

Case Number:	CM14-0143879		
Date Assigned:	09/12/2014	Date of Injury:	10/29/2008
Decision Date:	10/10/2014	UR Denial Date:	08/18/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	09/05/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is licensed in both Chiropractic and Acupuncture and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 47 year old female who reported neck pain from injury sustained on 10/29/08. Mechanism of injury was not documented in the provided medical records. There were no diagnostic imaging reports. Patient is diagnosed with Cervicalgia. Patient has been treated with medication, physical therapy and Chiropractic. Per medical notes dated 07/28/14, patient complains of constant pain in the neck pain that is aggravated by repetitive motion of the neck, pushing, pulling, lifting, forward reaching and working at or above the shoulder level. Pain radiates into the upper extremity and is rated at 8/10. Numbness and tingling in C6 and C7 dermatomes, she also reports headaches. Examination reveals muscle tenderness, spasm and range of motion decreased by pain. Primary treating physician is requesting additional 2X6 Chiropractic treatments. Medical reports reveal little evidence of significant changes or improvement in findings, revealing a patient who has not achieved significant objective functional improvement to warrant additional treatment. There is no evidence that this patient exhibits significant functional loss and is unable to perform an independent, self-directed, home exercise program, rather than the continuation of skilled chiropractic intervention.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Chiropractic care 2 times a week for 6 weeks for the cervical spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Manual Therapy and Manipulation.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Manual Therapy and Manipulation, Page(s): 58-59.

Decision rationale: Per MTUS- Chronic Pain medical treatment guideline - Manual therapy and manipulation Page 58-59. "Recommended for chronic pain if caused by musculoskeletal conditions. Manual therapy is widely used in the treatment of musculoskeletal pain. The intended goal or effect of manual medicine is the achievement of positive symptomatic or objectively measureable gain in functional improvement that facilitate progression in the patient's therapeutic exercise program and return to productive activities". Low Back: Recommended as an option. Therapeutic care- trial of 6 visits over 2 weeks, with evidence of objective functional improvement, total of up to 18 visits over 6-8 weeks. Elective/ maintenance care- not medically necessary. Reoccurrences/ flare-ups- need to re-evaluate treatment success, if RTW achieved then 1-2 visits every 4-6 months. Treatment parameters from state guidelines. A) Time of procedure effect: 4-6 treatments. B) Frequency 1-2 times per week the first 2 weeks as indicated by the severity of the condition. Treatment may continue at 1 treatment per week for the next 6 weeks. C) Maximum duration: 8 weeks. At 8 weeks patient should be re-evaluated. Care beyond 8 weeks may be indicated for certain chronic pain patients in whom manipulation has been helpful in improving function, decreasing pain and improving quality of life. Treatment beyond 4-6 visits should be documented with objective improvement in function". Patient has had prior chiropractic treatments with symptomatic relief; however, clinical notes fail to document any functional improvement with prior care. Medical reports reveal little evidence of significant changes or improvement in findings, revealing a patient who has not achieved significant objective functional improvement to warrant additional treatment. There is no evidence that this patient exhibits significant functional loss and is unable to perform an independent, self-directed, home exercise program, rather than the continuation of skilled chiropractic intervention. Per guidelines, functional improvement means either a clinically significant improvement in activities of daily living or a reduction in work restrictions as measured during the history and physical exam. Per review of evidence and guidelines, 12 Chiropractic visits are not medically necessary.