

Case Number:	CM14-0111688		
Date Assigned:	09/16/2014	Date of Injury:	07/15/1999
Decision Date:	10/15/2014	UR Denial Date:	07/01/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/17/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesiology, has a subspecialty in Pain Management and is licensed to practice in Georgia. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The claimant is a 57 year old female presenting with work related injury on 07/15/1999. The claimant was diagnosed with bilateral upper extremity pain and depression. The claimant is status post bilateral carpal tunnel release in 2000. The claimant's medications included Norco and Prozac. According to the medical records the provider planned to wean the claimant of Norco. The physical exam showed weaker grip on the right greater than the left, tenderness and sensitivity in the inside of both elbows over the scar. The medical records indicates that the claimant is partially disabled. A claim was placed for Norco and Prozac.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg #150Refill: 2: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines OPIOIDS.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 79.

Decision rationale: Norco 10/325mg # 150 with 2 refills is not medically necessary. Per MTUS Page 79 of MTUS guidelines states that weaning of opioids are recommended if (a) there are no overall improvement in function, unless there are extenuating circumstances (b) continuing pain

with evidence of intolerable adverse effects (c) decrease in functioning (d) resolution of pain (e) if serious non-adherence is occurring (f) the patient requests discontinuing. The claimant's medical records did not document that there was an overall improvement in function or a return to work with previous opioid therapy. In fact, the medical records note that the claimant was permanent and stationary. The claimant has long-term use with this medication and there was a lack of improved function with this opioid; therefore the requested medication is not medically necessary.

Prozac 20mg #60Refill: 2: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Anti-depressants Page(s): 13.

Decision rationale: Prozac 20 mg #60 with 2 refills is not medically necessary. Ca MTUS page 13 states that antidepressants are recommended as first-line option for neuropathic pain, as a possibility for non-neuropathic pain. Tricyclics are generally considered first line agent unless they're ineffective, poorly tolerated, or contraindicated. Prozac is a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor. Per Ca MTUS SSRIs is a class of antidepressants that inhibit serotonin reuptake without action on noradrenaline and are controversial based on controlled trials. It is been suggested that the main role of SSRIs may be in addressing psychological symptoms associated with chronic pain. More information is needed regarding the role of SSRIs and pain. The medical records do not appropriately address whether the claimant has depression associated with chronic pain through psychological evaluation. Additionally there was no documentation that the enrollee failed Tricyclics which is recommended by Ca MTUS as first line therapy.