

Case Number:	CM14-0117392		
Date Assigned:	08/06/2014	Date of Injury:	04/23/2014
Decision Date:	09/26/2014	UR Denial Date:	07/16/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/25/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Occupational Medicine, and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 31-year-old male with a 4/23/14 date of injury. At the time (6/13/14) of the request for authorization for weight loss program of 100 lbs., there is documentation of subjective (head and neck pain, bilateral shoulder pain with numbness and tingling of bilateral arms and hands, back pain, bilateral hip pain, and bilateral knee pain) and objective (tender over base of occiput, upper trapezius, levator scapulae and rhomboids bilaterally, tender to percussion over C6-7, lacks 3 fingerbreadths from touching chin to chest, diminished sensation 1st through 3rd fingers bilaterally, positive impingement testing bilateral shoulders, decreased lumbar spine range of motion, diminished sensation bilateral legs and feet laterally, positive Lasague's testing bilaterally, tender over greater trochanter bilaterally, decreased hip range of motion, tender medial joint line bilateral knees, crepitus under patella bilaterally, positive McMurray's maneuver bilaterally, and decreased range of motion of the knees) findings, current diagnoses (internal derangement bilateral shoulders, tendinitis bilateral shoulders, musculoligamentous sprain thoracic spine, musculoligamentous sprain lumbar spine with lower extremity radiculitis, trochanteric bursitis bilateral hips, internal derangement bilateral knees, chondromalacia patella bilateral knees, and head injury), and treatment to date (medication). There is no documentation of a documented history of failure to maintain weight at 20 % or less above ideal or at or below a BMI of 27 when the following criteria are met: BMI** greater than or equal to 30 kg/m; or a BMI greater than or equal to 27 and less than 30 kg/m and one or more of the following comorbid conditions: coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus type 2, hypertension (systolic blood pressure greater than or equal to 140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure greater than or equal to 90 mm Hg on more than one occasion), obesity-hypoventilation syndrome (Pickwickian syndrome), obstructive sleep apnea, or dyslipidemia

(HDL cholesterol less than 35 mg/dL; or LDL cholesterol greater than or equal to 160 mg/dL; or serum triglyceride levels greater than or equal to 400 mg/dL.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Weight Loss Program of 100 lbs.: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation CMS 40.5 Treatment of Obesity (rev. 54, Issued: 04-28-06, Effective: 02-21-06, Implementation: 05-30-06).

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Other Medical Treatment Guideline or Medical Evidence: http://www.aetna.com/cpb/medical/data/1_99/0039.html.

Decision rationale: MTUS and ODG do not address the issue. Aetna identifies documentation of a documented history of failure to maintain weight at 20 % or less above ideal or at or below a BMI of 27 when the following criteria are met: BMI greater than or equal to 30 kg/m; or a BMI greater than or equal to 27 and less than 30 kg/m and one or more of the following comorbid conditions: coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus type 2, hypertension (systolic blood pressure greater than or equal to 140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure greater than or equal to 90 mm Hg on more than one occasion), obesity-hypoventilation syndrome (Pickwickian syndrome), obstructive sleep apnea, or dyslipidemia (HDL cholesterol less than 35 mg/dL ; or LDL cholesterol greater than or equal to 160 mg/dL; or serum triglyceride levels greater than or equal to 400 mg/dL, as criteria to support the medical necessity of a weight reduction program. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of diagnoses of internal derangement bilateral shoulders, tendinitis bilateral shoulders, musculoligamentous sprain thoracic spine, musculoligamentous sprain lumbar spine with lower extremity radiculitis, trochanteric bursitis bilateral hips, internal derangement bilateral knees, chondromalacia patella bilateral knees, and head injury. However, there is no documentation of a documented history of failure to maintain weight at 20 % or less above ideal or at or below a BMI of 27 when the following criteria are met: BMI greater than or equal to 30 kg/m; or a BMI greater than or equal to 27 and less than 30 kg/m and one or more of the following comorbid conditions: coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus type 2, hypertension (systolic blood pressure greater than or equal to 140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure greater than or equal to 90 mm Hg on more than one occasion), obesity-hypoventilation syndrome (Pickwickian syndrome), obstructive sleep apnea, or dyslipidemia (HDL cholesterol less than 35 mg/dL; or LDL cholesterol greater than or equal to 160 mg/dL; or serum triglyceride levels greater than or equal to 400 mg/dL. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for weight loss program of 100 lbs. is not medically necessary.