

MAXIMUS FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.

Independent Bill Review
P.O. Box 138006
Sacramento, CA 95813-8006
Fax: (916) 605-4280



INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

February 10, 2016

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

IBR Case Number:	CB16-0000060	Date of Injury:	11/05/2011
Claim Number:	[REDACTED]	Application Received:	01/14/2016
Claims Administrator:	[REDACTED]		
Date(s) of service:	06/30/2015		
Provider Name:	[REDACTED]		
Employee Name:	[REDACTED]		
Disputed Codes:	99199		

Dear [REDACTED]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above Workers’ Compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: OVERTURN. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is reversed and the Claim Administrator owes the Provider additional reimbursement of \$195.00 for the review cost and \$1606.86 in additional reimbursement for a total of \$1801.86. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The Claim Administrator is required to reimburse the Provider a total of **\$1801.86** within 45 days of the date on this letter per section 4603.2 (2a) of the California Labor Code. The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Paul Manchester, M.D., M.P.H.
Medical Director

cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- OMFS

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Provider seeking remuneration for Unlisted Procedure Code 99199 for date of service 06/30/2015.**
- Claims Administrator reimbursement rationale: “the charges have been priced in accordance to a PPO contract.”
- PPO contract not submitted for review.
- **RFA dated March 16, 2015, signed by Claims Administrator,** reflects “Authorization is **granted**” request for **record review utilizing Unlisted Procedure Code 99199** and Usual and Customary Fee of \$62.50 for each 15 min unit.
- **Pursuant to LC § 5307.11:** A health care provider or health facility licensed pursuant to Section 1250 of the Health and Safety Code, and a contracting agent, employer, or carrier may contract for reimbursement rates different from those in the fee schedule adopted and revised pursuant to Section 5307.1. When a health care provider or health facility licensed pursuant to Section 1250 of the Health and Safety Code, and a contracting agent, employer, or carrier contract for reimbursement rates **different from those in the fee schedule**, the medical fee schedule for that health care provider or health facility licensed pursuant to Section 1250 of the Health and Safety Code **shall not apply to the contracted reimbursement rates.**
- The aforementioned **March 16, 2015 signed RFA is contractual in nature.** As such, the contractual rates apply pursuant to **LC § 5307.11.**
- June 30, 2015 report indicates 8.0 hours of record review and 2.5 hours for report preparation. A total of 10.5 hours or 42 units.

- Based on the aforementioned documentation and guidelines, additional reimbursement is warranted for 99199.

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: 99199

Date of Service: 06/30/2015							
Pharmacy							
Service Code	Provider Billed	Plan Allowed	Dispute Amount	Assist Surgeon	Units	Workers' Comp Allowed Amt.	Notes
99199	\$2625.00	\$1018.14	\$1606.86	N/A	42	\$2625.00	\$1606.86 Due to Provider

Copy to:

████████████████████
 ████████████████
 ██████████████████

Copy to:

██
 ██
 ████████, ██████████ -