

MAXIMUS FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.

Independent Bill Review
P.O. Box 138006
Sacramento, CA 95813-8006
Fax: (916) 605-4280



INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

May 12, 2015

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

IBR Case Number:	CB15-0000525	Date of Injury:	04/30/2014
Claim Number:	[REDACTED]	Application Received:	04/06/2015
Claims Administrator:	[REDACTED]		
Date(s) of service:	11/11/2014		
Provider Name:	[REDACTED]		
Employee Name:	[REDACTED]		
Disputed Codes:	21499, 95999 & 99017		

Dear [REDACTED]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: OVERTURN. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is reversed and the Claim Administrator owes the Provider additional reimbursement of \$195.00 for the review cost and \$5243.76 in additional reimbursement for a total of \$5438.76. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The Claim Administrator is required to reimburse the Provider a total of \$5438.76 within 45 days of the date on this letter per section 4603.2 (2a) of the California Labor Code. The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

Paul Manchester, MD, MPH
Medical Director

cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Official Medical Fee Schedule
- Negotiated contracted rates: PPO Contract Discount 5%
- National Correct Coding Initiatives

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Provider is dissatisfied with denial of codes 21499, 95999 & 99017
- Claims Administrator denied code 21499 indicating on the Explanation of Review “Provide correct CPT codes for all svcs rendered”; codes 95999 and 99017 were also denied with the same description stating “For pymt consideration, provide a desc of proc/service”
- Provider submitted the Request for Authorization which details codes submitted as 21499 Neuromuscular Bite Management, 95999 Comp Cranial Neuroscan and 99017 Myocentric Registration. Provider’s PR-2 submitted also documents codes billed under Operative Report for Services Rendered on 11/11/2014.
- Claims Administrator approved codes 21499, 95999 & 99017 indicating on Recommendation Letter dated 10/16/2014 “After review of the request it has been determined that the requested treatment/service meets medical necessity and is authorized. Medications are addressed through the [REDACTED] pharmacy benefit review process.” Letter states that all requested services were reviewed by the Claims Administrator’s Dentist.
- Based on information reviewed, reimbursement of codes 21499, 95999 and 99017 are warranted.

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Reimbursement of code

Date of Service: 11/11/2014							
Physician Services							
Service Code	Provider Billed	Plan Allowed	Dispute Amount	Assist Surgeon	Multiple Surgery	Workers' Comp Allowed Amt.	Notes
21499	\$5000.00	\$0.00	\$5000.00	N/A	N/A	\$4750.00	DISPUTED SERVICE: Allow reimbursement \$4750.00
95999	\$400.00	\$0.00	\$400.00	N/A	N/A	\$380.00	DISPUTED SERVICE: Allow reimbursement \$380.00
99017 changed to 99199	\$119.75	\$0.00	\$119.75	N/A	N/A	\$113.76	DISPUTED SERVICE: Allow reimbursement \$113.76

Copy to:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Copy to:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]