

MAXIMUS FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.

Independent Bill Review
P.O. Box 138006
Sacramento, CA 95813-8006
Fax: (916) 605-4280

Independent Bill Review Final Determination Reversed

10/20/2014

██████████
████████████████████
████████████████████

IBR Case Number:	CB14-0000488	Date of Injury:	04/12/2002
Claim Number:	██████████	Application Received:	03/27/2014
Claims Administrator:	██		
Date(s) of service:	12/11/2013 – 12/11/2013		
Provider Name:	████████████████████		
Employee Name:	████████████████████		
Disputed Codes:	17304 & 17999-59		

Dear ██████████

Determination:

A Request for Independent Bill Review (IBR) was assigned to MAXIMUS Federal Services on 06/02/2014, by the Administrative Director of the California Division of Workers' Compensation pursuant to California Labor Code section 4603.6. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that the Claims Administrator's determination is reversed. The Claims Administrator is required to reimburse you the IBR fee of \$335.00 and the amount found owing of \$260.10 for a total of \$595.10

Pertinent Records and Other Appropriate Information Relevant to the Determination Reviewed
The following evidence was used to support the decision:

- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Other: PPO Contractual Agreement

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Code 17304 & 17999-59 (C02 Laser) are under review as it the codes were denied in full (or part) for reimbursement.**
- **CPT 17304 Chemosurgery (mohs micrographic technique)** - Claims Administrator reimbursed code with the following message: “2nd procedure done @ 50%.” **CPT 17999-59:** “The value of this procedure is based on 25% of 17106, which appears equal in scope and complexity to services rendered.”
- Provided CMS 1500 form reviewed and verified:
 - Date of Service: 12/11/2013
 - Patient: Verified
 - Procedure Codes: 17304 (3.2wt), 17999 [17106] (1.6wt)
- Surgeon: 2 surgeons performing separately identifiable procedures.
 - No mention of “Co-Surgeon” or “Co-Surgeon” agreement.
 - 2nd Surgeon is a Plastic Surgeon
 - 1st Surgeon is a Dermatologist
- Multiple Surgery Rule Does Not Apply as Providers are not in the same specialty.
- 2nd Surgeon service procedure, skin repair, was not billed by Provider.
 - Performed after Chemosurgery (mohs micrographic technique) by a separate Provider in a separate specialty.
 - Providers billed for separately identifiable procedures.
- Documentation supports separate surgeon performing distinct and separate procedure, CPT 17304 is primary code for this IBR.
- CPT 17304 Findings; Reimbursement is warranted for primary CPT Code 17304.
 - [OMFS \$489.60 X 85% PPO Contract] – Reimbursement \$208.08 = **\$208.08**
- **CPT 17999 Unlisted Code**– Claims Administrator reimbursed code with the following message: “The value of this procedure is based on 25% of **17106 Destruction of cutaneous vascular proliferative lesions (e.g., laser technique); less than 10 sq. cm**, which appears equal in scope and complexity to services rendered.”
 - Operative Report States, “...treated with the C02 fractional ablative laser... spot size was 6mm....”
 - Replacement Code appears to be within the same scope and complexity of service performed.
 - 17999 is a “By Report Code” Reimbursable at 100 % of equal procedure code.
 - OMFS procedure cascade is based on weight of service codes.
 - Code 17106 is the 2nd procedure for this date of service and is reimbursed at 50%
 - [OMFS \$244.80 X 85% PPO Contract x50% Mult. Proc] = Total \$104.04 - Reimbursement \$52.02 = **\$52.02** due provider.

- **DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE:**

- **Recommend reimbursement of code 17304 as service was separate and distinct from the skin repair billed by the 2nd surgeon.**
- **Recommended reimbursement of \$52.02 for code 17999-59 (reviewed as 17106).**

The chart below provides a comparison of billed charges and reimbursement rates for the codes and dates of service at issue.

Service Code	Provider Billed	Plan Allowed	Dispute Amount	Units	Workers ' Comp Allowed Amount	Notes
<i>Date of Service – 12/11/2013 Surgical Procedures</i>						
17304	\$1000.00	\$208.08	\$791.82	1	\$416.16	<i>Recommend \$208.08 Includes PPO Contracted Rate Refer to Analysis for Calculations</i>
17999-59	\$1500.00	\$52.02	\$1447.98	1	\$104.04	<i>By Report Code Subject to 100% of 17106 (Similar Code Assigned by Claims Administrator) = \$104.04. Provider Reimbursed \$52.02 for 17106. \$52.02 - \$104.04 = \$52.02 Recommended Reimbursement.</i>

Determination: Reversed

MAXIMUS Federal Services, as the Independent Bill Review Organization, has determined the Claims Administrator owes the Provider additional reimbursement. The Claims Administrator is required to reimburse the Provider for the IBR application fee (\$335.00) and the OMFS amount for CPT codes 17304 & 17999-59 (\$260.10) for a total of \$595.10.

The Claims Administrator is required to reimburse the provider \$595.10 within 45 days of date on this notice per section 4603.2 (2a). This decision constitutes the final determination of the Division of Workers' Compensation Administrative Director, is binding on all parties, and is not subject to further appeal except as specified in Labor Code section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]
Chief Coding Reviewer

Copy to:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Copy to:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]