

**INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION**

December 16, 2014

[Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
[Redacted]

<b>IBR Case Number:</b>	CB14-0001216	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	02/14/2008
<b>Claim Number:</b>	[Redacted]	<b>Application Received:</b>	08/25/2014
<b>Claims Administrator:</b>	[Redacted]	<b>Assignment Date:</b>	09/25/2014
<b>Provider Name:</b>	[Redacted]		
<b>Employee Name:</b>	[Redacted]		
<b>Disputed Codes:</b>	NDC #68462012601		

Dear [Redacted]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

**Final Determination: OVERTURN. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is reversed and the Claim Administrator owes the Provider additional reimbursement of \$250.00 for the review cost and \$532.38 in additional reimbursement for a total of \$782.38. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.**

The Claim Administrator is required to reimburse the Provider a total of \$782.38 within 45 days of the date on this letter per section 4603.2 (2a) of the California Labor Code. The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

[Redacted]  
Medical Director

cc: [Redacted]  
[Redacted]

## DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Official Medical Fee Schedule
- Negotiated contracted rates:
- Red Book
- Other: OMFS Pharmacy Fee Schedule

## HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

## ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Provider is dissatisfied with denial of NDC 68462012601.
- Per Labor Code Section 5307 (e) (2) compounded drug products are to be billed by the pharmacy or dispensing physician at the ingredient level by National Drug Code (NDC) and quantity. The ingredient-level reimbursement shall be equal to 100 percent of the reimbursement allowed by the MEDI-CAL payment system and payment shall be based on the sum of the allowable fee for each ingredient plus a dispensing fee allowed by MEDI-CAL. If dispensed by a physician, the maximum reimbursement shall not exceed 300 percent of documented paid costs, but no more than twenty dollars above documented paid costs.
- For any pharmacy goods dispensed by a physician not subject to the above, the maximum reimbursement to a physician for pharmacy goods dispensed by the physician shall not exceed any of the following: the allowed amount in the Official Medical Fee Schedule, one hundred twenty percent of the documented paid cost to the physician, or one hundred percent of the documented paid cost to the physician plus two hundred fifty dollars.
- Claims Administrator denied billed code and indicated on the Explanation of Review “The prescription portion of the bill is denied because the services provided were reviewed in accordance with Claims Administrator’s Utilization Review Program and modified by Management on April 18, 2014. Please refer to their notice of that date for details and refer that portion of the billing to the patient.”

- Documentation received included Utilization Determination letter dated April 18, 2014 which certifies “1 prescription of Neurontin 1200mg #42 between 4/9/2014 and 6/16/2014” Gabapentin is Neurontin and therefore was approved by Claims Administrator.
- Based on information reviewed, reimbursement of NDC 68462012601 is warranted.
- PPO Contract was reviewed which states a 10% discount is to be applied to reimbursement.

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Based on information reviewed, reimbursement of NDC 68462012601 is warranted.

<b>Date of Service:</b> 4/30/2014						
<b>Pharmacology</b>						
<b>Service Code</b>	<b>Provider Billed</b>	<b>Plan Allowed</b>	<b>Dispute Amount</b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Workers' Comp Allowed Amt.</b>	<b>Notes</b>
NDC 6846201 2601	\$1236.00	\$0.00	\$1236.00	600	\$591.53	<b>DISPUTED SERVICE:</b> Allow reimbursement \$532.38

Copy to:

[REDACTED]

Copy to:

[REDACTED]