

Case Number:	CM14-0156581		
Date Assigned:	10/03/2014	Date of Injury:	03/16/1995
Decision Date:	10/31/2014	UR Denial Date:	09/11/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	09/24/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Occupational Medicine, and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 69-year-old female with a 3/16/95 date of injury. At the time (8/18/14) of request for authorization for Prilosec 20mg 30x1cap bottle, there is documentation of subjective (knee pain) and objective (moderately swollen and crepitus on range of motion) findings, current diagnoses (bilateral knee internal derangement), and treatment to date (medications (including ongoing treatment with Prilosec and Naprosyn), physical therapy, and cortisone injection). There is no documentation of risk for gastrointestinal events (high dose/multiple NSAID).

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Prilosec 20mg 30x1cap bottle: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 13 Knee Complaints Page(s): 343, 348-350, Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 22, 68, 83.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk Page(s): 68-69. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain (Chronic), Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) and Other Medical Treatment Guideline or Medical Evidence: Title 8, California Code of Regulations.

Decision rationale: MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines identifies that risk for gastrointestinal event includes age > 65 years; history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation; concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; and/or high dose/multiple NSAID. MTUS-Definitions identifies that any treatment intervention should not be continued in the absence of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications or medical services. ODG identifies documentation of risk for gastrointestinal events and preventing gastric ulcers induced by NSAIDs, as criteria necessary to support the medical necessity of Omeprazole. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of a diagnosis of bilateral knee internal derangement. In addition, there is documentation of ongoing treatment with Prilosec and NSAID. However, despite documentation of ongoing treatment with NSAID, there is no documentation of risk for gastrointestinal events (high dose/multiple NSAID). Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for Prilosec 20mg 30x1cap bottle is not medically necessary.