

MAXIMUS FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.

Independent Bill Review
P.O. Box 138006
Sacramento, CA 95813-8006
Fax: (916) 605-4280



INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

October 8, 2015

[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

IBR Case Number:	CB15-0001537	Date of Injury:	03/23/2015
Claim Number:	[Redacted]	Application Received:	09/03/2015
Claims Administrator:	[Redacted]		
Date(s) of service:	06/01/2015		
Provider Name:	[Redacted]		
Employee Name:	[Redacted]		
Disputed Codes:	25447-LT and 25111-LT		

Dear [Redacted]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: OVERTURN. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is reversed and the Claim Administrator owes the Provider additional reimbursement of \$195.00 for the review cost and \$438.89 in additional reimbursement for a total of \$633.89. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The Claim Administrator is required to reimburse the Provider a total of \$633.89 within 45 days of the date on this letter per section 4603.2 (2a) of the California Labor Code. The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

Paul Manchester, MD, MPH
Medical Director

cc: [Redacted]
[Redacted]

DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Official Medical Fee Schedule
- Negotiated contracted rates: N/A
- National Correct Coding Initiatives
- Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS)

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Provider is dissatisfied with reimbursement of codes 25447-LT and 25111-LT
- Claims Administrator applied a PPO discount to reimbursement indicating on the Explanation of Review “This charge was adjusted to comply with the rate and rules of the contract indicated”
- Provider submitted SBR stating the PPO reduction was incorrect. Provider also states that the PPO contract terminated in 2013.
- Opportunity for Claims Administrator to Dispute Eligibility letter was sent on 9/16/2015. A response from Claims Administrator was not received for this review.
- Section 9789.33. Determination of Maximum Reasonable Fee. For services rendered on or after September 1, 2014: Ambulatory Surgical Centers surgical procedures: APC relative weight x adjusted conversion factor x 0.808 workers’ compensation multiplier, pursuant to Section 9789.30(aa). See Section 9789.39(b) for the APC relative weight by date of service.
- Based on aforementioned, additional reimbursement of 25447-LT and 25111-LT is warranted.

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Reimbursement of codes 25447-LT and 25111-LT

Date of Service: 06/01/2015						
Service Code	Provider Billed	Plan Allowed	Dispute Amount	Multiple Surgery	Workers' Comp Allowed Amt.	Notes
25447	\$5794.00	\$2317.70	\$347.65	100%	\$2665.35	DISPUTED SERVICE: Allow reimbursement \$347.65
25111	\$3040.00	\$608.32	\$91.24	50%	\$699.56	DISPUTED SERVICE: Allow reimbursement \$91.24

Copy to:

██████████
 ██████████
 ██████████

Copy to:

██
 ████████████████████████████████████
 ████████████████████████████████