

MAXIMUS FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.

Independent Bill Review
P.O. Box 138006
Sacramento, CA 95813-8006
Fax: (916) 605-4280



INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

January 8, 2016

[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

IBR Case Number:	CB15-0002293	Date of Injury:	01/29/2015
Claim Number:	[Redacted]	Application Received:	12/15/2015
Claims Administrator:	[Redacted]		
Date(s) of service:	01/29/2015 – 01/29/2015		
Provider Name:	[Redacted]		
Employee Name:	[Redacted]		
Disputed Codes:	10060, 73590, 90471, 96372, and 99283		

Dear [Redacted]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: OVERTURN. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is reversed and the Claim Administrator owes the Provider additional reimbursement of \$195.00 for the review cost and \$101.17 in additional reimbursement for a total of \$296.17. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The Claim Administrator is required to reimburse the Provider a total of **\$296.17** within 45 days of the date on this letter per section 4603.2 (2a) of the California Labor Code. The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

Paul Manchester, M.D., M.P.H.
Medical Director

Cc: [Redacted]
[Redacted]

DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- OMFS

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Provider seeking 100% OMFS remuneration for 10060, 73590, 90471, 96372, and 99283 submitted for date of service 01/29/2015.**
- The Claims Administrator based reimbursement on “Network Reduction.”
- Provider indicates a Contractual Agreement is not in effect for date of service 01/29/2015.
- Opportunity to Dispute Eligibility communicated to Claims Administrator on 12/21/2015; response not yet received.
- UB-04, Bill Type 131.
- **CCR § 9789.33** For services rendered on or after September 1, 2014, Status Indicators; “S”, “T”, “X”, or “V”, “Q1,” Q2,” or “Q3” must qualify for separate payment.” must qualify for separate payment. APC relative weight x adjusted conversion factor x 1.212 workers’ compensation multiplier, pursuant to Section 9789.30(aa). See Section 9789.39(b) for the APC relative weight by date of service.
- **CCR § 5307.1(g)(2)** The Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation orders that the pathology and clinical laboratory fee schedule portion of the Official Medical Fee Schedule (OMFS) contained in title 8, California Code of Regulations, section 9789.50, has been adjusted to conform to the changes to the Medicare payment system that were adopted by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) for calendar year 2015. Effective for services rendered on or after January 1, 2015, the maximum reasonable fees for pathology and laboratory services shall not exceed 120% of the applicable California fees set forth in the calendar year 2015 Clinical Laboratory Fee Schedule.
- **Based on the aforementioned documentation and guidelines, reimbursement additional reimbursement is indicated for 10060, 73590, 90471, 96372, and 99283.**

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: 10060, 73590, 90471, 96372, and 99283

Date of Service: 01/29/2015 HOPPS						
Service Code	Provider Billed	Plan Allowed	Dispute Amount	Units	Workers' Comp Allowed Amt.	Notes
10060, 73590, 90471, 96372, and 99283	\$5,221.55	\$469.62	\$101.17	1	\$570.79	OMFS \$101.17 Due Provider Refer to Analysis

Copy to:

██████████
 ██████████
 ████████████████████

Copy to:

██
 ██
 ██