

MAXIMUS FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.

Independent Bill Review
P.O. Box 138006
Sacramento, CA 95813-8006
Fax: (916) 605-4280



INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

April 29, 2015

[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

IBR Case Number:	CB15-0000147	Date of Injury:	09/18/2000
Claim Number:	[Redacted]	Application Received:	02/02/2015
Claims Administrator:	[Redacted]		
Date Assigned:	2/27/2015		
Provider Name:	[Redacted]		
Employee Name:	[Redacted]		
Disputed Codes:	99214		

Dear [Redacted]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: UPHOLD. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that no additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is upheld and the Claim Administrator does not owe the Provider additional reimbursement. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

Paul Manchester, MD, MPH
Medical Director

cc: [Redacted]
[Redacted]

DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Official Medical Fee Schedule

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Provider is dissatisfied with reimbursement of code 99214 Evaluation and Management Services performed on 05/28/2014.**
 - Claims Administrator down coded 99214 to CPT 99213 stating “The charge has been adjusted to OMFS”
 - The determination of an Evaluation and Management service for Established Patients require **two** of **three** key components in the following areas (AMA CPT 1995)
 - 99214: Office or other outpatient visit for the evaluation and management of an established patient, which requires at least 2 of these 3 key components:
A detailed history; a detailed examination; Medical decision making of moderate complexity. PR-2 submitted for review does not support 2 of the 3 key components of a 99214.
 - **Time:** In the case where counseling and/or coordination of care dominates (more than 50%) of the physician/patient and/or family encounter (face-to-face time in the office or other outpatient setting or floor/unit time in the hospital or nursing facility), time is considered the key or controlling factor to qualify for a particular level of E/M services. The total length of time of the encounter (faced-to-face) should be documented and **the record should describe the counseling and/or activities to coordinate care.**

- Time Factor for date of service 5/28/2014:
 - Two Hand Written Patient Encounter Forms. **1)** Progress note, “N° 82741,” under the heading, “medication,” there appears to be entry of “35 min” documented (hand writing not clear). However, there is no description indicating what portion of the visit was spent on “counseling and/or activities to coordinate care (AMA CPT),” or if the entry is in fact, in relation to the total time of the visit. The documentation is does not clarify what the indicated time, ‘35’ min, is in relation to. Important notation, the progress note does not reflect the Provider’s name or Practice and is not signed by the Provider. **2)** PR-2 form; documentation appears to be a formal handwritten representation of handwritten progress note ‘N° 82741.’ The 35 min time is indicated on this form however, IBR is unable to clarify what portion of the indicated time related to the exam and what portion of the time related to counseling or coordination of care.
- Based on the documentation submitted for review and guidelines, Evaluation and Management Service 99214 is not supported. Therefore, additional reimbursement of 99214 is not warranted.

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Additional reimbursement of 99214 is not recommended.

Date of Service: 5/28/2014							
Physician Services							
Service Code	Provider Billed	Plan Allowed	Dispute Amount	Units	Multiple Surgery	Workers’ Comp Allowed Amt.	Notes
99214	\$126.00	\$84.99	\$41.01	1	N/A	\$84.99	No further reimbursement is recommended.

Copy to:

[REDACTED]

Copy to:

[REDACTED]