspected radiculopathy. NCV right left lower extremity is not medically necessary.