

MAXIMUS FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.

Independent Bill Review
P.O. Box 138006
Sacramento, CA 95813-8006
Fax: (916) 605-4280



INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

January 8, 2015

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

IBR Case Number:	CB14-0001547	Date of Injury:	09/01/2010
Claim Number:	[REDACTED]	Application Received:	10/14/2014
Claims Administrator:	[REDACTED]	Assignment Date:	11/12/2014
Provider Name:	[REDACTED]		
Employee Name:	[REDACTED]		
Disputed Codes:	97799 -86		

Dear [REDACTED]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: OVERTURN. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is reversed and the Claim Administrator owes the Provider additional reimbursement of \$250.00 for the review cost and \$1,153.11 in additional reimbursement for a total of \$1,403.11. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The Claim Administrator is required to reimburse the Provider a total of \$1,403.11 within 45 days of the date on this letter per section 4603.2 (2a) of the California Labor Code. The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]
Medical Director

cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Official Medical Fee Schedule
- Partial Contractual Agreement

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Provider seeking full remuneration for Functional Restoration Initial Evaluation services, billed as Unlisted Procedure Code 97799-86, for date of service 04/10/2014.**
- Claims Administrator denied FRP services stating, “The Official Medical Fee Schedule does not list code. Multiple Procedure Payment Rule Applied”
- Functional Restoration Program service not in dispute.
- Payment for FRP is in dispute.
- Providers Usual and Customary fee of \$2,500.00 as stated on 4/10/2014 FRP Report.
- Authorization for FRP signed by Claims Administrator on 10/25/2013 with expiration date for service 5/31/2014.
- Authorization did not indicate capitation for FRP service.
- Submitted documentation included a page of the PPO contract indicating “95% of the reasonable maximum fees established by California Workers’ Compensation...”
- There is no allowance listed under the OMFS for the billed procedure code 97799 Modifier 86.
- Provider documented usual and customary charge of \$2,500.00 in the treatment report submitted to the Claims Administrator.

- Partial contract indicates “Unlisted Services” at a reimbursement of 60% of Provider’s usual and customary billed charges.
- Based on the aforementioned documentation and guidelines, additional reimbursement is warranted @ 60% for 97799-86.

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: 97799-86

Date of Service: 06/16/2013							
Physician Services							
Service Code	Provider Billed	Plan Allowed	Dispute Amount	Assist Surgeon	Units	Workers’ Comp Allowed Amt.	Notes
97799-86	\$2,500.00	\$346.89	\$2,028.11	N/A	1	\$1,500.00	PPO Contract – Reimbursed Amount = \$1,153.11 Due Provider

Copy to:

██████████
 ██████████
 ██████████
 ██████████

Copy to:

██
 ██
 ██