

Case Number:	CM14-0120031		
Date Assigned:	09/24/2014	Date of Injury:	09/08/2010
Decision Date:	10/24/2014	UR Denial Date:	07/22/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/30/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Preventative Medicine and is licensed to practice in Indiana. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This employee is a 58 year old female with date of injury of 9/8/2010. A review of the medical records indicate that the patient is undergoing treatment for right knee pain after a total arthroplasty. Subjective complaints include 7/10 pain, swelling, and limited range of motion of the right knee. Objective findings include pain upon palpation of the knee; light touch sensation decreased over medial calf of right side; decreased strength in the right leg due to pain in the knee. Treatment has included Cyclobenzaprine, Trazadone, Gabapentin, Norco, Butrans patch, 8 sessions of aquatic therapy, physical therapy, acupuncture therapy. The utilization review dated 7/22/2014 non-certified continued aquatic therapy of the right knee for 8 sessions.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Continued Aquatic Therapy (Right Knee) 1/week X 8 weeks: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Aquatic Therapy.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Aquatic Therapy and Physical Medicine Page(s): 22, 98-99. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back, Aquatic Therapy Other Medical Treatment Guideline or Medical Evidence: MD Guidelines, Aquatic Therapy

Decision rationale: California MTUS guidelines state that "Aquatic therapy (including swimming) can minimize the effects of gravity, so it is specifically recommended where reduced weight bearing is desirable, for example extreme obesity." MD Guidelines similarly states, "If the patient has subacute or chronic LBP and meets criteria for a referral for supervised exercise therapy and has co-morbidities (e.g., extreme obesity, significant degenerative joint disease, etc.) that preclude effective participation in a weight-bearing physical activity, then a trial of aquatic therapy is recommended for the treatment of subacute or chronic LBP". Regarding the number of visits, MTUS states "Allow for fading of treatment frequency (from up to 3 visits per week to 1 or less), plus active self-directed home Physical Medicine." ODG states "Patients should be formally assessed after a "six-visit clinical trial" to see if the patient is moving in a positive direction, no direction, or a negative direction (prior to continuing with the physical therapy); & (6) When treatment duration and/or number of visits exceeds the guideline, exceptional factors should be noted." At the conclusion of this trial, additional treatment would be assessed based upon documented objective, functional improvement, and appropriate goals for the additional treatment. The medical records do not indicate objective findings of functional improvement from the initial trial of aquatic therapy, which are needed to extend and continue additional therapy. As such, the current request for 8 more sessions of aquatic therapy is not medically necessary at this time.