

MAXIMUS FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.

Independent Bill Review
P.O. Box 138006
Sacramento, CA 95813-8006
Fax: (916) 605-4280



INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

January 12, 2015

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

** Consolidated review on two injured workers

IBR Case Number:	CB14-0001479	Date of Injury:	05/11/2010 IW1 12/11/2010 IW2
Claim Number:	[REDACTED]	Application Received:	10/01/2014
Claims Administrator:	[REDACTED]	Assignment Date:	12/03/2014
Provider Name:	[REDACTED]		
Employee Name:	[REDACTED]		
Disputed Codes:	97113-59		

Dear [REDACTED]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: UPHOLD. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that no additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is upheld and the Claim Administrator does not owe the Provider additional reimbursement. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Official Medical Fee Schedule
- Negotiated contracted rates: PPO Discount 5%
- National Correct Coding Initiatives

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Provider seeking remuneration for 97113 Aquatic Services Performed for IW1 date of service 06/25/2014 x 3 units and IW2 date of service 07/14/2014 x 2 units.
- Claims Administrator denied 97113 service stating: “Per CCI Edits, the value of the service is included within the value of another service performed on the same day.”
- 97113, is paired to billed code 97150, Group Therapeutic Exercise.
- NCCI edits reveal 97150 is Colum 1 Code when billed with Colum 2 Code, 97113.
- Under certain circumstances, the paired codes in question may be unbundled with the use of modifier -59 provided the “two procedures of a code pair edit are performed in different timed intervals even if sequential during the same patient encounter.”
- Documentation of Patient visit includes Aquatic and Group Therapy documentation noting supervision by Therapist for date of service 06/25/2014 and 07/14/2014 for Aquatic Therapy.
- Documentation regarding start and end times for the Aquatic (97113) and Group (97150) were not recorded. As such, clarification as to whether the procedures were performed at “different timed intervals,” could not be ascertained.

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Reimbursement of code 97113-59 is not recommended for both injured workers.

Date of Service: 06/25/2014 and 07/14/2014							
Physician Services							
Service Code	Provider Billed	Plan Allowed	Dispute Amount	Units	Multiple Surgery	Workers' Comp Allowed Amt.	Notes
97113-59 IW1	\$207.69	\$0.00	\$207.69	3	N/A	\$0.00	DISPUTED SERVICE: Reimbursement not recommended.
97113-59 IW2	\$138.46	\$0.00	\$138.46	2	N/A	\$0.00	DISPUTED SERVICE: Reimbursement not recommended.

National Correct Coding Initiative information:

File	Column 1	Column 2	Modifier
Physician Version Number: 20.1 04/01/2014-06/30/2014	97530	97113	Allow Modifier
Physician Version Number: 20.2 07/01/2014-09/30/2014	97530	97113	Allow Modifier

Copy to:

██████████
██████████████████
██████████████████████████████

Copy to:

██
██
██