

Case Number:	CM14-0149931		
Date Assigned:	09/18/2014	Date of Injury:	02/28/2011
Decision Date:	10/17/2014	UR Denial Date:	08/26/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	09/15/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesiology, has a subspecialty in Pain Medicine and is licensed to practice in Connecticut. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

After careful review of the medical records, this is a 55 year old male with complaints of neck pain, headache pain, bilateral upper extremity pain, low back pain, bilateral lower extremity pain. The date of injury is 2/28/11 and the mechanism of injury is not elicited. At the time of request for hydrocodone-acetaminophen 10/325#120 and morphine sulfate ER 15mg #90, there is subjective (neck pain, low back pain, bilateral upper and lower extremity pain, headache pain) and objective (areas of tenderness to palpation neck and back, restricted range of motion lumbar spine) findings, imaging findings (none submitted), diagnoses (discogenic low back, postlaminectomy and fusion, right shoulder low grade rotator cuff tear,s/p cervical fusion ACDF C6-7), and treatment to date (surgery,medications). A comprehensive strategy for the prescribing of opioids needs to be in place including detailed evaluation of ongoing pharmacologic treatment ie drug analgesic efficacy as well as a gross examination of physical function on and off the medication (or at the end of a dosing cycle). Aberrant behavior (or absence of) due to drug misuse (or compliance) needs to be documented. Drug urine testing should be performed. A medication agreement is highly recommended and should be on file.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Hydrocodone-Acetaminophen 10mg/325mg Quantity: 120: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-84.

Decision rationale: Per MTUS-Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, a comprehensive strategy for the prescribing of opioids needs to be in place including detailed evaluation of ongoing pharmacologic treatment ie drug analgesic efficacy as well as a gross examination of physical function on and off the medication (or at the end of a dosing cycle). Aberrant behavior (or absence of) due to drug misuse (or compliance) needs to be documented. Drug urine testing should be performed. A medication agreement is highly recommended and should be on file. As the medical records provided do not support/supply this information, it is my opinion that the request for hydrocodone-acetaminophen 10/325 #120 is not medically necessary.

Morphine Sulfate ER 15mg Quantity: 90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 80-81.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-84.

Decision rationale: Per MTUS-Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, a comprehensive strategy for the prescribing of opioids needs to be in place including detailed evaluation of ongoing pharmacologic treatment ie drug analgesic efficacy as well as a gross examination of physical function on and off the medication (or at the end of a dosing cycle). Aberrant behavior (or absence of) due to drug misuse (or compliance) needs to be documented. Drug urine testing should be performed. A medication agreement is highly recommended and should be on file. As the medical records provided do not support/supply this information, it is my opinion that the request for morphine sulfate ER 15mg #90 is not medically necessary.