## **Benzophenone Substance Summary**

## Summary

Benzophenone has no ACGIH TLV, NIOSH REL, or OSHA PEL. Only one authoritative body has recommended an exposure limit. Benzophenone is a solid at room temperature and presents vapor and particulate exposures. Benzophenone is well absorbed through the skin. There is limited toxicological data on benzophenone and no chronic inhalation study in animals with which to assess its health hazard. A metabolite of benzophenone has estrogenic activity and has been weakly linked to such effects in humans.

A PEL of 0.25 mg/m<sup>3</sup> based on kidney effects is recommended for discussion. The key target endpoint for the human hazard assessment of benzophenone are kidney and liver effects. Non-neoplastic and neoplastic effects were observed in both these organs in chronic feeding studies in two species. Alpha-2-gloubulin has not been implicated in the kidney lesions observed in male rats so it is appropriate to use the male rat kidney data for hazard assessment. Liver hypertrophy has been attributed to p450 induction (see EFSA). Benzophenone has been shown to be non-genotoxic and not or weakly endrocrine-active in numerous assays. A skin notation is recommended.

## **Physical Properties**

Substance name: Benzophenone

CAS: 119-61-9

MW: 182.22

Synonyms: Diphenylmethanone, Phenyl ketone, Benzoylbenzene, Diphenyl ketone, α-Oxodiphenyl methane

Molecular formula: C13H10O

Structural formula:

Conversion factors at 25 °C and 760 mm Hg: 1 ppm = 7.45 mg/m<sup>3</sup>

Physical appearance at room temp: white, flaked/crystalline solid

Boiling Point: 305 °C

Melting Point: 48.5 °C

Vapor Pressure: 0.006 mmHg at 48 °C

Solubility: insoluble in water, soluble in organics such as alcohol, ether, chloroform

Special physical characteristics if any: rose or geranium-like odor

Flammability and other hazards: Flash point 144°C (closed cup)

# **Uses & Applications**

Benzophenone is a naturally occurring compound used in flavoring and perfumes. It is used as fixative for heavy perfumes in soaps, detergents, and room deodorizers. It is used as a flavoring agent, ultraviolet absorber in inks and coatings, and as a polymerization inhibitor for styrene. It is used in the manufacture of antihistamines, hypnotics, and insecticides.

# **Occupational Exposure Limits (OELs) and Other Recommendations**

### Table 1: Occupational Exposure Limits

Benzophenone has no ACGIH TLV, NIOSH REL, or OSHA PEL.

Source	Findings/Recommendations	Basis/Source/Ref(s)	Discussion and Assessment
AIHA/OARS- WEEL 2003	0.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup> as an 8 hour TWA, no skin notation	benzophenone caused liver injury in two subchronic studies and was likely not genotoxic.	An uncertainty factor of 40 was based on. interspecies differences, differences in route of exposure,
			extrapolation from subchronic data and variability in worker susceptibility

### **Table 2: Other Recommendations of Other Authoritative Bodies**

Source	Findings/Recommendations	Basis/Source/Ref(s)	Discussion and Assessment
Prop 65 (2012)	Listed		
NTP (2006)	Some evidence of carcinogenic activity in male F344/N rats and male & female B6C3F mice; equivocal evidence of carcinogenic activity in female F344/N rats	NTP TR 533 NIH Publication No. 06-4469	
IARC (2013)	Possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B)	IARC Monographs 101- 007	

# **Health Effects**

There are subchronic and chronic feeding studies and a 2-generation reproductive gavage study of benzophenone in rodents. No inhalation study of benzophenone has been conducted. There are extensive mutagenicity and endocrine activity data for benzophenone. There are no data on health effects in humans.

# **Animal Studies**

## **Toxicology and Carcinogenicity**

NTP Technical Report on the Toxicology and Carcinogenicity Studies of Benzophenone (CAS No. 119-61-9) in F3444/N Rats and B6C3F1 Mice (Feed Studies) 2006. https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/htdocs/lt\_rpts/tr533.pdf

Below are the NTP abstracts and toxicology/carcinogenicity conclusions of the two rodent studies with benzophenone. Study details and significant findings are outlined in Tables 3 and 4.

<u>Two-year study in Rats</u>: Groups of 50 male and 50 female rats were fed diets containing 0, 312, 625, or 1,250 ppm benzophenone (equivalent to average daily doses of approximately 15, 30, and 60 mg benzophenone/kg body weight to males and 15, 30, and 65 mg/kg to females) for 105 weeks. There was a positive trend in the incidences of renal tubule adenoma in males, and the incidences in 625 and 1,250 ppm males exceeded the historical control range for all routes; these neoplasms were accompanied by significantly increased incidences of renal tubule hyperplasia. The incidences of pelvic transitional epithelium hyperplasia and the severity of nephropathy were significantly increased in all exposed groups of male rats. Increased incidences of mononuclear cell leukemia in all exposed groups of females exceeded the historical control range from feed studies, and the incidence in 625 ppm females was significantly greater than that in the controls. Male rats exposed to 312 or 625 ppm had significantly increased incidences of mononuclear cell leukemia in all exposed to 312 or 625 ppm had significantly increased incidences of mononuclear cell leukemia. One 625 ppm female and two 1,250 ppm females had histiocytic sarcomas, and the incidence in the 1,250 ppm group exceeded the range in the historical controls. Incidences of mammary gland fibroadenoma in females exposed to 625 or 1,250 ppm were lower than expected after adjusting for body weight.

<u>Two-year study in Mice</u>: Groups of 50 male and 50 female mice were fed diets containing 0, 312, 625, or 1,250 ppm benzophenone (equivalent to average daily doses of approximately 40, 80, and 160 mg/kg body weight to males and 35, 70, and 150 mg/kg to females) for 105 weeks. In male mice, there were significantly increased incidences of hepatocellular adenoma in the 625 and 1,250 ppm groups, and these incidences exceeded the historical control range. All hepatocellular adenoma in the 625 and 1,250 ppm groups were higher than expected after adjusting for the lower body weights in these groups. Incidences of centrilobular hepatocyte hypertrophy were significantly increased in all exposed groups of males and females. The incidence of histiocytic sarcoma in 625 ppm females was significantly increased and exceeded the historical control range. The incidences of kidney nephropathy and mineralization in exposed groups of females and the severity of nephropathy in exposed groups of males were significantly increased. Study details and significant findings are outlined in Tables 3 and 4.

<u>Toxicology/Carcinogenicity</u>: In male rats, renal tubule hyperplasia increased significantly and was accompanied by a dose-dependent enhancement of the severity of nephropathy in all treatment groups. In female rats, a significantly enhanced severity of nephropathy was found at 30 and 65 mg/kg body weight per day. In mice, mild to moderate hyperplasia in centrilobular hepatocytes was observed in all treatment groups. Additionally, increased incidences of a number of liver lesions were found in treated male mice (clear cell foci, multinucleated hepatocytes, necrosis, chronic active inflammation and cystic degeneration). The incidences of nephropathy in exposed females as well as the severity of nephropathy in exposed males were significantly increased. Effects observed in the spleen were increased haematopoietic cell proliferation in females and hyperplastic changes in all treated mice. In male mice an increased mineralization in the testes was reported. Rare histiocytic sarcomas were observed in female rats and mice in the mid and high dose groups (70 and 150 mg/kg body weight per day).

No NOAELs could be derived from these studies. The LOAEL for the rat study was 15 mg/kg body weight per day based on increased incidences of mononuclear cell leukemia and bile duct hyperplasia in all treated females and nephropathy and renal tubule hyperplasia in all treated males. The LOAEL for mice was 312 ppm (35 mg/kg body weight per day) based on multiple hepatocellular adenoma in treated males and nephropathy accompanied by mineralization in treated females and increased severity of nephropathy in treated males.

Under the conditions of these 2-year studies, there was some evidence of carcinogenic activity of benzophenone in male F344/N rats based on increased incidences of renal tubule adenoma; mononuclear cell leukemia in male F344/N rats may have been related to benzophenone exposure. There was equivocal evidence of carcinogenic activity of benzophenone in female F344/N rats based on the marginally increased incidences of mononuclear cell leukemia and histiocytic sarcoma. There was some evidence of carcinogenic activity of benzophenone in male B6C3F1 mice based on increased incidences of hepatocellular neoplasms, primarily adenoma. There was some evidence of carcinogene in female B6C3F1 mice based on increased incidences of hepatocellular adenoma in female B6C3F1 mice may have been related to benzophenone exposure.

	Male F344/N Rats	Female F344/N	Male B6C3F1	Female B6C3F1
Concentrations in feed	0, 312, 625, 1,250	0, 312, 625, 1,250	0, 312, 625, 1,250	0, 312, 625, 1,250
	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
Body weights	625 and 1,250	625 and 1,250 ppm	Exposed groups	312, 625, 1,250
	ppm groups less	groups less than	similar to the	ppm groups less
	than control	control	control group	than control
Non-neoplastic effects	Kidney: renal tubule, hyperplasia, combined evaluations (3/50, 11/50, 30/50,	Kidney: renal tubule, hyperplasia, , combined evaluations (1/50, 8/50, 10/50, 7/50);	Liver: hepatocyte, centrilobular, hypertrophy (0/50, 44/50, 50/50, 48/50); hepatocyte, multinucleated	Liver: hepatocyte, centrilobular, hypertrophy (0/50, 29/50, 44/50, 37/50)

### Table 3. Summary of NTP 2-year oral studies of benzophenone in rodents

	Male F344/N Rats	Female F344/N	Male B6C3F1	Female B6C3F1
		Rats	Mice	Mice
Concentrations	0, 312, 625, 1,250	0, 312, 625, 1,250	0, 312, 625, 1,250	0, 312, 625, 1,250
in feed	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
	40/50); pelvis, transitional epithelium, hyperplasia (1/50, 11/50, 29/50, 34/50); severity of nephropathy (1.3, 2.4, 3.3, 3.8) Liver: hepatocyte, centrilobular, hypertrophy (0/50, 17/50, 31/50, 19/50); degeneration, cystic (8/50, 11/50, 20/50, 15/50)	severity of nephropathy - (1.1, 1.4, 1.7, 2.0) Liver: hepatocyte, centrilobular, hypertrophy (0/50, 27/50, 30/50, 33/50); bile duct, hyperplasia (10/50, 35/50, 39/50, 40/50)	(0/50, 41/50, 47/50, 48/50); inflammation, chronic active (33/50, 47/50, 44/50, 42/50); hepatocyte, degeneration, cystic (0/50, 0/50, 5/50, 30/50) Kidney: severity of nephropathy (1.2, 1.4, 1.7, 3.0) Nose: olfactory epithelium, metaplasia (0/50, 2/50, 2/50, 24/50) Spleen: lymphoid follicle, hyperplasia, (17/50, 31/50, 34/50, 32/50)	Kidney: nephropathy (21/50, 33/50, 31/50, 30/50); mineralization (15/50, 31/50, 36/50, 49/50); severity of nephropathy - (1.2, 1.1, 1.5, 1.7) Nose: olfactory epithelium, metaplasia (0/50, 0/50, 0/50, 39/50) Spleen: lymphoid follicle, hyperplasia, lymphoid (24/50, 36/50, 37/50, 22/50)
Neoplastic effects	Kidney: renal tubule, adenoma (standard evaluation - 1/50, 1/50, 2/50, 4/50; standard and extended evaluations combined - 2/50, 2/50, 7/50, 8/50)	None	Liver: hepatocellular adenoma (11/50, 15/50, 23/50, 23/50); hepatocellular adenoma, hepato- cellular carcinoma, or hepatoblastoma (18/50, 20/50, 25/50, 29/50)	Histiocytic sarcoma: (0/50, 0/50, 5/50, 3/50)
Equivocal findings	Mononuclear cell leukemia: (27/50, 41/50, 39/50, 24/50)	Mononuclear cell leukemia: (19/50, 25/50, 30/50, 29/50) Histiocytic sarcoma: (0/50, 0/50, 1/50, 2/50)	None	Liver: hepatocellular adenoma (5/50, 4/50, 10/50, 8/50)

Table 4.	Summary	of non-neoplastic and	neoplastic lesion	is in chronic f	eeding studies.
----------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------	-----------------	-----------------

Male Rat – 50 animals	0 ppm	312 ppm	625 ppm	12450 ppm
Renal Tubule, Hyperplasia, # of animals	3 (1.0)	11* (1.3)	30** (1.8)	40** (2.1) ŧ
(severity of lesions)				
Pelvis, transitional epithelium hyperplasia	1 (1.0)	11** (1.2)	29** (1.5)	34** (1.7)
Nephropathy severity (incidence)	1.3 (50)	2.4 (45) ŧ	3.3 (50) ŧ	3.8 (50) ŧ
Renal Tubule, Adenoma	2/50	2/50 p	7/50	8/50 p
	<i>p</i> =0.004	=0.688	<i>p</i> =0.093	=0.017
Mononuclear Cell Leukemia	27/50	41/50	39/50	24/50 p
	<i>p</i> =0.508	<i>p</i> =0.003	<i>p</i> =0.005	=0.454
Rat - female				
Renal Tubule, Hyperplasia	1 (1.0)	8* (1.5)	10** (2.2)	7* (2.0)
Nephropathy severity (incidence)	1.1 (47)	1.4 (49)	1.7 (48) <del>i</del>	2.0 (49) <del>i</del>
Mononuclear Cell Leukemia	19/50	25/50 <i>p</i> =	30/50	29/50
	<i>p</i> =0.058	0.25	<i>p</i> =0.048	<i>p</i> =0.068
Mouse - male				
Hepatocyte, Centrilobular, Hypertrophy	0	44** (2.0)	50** (2.0)	48** (3.0)
Hept.Adenoma/Carcinoma/Hepatoblastoma	18/50 <i>p</i>	20/50	25/50 p	29/50
	=0.013	<i>p</i> =0.434	=0.118	<i>p</i> =0.027
Mouse - female				
Hepatocyte, Centrilobular, Hypertrophy	0	29** (2.0)	44** (2.0)	37** (2.9)
Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma	5/50	5/50 p	10/50 p	9/50
	<i>p</i> =0.081	=0.624	=0.131	<i>p</i> =0.165
Histiocytic Sarcoma	0/50	0/50 -	5/50	3/50
	<i>p</i> =0.074		<i>p</i> =0.031	<i>p</i> =0.108

Corresponding rat doses are 15, 30, and 60 mg benzophenone/kg body weight to males and 15, 30, and 65 mg/kg to females and mice

\* Significantly different (P≤0.05) from the control group by the Poly-3 test, \*\* P≤0.01.Beneath the control incidence is the P value associated with the trend test. Beneath the exposed group incidences are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between the controls and that exposed group. The Poly-3 test accounts for differential mortality in animals that do not reach terminal sacrifice.

## **Reproductive Toxicology**

NTP conducted several range-finding developmental toxicity studies with benzophenone in rats and rabbits in which effects on the fetus were only observed in the presence of maternal toxicity. Benzophenone was administered by gavage to rats on gestational days (GD) 6 to 19 at doses of 0, 100, 200, or 300 mg/kg bw/day (NTP 2002). Maternal toxicity was observed at all doses, including clinical signs (lethargy, piloerection, weight loss) and significantly increased maternal liver and kidney weights. Decreased fetal body weight was noted at the highest dose, and what were considered "mild developmental delays with a high probability of recovery during early postnatal development" were observed at all doses. The incidences of unossified sternebrae were increased in all dose groups and the incidence of extra rib was increased in the two highest dose groups. The maternal toxicity

lowest-observed-adverse-effect level (LOAEL) was reported as 100 mg/kg /day (no NOAEL was established).

In a similar study, developmental toxicity of benzophenone was investigated in rabbits, administered benzophenone by gavage in doses of 0, 5, 25 and 45 mg/kg /day on gestational days 6–29 (NTP 2004). Maternal body weights and feed consumption decreased in a dose-related manner, but no effects on liver and kidney weights were observed. There were no effects on prenatal viability. However, the number of successful deliveries was decreased with increasing dose of benzophenone. Fetal body weight was significantly decreased in the highest dose group. In rabbits, dose-related increases in the incidences of abortion or early delivery were reported in the mid- and high-dose groups, along with dose-related reductions in maternal body weight (not reversed) and fetal body weight. The LOAEL was considered to be 25 mg/kg bw/day for maternal toxicity and early termination of pregnancy and NOAEL was determined to be 5 mg/kg bw/day. The conclusion by the authors was that developmental toxicity was only noted in the presence of maternal toxicity.

No reproductive toxicity or effects on endocrine systems were apparent in a two-generation study in which SD rats were exposed to benzophenone in the diet at 0, 6, 29 and 130 mg/kg bw/day (males) and 0, 9, 40, and 170 mg/kg bw/day (females) (Hoshino, 2005). However, in both sexes of F0 and F1 parents, inhibition of body weight gain and food consumption, significantly elevated renal weights and changes in renal tubules, and significantly increased hepatic weight and centrilobular hepatocytic hypertrophy were observed in mid- and high-dose groups. In both generations, no effects were observed on male and female reproduction (sperm analysis, oestrous cycle, serum levels of testosterone, estradiol, follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and luteinising hormone (LH), mating and fertility index, gestational length, number of implantation sites, number of offspring at birth and sex ratio). No effect of treatment was found on viability, physical development, including vaginal opening and preputial separation of the penis, results of reflex and response tests or on external abnormalities. Anogenital distance (AGD) was statistically significantly decreased in the low- and middose group in females of the F1 generation, but not in the high dose or in the F2 generation or in males. The decrease in F1 female AGD was up to 11%, statistically significant and based on reasonable numbers (n = 22-24) that accounted for the litter effect. A decreased female AGD may be adverse and could be an indication of developmental and/or endocrine consequences. However, the effect on AGD was not dose-dependent and no effects on fertility were observed.

The estrogenic activity of benzophenone and its metabolites, benzhydrol and 4-

hydroxybenzophenone, were investigated in vivo by uterotrophic assay in juvenile female Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats (Nakagawa Y and Tayama K, 2001). Juvenile female rats (21-days-old) were given s.c. injections of benzophenone, its metabolites, and 17 beta-estradiol for 3 days. Administration of phydroxybenzophenone (100-400 mg/kg) elicited an increase in absolute and relative uterine weights in a dose-dependent manner and 17 beta-estradiol (10 micrograms/kg) increased uterine weight approximately fourfold relative to control. The uterine response caused by both compounds was accompanied by an increase in luminal epithelial height and stromal cells in the uterus and an increase in thickness of vaginal epithelial cell layers with cornification. In contrast, benzophenone and benzhydrol at a dose of 400 mg/kg affected neither uterine weight nor histological changes of the

uterus and vagina. These results indicate that p-hydroxybenzophenone, a metabolite of benzophenone, exhibits intrinsic xeno-estrogenic activity in the female reproductive tract.

The study was repeated by delivering benzophenone and its metabolites by gavage (100 or 400 mg/kg) once per day for 3 days to ovariectomized Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats (Nakagawa Y and Tayama K, 2002). The high dose of benzophenone elicited an approximately 1.9-fold increase in absolute and relative uterine weight while 17beta-estradiol (positive control) increased uterine weight approximately fivefold relative to the control. The uterine response caused by both compounds was accompanied by an increase in luminal epithelium height and stromal cell numbers in the uterus and an increase in the thickness of vaginal epithelium cell layers with cornification. At 24 h after the last dose, the mean serum concentrations of benzophenone, benzhydrol and p-hydroxybenzophenone in the high-dosed rats were 10.4+/-1.0, 1.5+/-0.3, and 0.7+/-0.2 (mean +/- SE) micro mol/l, respectively, whereas in the serum of low-dosed rats these compounds were not detected. Based on these findings, the authors concluded that the pro-estrogenic compound benzophenone requires biotransformation to p-hydroxybenzophenone, a metabolite with intrinsic hormonal activity.

An additional two-generation reproductive toxicity studies were performed in rats which utilizing extra parameters to detect endocrine-disrupting activity, AGD included. Rats were given benzophenone via the diet at concentrations of 0, 100, 450 and 2,000 ppm feed (equal to 9, 40.5, and 180 mg/kg per day, using default conversion factors by EFSA Scientific Committee, 2012). According to the authors, no obvious effects on endocrine system and reproductive toxicological effects were detected in the F0 and F1 parents of F1 and F2 offspring (Yamasaki, 2005).

## **Mutagenicity**

Benzophenone was not mutagenic in the standard Ames test using various strains of Salmonella typhimurium (Mortelmans et al., 1986) or in the Escherichia coli Pol A assay (Fluck et al., 1976). In addition, negative results were reported with benzophenone in the mouse lymphoma L5178Y/tk+/- cell test for induction of trifluorothymidine resistance (CCRIS, 1991). All three of these in vitro assays were performed with and without rodent liver S9 metabolic activation enzymes. Results of a recent investigation of the genotoxic potential of benzophenone showed no induction of DNA damage as measured by umu gene expression in S. typhimurium strain TA1535/pSK1002 in the absence or the presence of microsomes from rat, mouse, or human, however significant dose-related increases in umu gene expression were elicited in the presence of recombinant human cytochrome P450s in the same studies (Takemoto et al., 2002). In vivo, benzophenone did not increase the frequency of micronuclei in erythrocytes from bone marrow or from peripheral blood in mice after intraperitoneal injections at 200 to 500 mg/kg bw/day for 3 days or after dietary exposure at 200 to 4200 mg/kg bw/day for 14 weeks (NTP 2006). Benzophenone has been classified as non-genotoxic by several authoritative bodies (IARC; 2013; ECHA, 2016; Health Canada, 2018). Results of mutagenicity testing are provided in Table 5 (Appendix).

NTP (2006) has evaluated the umu gene expression findings:

"The positive results reported for benzophenone in the umu gene expression assay do not directly conflict with the negative results obtained in Salmonella gene mutation assays because the endpoints measured by the two assays differ, as do important aspects of the test protocols. Briefly, the umu assay indirectly detects DNA damage induced anywhere in the Salmonella genome by analyzing fluorescent signals produced by expression of the umubeta-galactosidase gene complex carried in the pSK1002 plasmid (genes in the umu operon control SOS error-prone DNA repair which is expressed in response to induced damage). The Salmonella assay, in contrast, measures fixed damage induced specifically within defined regions of the histidine operon, resulting in heritable changes in the bacterial DNA directly observable as mutant colonies. Primary DNA damage, such as that detected in the umu assay, may or may not result in mutation. In addition to the endpoint differences, the activation systems contained different liver enzyme mixtures, and the human cytochrome preparations used in the umu assay had specific enzymatic cofactors added to the mixture to ensure the availability of a sufficient number of electrons for metabolic activities to proceed. The pretreatments used to induce rodent S9 liver enzymes in standard bacterial mutation assays may not induce the P450 2A6 and specific other cytochromes that were shown to be effective in transforming benzophenone into a DNA damaging agent in the umu assay."

In a recent study, benzophenone was found to produce significantly longer tail moments in L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells using the COMET assay after 2 hours of exposure (Jeon, 2017). Significant DNA damage was observed at all benzophenone concentrations (18.0 to 72.1  $\mu$ g/mL) in the -S9 treatment groups. In the +S9 treatment, BP induced significant DNA damage at concentrations of 25.0 and 50.0  $\mu$ g/mL (*P*<0.05).

A recent test using the umu assay found benzophenone had the highest concentration of the substituted benzophenone forms needed to double the response to that of the negative control (Zhao, 2013).

To address the potential for high concentrations of test compound to inhibit bacterial growth in the Ames assay, Wang (2018) tested 4 strains Ames strains at quantities of (0.05, 0.5, 5, 50, and 500  $\mu$ g/plate) with and without the S9 fraction. In three strains, no effect of benzophenone was observed at any concentration. In the T102 strain, significant mutagenicity ratios (MR, 2x that of controls) were observed ay 0.05 and 0.5  $\mu$ g/plate without S9 but not at the higher concentrations. No significant MR were observed at any concentration with the S9 fraction. The authors suggested that the S9 fraction could lead to repair of DNA damage from benzophenone.

Interpretation of these recent studies is uncertain given the substantial findings that benzophenone is not genotoxic. Recent computational investigations show that reactions between photo-activated benzophenone and DNA are highly probable but the nature of DNA damage from this interaction unknown (Marazzi, 2016).

### **Endocrine Activity**

Benzophenone had low affinity for the human ER receptor, did not activate ER-mediated gene transcription, did not activate progesterone-mediated gene transcription and had no proliferative effect on MCF—7 cells (human breast cell line). In animal studies, no estrogenic effects of benzophenone have been observed (see Table 6, Appendix). Several rodent studies did not observe endocrine effects from benzophenone, including a 2-generation reproductive study in which treatment had no effect on anogenital distance in F1 and F2 offspring, timing of sexual maturation in F1 offspring, weights and histopathological evaluation of testes, epididymis, prostates, seminal vesicles, ovaries and uterus in F1 parental animals, and levels of testosterone, FSH, LH and estradiol, estrous cyclicity and semen quality in F0 and F1 animals (add REFS). A recent study (Kerdivel, 2013) confirmed that benzophenone was not estrogenic in MCF-7 cells whereas 4-OH-BP was.

The metabolite of benzophenone, 4- OH-BP, has demonstrated estrogenic effects in in vitro assays and animal studies. See Tables 6 (animal assays, Appendix) and 7 (in vitro assay, Appendix) for results with benzophenone and 4-OH-BP.

# **Epidemiologic Studies**

A limited number of epidemiologic studies have been conducted with benzophenone (BP), predominantly by one group (see Table 8, Appendix). These are population studies in which UV filters 1 (BP and 4-OH-BP) and 2 (all others) in the urine from women and urine and seminal fluid were compared with multiple reproduction and developmental endpoints. Only one association between 4-OH-BP, a metabolite of BP, was an endocrine effect (fecundability) marginally significant.

## **Health Assessments**

There are no data available on the human health effects of inhalation exposure to benzophenone and only one authoritative body has formally recommended an occupational exposure limit for benzophenone. The hazards assessments that have been done are based on chronic and subchronic feeding studies. Given the lipophilicity of benzophenone ( $K_{ow}$  = 3.2), it is likely highly bioavailable in the respiratory tract and lungs.

Several bodies have conducted hazard assessments of benzophenone using the results from the rat feeding studies (Tables 3, 4).

Based on the NTP (2006) study, the European Food Safety Agency (2009, 2017) used the lower 95% confidence limits of the bench mark dose for a 10% effect (BMDL<sub>10</sub>) value for non-cancer kidney effects, which was 3.1 mg/kg-bw/day. Scaling that dose to a 70 kg human using a ( $BW_a/BW_h^{0.25}$ ) EPA, 2011) yields a human BMDL<sub>10</sub> = 0.887 mg/kg-day. EFSA applied an interspecies uncertainty factor of 3 and intraspecies factor of 10 to give a human RfD of 0.03 mg/kg-day. Assuming 100 % absorption and a 70-kg human breathing 10 m<sup>3</sup> in 8 hours coverts the oral dose to an 8-hr inhalation concentration of 0.21 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

Based on a subchronic study (Burdock, 1991), AIHA developed a WEEL for benzophenone based on a NOAEL taken from a subchronic feeding study in rats (0, 20, 100 or 500 mg/mg-day). As rationale, the authors noted the facts that benzophenone caused liver injury in two subchronic studies, had low

acute toxicology and was likely not genotoxic. To determine the WEEL, consideration was given to interspecies differences, differences in route of exposure, extrapolation from subchronic data and variability in worker susceptibility, resulting in a total uncertainty factor of 40.

Using the male rat kidney tumor data from the NTP (2006) study, Michigan DEQ calculated an inhalation unit risk factor using EPA benchmark dose software and the latest guidance provided by EPA (2012b). In Table 9, that unit risk factor has been adjusted for occupational exposure and 1/1000 risk. EFSA (2009) noted that strictly speaking, the p-value for the null model of the rat kidney tumor data (linear response not different from zero) did not support a dose-response trend (p = 0.057).

Agency/Organization	End- point	Health Assessment
European Food Safety Authority, 2009	Non- neo- plastic kidney effects	Rat dose (BMDL <sub>10</sub> ) scaled to human equivalent with body weight scaling ((BWa/BWh) <sup>0.25</sup> : (BMDL <sub>10</sub> HUMAN = 3.1 mg/kg x (0.47 kg/70 kg) <sup>0.25</sup> . (EPA, 2011) = 0.887 mg/kg Rfd = 0.89 $\div$ 3 $\div$ 10 = 0.03 mg/kg Where 3 is UF <sub>interspecies</sub> and 10 is UF <sub>intraspecies</sub> Converted to 8–hr air, assuming 100% absorption and 10 m <sup>3</sup> : 0.03 mg/kg x 70 kg/10m <sup>3</sup> = <b>0.21 mg/m<sup>3</sup></b>
AIHA – WEEL, 2003	Increas ed liver and kidney weights ; histopat h-ology	NOAEL = 20 mg-kg/day. To determine OEL, consideration was given to interspecies differences, differences in route of exposure, extrapolation from subchronic data and variability in worker susceptibility; total UF = 40, specific uncertainty values not reported. $20 \text{ mg/kg} \div 40 = 0.5 \text{ mg/m}^3$

Table 9: Health assessments of benzophenone based on sub-chronic rat feeding studies (Table 3).

Agency/Organization	End- point	Health Assessment
Michigan DEQ, 2015	Male Rat kidney tumors	Using EPA Benchmark Dose Software (2012a) a cancer slope factor for male rat kidney adenoma/carcinoma incidence was determined and converted to human equivalent with body weight scaling (BWh/BWa)0.25 . Assuming 100% inhalation absorption, the oral slope factor was adjusted to inhalation exposure (20 m <sup>3</sup> /70 kg) to yield the integrated unit risk, the incremental 1 per million risk of lifetime exposure to benzophenone, 0.2 ug/m3*. The IUR can be adjusted for worker exposure ( 8-hr day, 5 day week, 50 week year, 45 years working) and a 1/1000 cancer risk as follows:
		Worker exposure = Working lifetime exposure / lifetime exposure = $45/70 \times 50/52 \times 5/7 \times 10/20$ = $0.221$ PEL = Excess working lifetime cancer risk URL x Worker exposure factors = $0.001/(4.79 \times 10^{-6}) \times 0.221$ = $9459 \mu g/m^3$ = <b>0.95 mg/m</b> <sup>3</sup>

\*Calculation from Michigan DEQ, 2015:

## From Table 2, Michigan DEQ, 2015

Table 2.	Initial Risk Screening Level Calculation
----------	--

Tumor Type From NTP (2006)	Animal Slope Factor per mg/kg	Body Weight (BW) of Animal kg	Human Equivalent Dose (HED) conversion factor ("T")*	IUR-HED per mg/kg	Convert** to Inhalation Unit Risk (IUR)	Candidate IUR per μg/m³	Candidate IRSL μg/m³ (1 sig. fig.)***
Male Rat Kidney Adenoma +Carcinoma	0.0048	4.7E-01	3.49	1.68E-02	2.86E-04	4.79E-06	0.2

## Recommendation

A PEL of 0.25 mg/m<sup>3</sup> based on kidney effects is recommended for discussion. The key target endpoint for the human hazard assessment of benzophenone are kidney and liver effects. Non-neoplastic and neoplastic effects were observed in both these organs in chronic feeding studies in two species. Alpha-2-gloubulin has not been implicated in the kidney lesions observed in male rats so it is appropriate to use the male rat kidney data for hazard assessment. Liver hypertrophy has been attributed to p450 induction (see EFSA). Benzophenone has been shown to be non-genotoxic and not or weakly endrocrine-active in numerous assays.

Benzophenone induced cancer on multiple sites in both rats and mice in chronic feeding studies. However, the mode of action of carcinogenicity of benzophenone in the oral studies is uncertain. Given the results of the NTP bioassay, mutagenicity assasys (negative) and the evidence of effects on the endocrine system, IARC (2013) suspected that multiple mechanisms, such as the generation of reactive oxygen species and interference with endocrine system via multiple receptors, might be involved in the carcinogenicity of benzophenone. In addition, the pathogenesis of benzophenoneinduced renal tubule cancer has not been determined by NTP (2006). While IARC (2013) considered that the short survival of high-dose male rats was attributable to the increased severity of chronic progressive nephropathy (CPN), it did not conclude that CPN was a mechanism for renal tumor development. IARC concluded that benzophenone is possibly carcinogenic to humans (2B).

Benzophenone has also been associated with endocrine effects in several in vivo studies. Benzophenone produced no endocrine effects in 2-generation chronic feeding studies (REFs). The likely toxic molecule is a metabolite of benzophenone, 4-OPH- benzophenone. A range of *in vitro* studies and QSAR predictions indicates that benzophenone itself does not bind and activate the estrogen receptors, whereas 4-OH BP does. (Schultz et al. (2000), Nishihara et al. 2000, Nakagawa et al., 2000; Yamasaki et al., 2002; Suzuki et al 2005; Hayashi et al., 2006; Kerdivel et al 2013).

A skin notation is recommended for benzophenone. Benzophenone is well-absorbed through skin and exposure assessments for it estimate significant uptake.

## **Usage Information**

CERS data pending approval.

## **Measurement Information**

OSHA Method: OSHA PV2130.

The detection limit of the overall procedure is 0.27  $\mu$ g and the reliable quantitation limit is 0.89  $\mu$ g. The equivalent air concentrations are 5.63  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and 18.5  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> respectively based on the recommended sampling parameter of 240 min at 0.2L/min (48 L).

Based on this information, there are no anticipated concerns with analytical feasibility.

## **Recommended Workplace Controls and Feasbility Issues**

The Division is seeking stakeholder input on these subjects.

## References

Buck-Louis GM et al., 2014. <u>Urinary concentrations of benzophenone-type ultraviolet radiation filters</u> <u>and couples' fecundity</u>. Am J Epidemiol. 2014 180(12):1168-75. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4262441/

Buck-Louis et al., 2015. <u>Urinary Concentrations of Benzophenone-Type Ultra Violet Light Filters and</u> <u>Semen Quality Fertil Steril. 104(4): 989–996.</u> https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4592813/

Burdock, G.A., D.H. Pence, and R.A. Ford: Safety Evaluation of Benzophenone. Food and Cosmetic Toxicology 29(11):741-750 (1991).

ECHA, 2017. DECISION ON SUBSTANCE EVALUATION PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 46(1) OF REGULATION (EC) NO 1907/2006

European Food Safety Agency, 2009. <u>Toxicological evaluation of benzophenone</u>. https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/1104

European Food Safety Agency, 2017. <u>Safety of benzophenone to be used as flavouring</u> https://efsa.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.5013

Jeon H-K, Sarma SN, Kim Y-J and Rhy J-C, 2007. Forward gene mutation assay of seven benzophenone-type UV filters using L5178Y mouse lymphoma cell. Molecular and Cellular Toxicology, 3, 23 –30

Jeon H-K 2017. Comparative toxicity related to metabolisms of benzophenone-type UV filters, potentially harmful to the environment and humans Mol Cell Toxicol (2017) 13:337-343.

Hoshino N, Tani E, Wako Y, Takahashi K. 2005. <u>A two-generation reproductive toxicity study of benzophenone in rats</u>. J Toxicol Sci. 30:5-20. https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/jts/30/Special/30\_Special\_S5/\_pdf/-char/en

Nakagawa Y and Tayama K, 2001. Estrogenic potency of benzophenone and its metabolites in juvenile female rats. Arch Toxicol. 75(2):74-9.

Hoshino N, Tani E, Wako Y, Takahashi K. 2005. <u>A two-generation reproductive toxicity study of benzophenone in rats</u>. J Toxicol Sci. 30:5-20. https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/jts/30/Special/30\_Special\_S5/\_pdf/-char/en

<u>Marazzi M</u> et al., 2016. <u>Hydrogen abstraction by photoexcited benzophenone: consequences for DNA photosensitization</u>. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 18(11):7829-36. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/293795422

Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, Interoffice Communication, July 10, 2015, <u>File for Benzophenone (CAS No. 119-61-9</u>) http://www.deq.state.mi.us/aps/downloads/ATSL/119-61-9/119-61-9\_annual\_ITSL\_IRSL.pdf

NTP, 2002. <u>Developmental Toxicity Evaluation for Benzophenone (CAS No. 119-61- 9) Administered</u> by Gavage to Sprague-Dawley (CD) Rats on Gestational Days 6 through 19. NTP Study No. TER-98-005. https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/testing/types/dev/abstracts/ter99001/ter99001.html NTP, 2004. <u>Developmental Toxicity Evaluation for Benzophenone (CAS No. 119-61-9) Administered</u> by Gavage to New Zealand White Rabbits on Gestational Days 6 through 29. Final Study Report. NTP Study No. TER-99-001. https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/testing/types/dev/abstracts/ter99001/ter99001.html

NTP Technical Report on the Toxicology and Carcinogenicity Studies of Benzophenone (CAS No. <u>119-61-9</u>) in F3444/N Rats and B6C3F1 Mice (Feed Studies) 2006. https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/htdocs/lt rpts/tr533.pdf

Tatsuya K et al., 2012. <u>Urinary Concentrations of Benzophenone-type UV Filters in US Women and</u> <u>Their Association with Endometriosis</u> <u>Environ Sci Technol. 2012 Apr 17; 46(8): 4624–4632.</u> https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3352028/

<u>Toxicology Excellence for Risk Assessment (TERA), Occupational Alliance for Risk Assessment</u> (OARS), Workplace Environmental Exposure Levels (WEELs) https://www.tera.org/OARS/WEELs.pdf

Wang WQ, et al. 2018. <u>Evaluation by the Ames Assay of the Mutagenicity of UV Filters Using</u> <u>Benzophenone and Benzophenone-1</u>. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018 2;15(9). https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327410445

Yamasaki K, Takahashi M, Yasuda M. 2005. <u>Two-generation reproductive toxicity studies in rats with</u> <u>extra parameters for detecting endocrine disrupting activity: introductory overview of results for nine</u> <u>chemicals</u>. J Toxicol Sci. Spec No.:1-4. https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/jts/30/Special/30 Special S1/ pdf/-char/en

# Appendix

 Table 5:
 Mutagenicity Results for Benzophenone

Assay	Study	Assay System	Experimental	Findings
Micronucleus assay in bone marrow	NTP 2006	B6C3F1 male mice	IP: 00, 300, 400, 500 mg/kg bw (solvent: corn oil	Negative
Micronucleus assay in peripheral blood polychromatic erythrocytes	NTP 2006	B6C3F1 male and female mice	Oral (feed) 1,250, 2,500, 5,000, 10,000, 20,000 ppm	Negative
	Abramsson- Zetterberg and Svensson (2011)	Male CBA mice	IP: 500, 1,000, 2,000 mg/kg bw	Negative
	Abramsson- Zetterberg and Svensson (2011)	Male NMRI	100, 250, 400, 600 mg/kg bw	Negative
Bacterial reverse Mutation (Ames)	Mortelmans et al. (1986)	S. Typhimurium TA97, TA98, TA100, TA1535 and TA1537	3–1,000 µg/plate	Negative
	CCRIS 2009	S. Typhimurium TA97, TA98, TA100, TA1535 and TA1537	10-2000 μg/plate 3-333 μg/plate 10-1,000 μg/plate 1-166 μg/plate	Negative
	NTP, 2006	S. Typhimurium TA98, TA100, TA1535 and TA1537 with and without activation		Negative
	Wang 2018	S. Typhimurium TA97, TA98, TA100, and TA102	0.05 – 500 µg/plate, S9- and S9+,5 doses each,	TA97, 98 100 – negative all doses; TA102 positive at 0.05 and 5 –S9; all others negative
	CCRIS 2009	L5178Y (tk+/-) mouse lymphoma cells	33-90 μg/mL 35-145 μg/mL	Negative

DRAFT – do not cite or copy

Gene mutation in mammalian cells	Jeon 2007	L5178Y (tk+/-) mouse lymphoma cells	89 - 142.9 μg/mL 89 – 147.2 μg/mL	Negative
SOS/umu assay	Takemoto et al. (2002)	S. Typhimurium TA1535	0 – 1,000 µM	Positive(p45 0)/ negative
	Kotnik et al. (2016)	S. Typhimurium TA1535	7.8–1,000 µg/mL	positive
Comet Assay	Jeon 2017	L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells	18.0 - 72.1 μg/mL -S9 25.0 - 50.0 μg/mL +S9	Positive Positive

### Table 6: In Vivo Studies of Endocrine Effects of Benzophenone and 4-Hydroxybenzophenone\*

Animal	Administration	Administration	Dose	Results	References
Rat	s.c.	BZP was	BZP 0, 5, 50, 500	Slight increase in uterine weight at	CERI, 2001b
(SD, female)	(Uterotrophic	administered for	mg/kg/day	500 mg/kg/day.	
6 rats/group	assay)	7 days from the		(estrogenic effect)	
	(Ovariectomized	age of 8 weeks,	BZP 0, 5, 50, 500	Slight decrease in uterine weight at	
	rats,	and uterus was	mg/kg/day	50 mg/kg/day or above.	
	Ovariectomized	removed on the	+	(anti-estrogenic effect)	
	at the age of 6	8th day and	17 $\alpha$ -Ethinylestradiol		
	weeks)	weighed.	0.3 µg/kg/dav		
			(s.c.)		
Rat	S.C.	BZP was	BZP 0, 2, 20, 200	No effect on uterine weight.	CERI, 2001a
(SD, female)	(Uterotrophic	administered for	mg/kg/day	5	
6 rats/group	assav)	3 days from			
		postnatal day			
		20, and the			
		uterus was			
		removed on the			
		4th day and			
		weighed.			
Rat	S.C.	BZP derivatives	4-Hydroxy-BZP at 0,	The uterine weight increased dose-	Nakagawa &
(SD, female)	(Uterotrophic	were	100, 200 and 400	dependently.	Tayama, 2001
	assay)	administered for	mg/kg/day	(estrogenic effect)	
		3 days from			
		postnatal day	Benzhydrol	No effect on uterine weight.	
		21, and the	400 mg/kg/day		
		uterus was			
		removed 6 hr			
		after the final			
		dose and			
		weighed.			
Rat	Oral gavage	BZP was	BZP 0, 1, 10, 100	No effect on weights of male	CERI, 2001b
(SD, male)	(Hershberger	administered for	mg/kg/day	accessory reproductive organs.	
6 rats/group	assay)	10 days from the			
	(Castrated rats,	age of 7 weeks,	BZP 0, 1, 10, 100	No effect on weights of male	
	castrated at the	and male	mg/kg/day	accessory reproductive organs.	
	age of 6 weeks)	accessory	+		
	1	reproductive	Testosterone		
		organs were	propionate		
		organs were weighed on the	propionate 0.4 mg/kg/day		

\*Benzophenone is denoted as BZP and 4-hydroxybenzophenone as 4-Hydoxy-BZP in the table. Other chemicals are benzophenone derivatives not formed through metabolism. Estradiol, potent binder to the ER receptor is noted as E2.

Item	Test methods and conditions	Results	Conclusion	References
ER binding assay	Human ER binding assay (recombinant ERα ligand domain)	BZP: IC50 value: >10 <sup>4</sup> M (E2: 1.7×10 <sup>9</sup> M) BZP derivatives (IC50 value) 4-Hydroxy-BZP: 1.3×10 <sup>5</sup> M (E2: 1.4×10 <sup>9</sup> M; RBA: 0.011%)	BZP has no binding affinity for human ER. BZP derivatives (4- hydroxy/3- hydroxy/4,4'- dibudroxy/2.4	CERI, 2001a
		3-Hydroxy-BZP: 1.0×10 <sup>5</sup> M (E2: 1.3×10 <sup>9</sup> M; RBA: 0.013%) 4,4'-Dihydroxy-BZP: 7.3×10 <sup>6</sup> M (E2: 1.2×10 <sup>9</sup> M; RBA: 0.017%) 2,4-Dihydroxy-BZP: 8.9×10 <sup>6</sup> M (E2: 1.2×10 <sup>9</sup> M; RBA: 0.014%) 2,4,4'-Trihydroxy-BZP: 1.7×10 <sup>6</sup> M (E2: 1.2×10 <sup>9</sup> M; RBA: 0.074%) 2,3,4,4'-Tetrahydroxy-BZP: 4.3×10 <sup>6</sup> M (E2: 1.1×10 <sup>9</sup> M; RBA: 0.025%) 2,2',4,4'-Tetrahydroxy-BZP: 1.4×10 <sup>6</sup> M (E2: 1.3×10 <sup>9</sup> M; RBA: 0.093%) 4-Chloro-4'-hydroxy-BZP: 1.9×10 <sup>5</sup> M (E2: 1.6×10 <sup>9</sup> M; RBA: 0.0081%) 4-Fluoro-4'-hydroxy-BZP: 4.8×10 <sup>5</sup> M (E2: 1.1×10 <sup>9</sup> M); 2.7×10 <sup>5</sup> M (E2: 1.1×10 <sup>9</sup> M); RBA: 0.0031% 2,3,4-Trihydroxy-BZP: 1.8×10 <sup>5</sup> M (E2: 1.6×10 <sup>9</sup> M; RBA: 0.0088%) 4,4'-Dibromo-BZP: 1.7×10 <sup>5</sup> M (E2: 1.4×10 <sup>9</sup> M; RBA: 0.0082%)	dihydroxy/2,4- dihydroxy/2,4,4'- trihydroxy/2,3,4,4'- tetrahydroxy/2,2'4, 4'-tetrahydroxy/4- chloro-4'- hydroxy/4-fluoro- 4'-hydroxy/2,3,4- trihydroxy/4,4'- dibromo derivatives of BZP) have binding affinity for ER (the binding affinities were 1/1,100 - 1/44,000 of that of E2).	
		BZP IC50:>5X10-4M	No effect	Nakagawa & Tavama,
		4-Hydroxy-BZP: 5×10 <sup>-5</sup> M	Binding affinity +	2001
Yeast two- hybrid assay	Cells: Yeast cells transfected with Gal4 DNA binding domain/human ER ligand binding domain genes, Gal4 activation domain/coactivator TIF2 genes and β- galactosidase reporter gene	REC10: >3×10 <sup>-3</sup> M (E2: 3×10 <sup>-10</sup> M)	BZP does not activate ER- mediated gene transcription.	Nishihara et al., 2000

Table 7: In Vitro Studies of Endocrine Effects of Benzophenone and 4-Hydroxybenzophenone\*

\*Benzophenone is denoted as BZP and 4-hydroxybenzophenone as 4-Hydoxy-BZP in the table. Other chemicals are benzophenone derivatives not formed through metabolism. Estradiol, potent binder to the ER receptor is noted as E2

### Table 8: Epidemiological Studies

8.1.	Urinary Concentrations of Benzophenone-type UV Filters in US Women a	and Their	Association
with	l Endometriosis		

Rationale	Design	Findings	Discussion
The association of	Urine samples were	The strength of	The unadjusted and adjusted
urinary	collected from 431 and 63	correlation between	ORs were elevated for 2OH-
concentrations of	currently-menstruating	BP derivative	4MeO-BP and 2,4OH-BP,
BP derivatives with	women, aged 18-44 years,	concentrations was	particularly at the higher
an increase in the	who were scheduled to	evaluated by simple	quartiles, but not for 4OH-BP.
odds of a	undergo a diagnostic and/or	regression analysis.	A significant trend was
diagnosis of	therapeutic laparoscopy or	The relation	observed between 2,4OH-BP
endometriosis was	laparotomy (referred to as	between BP	and the odds of an
examined in 600	"operative or surgical	derivative	endometriosis diagnosis, but
women who	cohort"). Urine samples	concentrations and	only in the operative cohort
underwent	were collected from 131	odds of an incident	(OR = 1.19; 95% CI = 1.01,
laparoscopy/laparo	currently-menstruating	endometriosis	1.41).
tomy (n = 473:	women who were matched	diagnosis was	A similar pattern was observed
operative cohort)	to the operative cohort on	explored using	in the population cohort, but
or pelvic magnetic	age and residence (referred	multivariable logistic	the CIs for all BP derivatives
resonance imaging	to as "population or	regression. Given	included one, denoting the
(n = 127:	unexposed cohort"). The	the uncertain timing	absence of significance,
population cohort),	intent of the population	of endometriosis	possibly indicative of the
during 2007-2009	cohort was to identify	onset, we estimated	limited number ( <i>n</i> = 14) of
	women at risk for	the odds ratios	women in the population
	endometriosis ( <i>i.e</i> .,	(ORs) for diagnosis	cohort with endometriosis.
	currently menstruating) who	along with	
	did not seek medical care;	corresponding 95%	CONCLUSION: No
	this group served as a	confidence intervals	association between 40H-BP
	comparison cohort for the	(Cls) for each BP	and endometriosis.
	operative cohort and for the	derivative, rather	
	assessment of consistency	than estimating	
	of findings across cohorts.	incident disease, <i>per</i>	
	4OH-BP was detected in	se.	
	83.8% of the urine samples		
	analyzed.		

Odds of an endometriosis diagnosis by urinary concentrations of BP derivatives and cohort (ENDO Study)

BP analyte	Operative co	ohort ( <i>n</i> =473)	Population cohort ( <i>n</i> =127)			
(quarter ng/mL)	OR <sup>c</sup> (95% Cl)	OR <sup>d</sup> (95% CI)	OR <sup>c</sup> (95% CI)	OR <sup>d</sup> (95% CI)		
40H-BP						
1 <sup>st</sup> quartile (<0.082-0.17)	reference	reference	reference	reference		
2 <sup>nd</sup> quartile (0.18-0.35)	0.87 (0.52, 1.45)	0.92 (0.55, 1.54)	1.08 (0.20, 5.85)	1.51 (0.25, 9.20)		
3 <sup>rd</sup> quartile (0.36-0.71)	1.02 (0.61, 1.71)	1.03 (0.62, 1.73)	1.24 (0.25, 6.06)	2.20 (0.38, 12.7)		

## BP analyte

### Operative cohort (*n*=473)

Population cohort (*n*=127)

(quarter ng/mL)	OR <sup>c</sup> (95% CI)	OR <sup>d</sup> (95% CI)	OR <sup>c</sup> (95% CI)	OR <sup>d</sup> (95% CI)
4 <sup>th</sup> quartile (0.71-22.40)	0.84 (0.49, 1.42)	0.87 (0.51, 1.48)	1.16 (0.24, 5.66)	1.69 (0.31, 9.21)
Trend test <sup>a</sup>	0.97 (0.82, 1.14)	0.97 (0.82, 1.15)	1.06 (0.65, 1.73)	1.19 (0.71, 1.98)
>Q3 versus <q3 <sup="">b</q3>	0.87 (0.56, 1.36)	0.89 (0.57, 1.38)	1.05 (0.31, 3.58)	1.12 (0.31, 4.01)

<sup>a</sup>Trend test assessed linear trends of BP derivatives across the four intervals defined by the 25<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles.

<sup>b</sup>Women in the highest quartile for each BP derivative were compared with women in the combined first three quartiles.

<sup>c</sup>Odds ratios from unadjusted logistic regressions

<sup>d</sup>Odds ratios from multivariable logistic regressions adjusting for site (Utah, California) and hair color (red, blonde, brown/black)

**8.2.** Urinary Concentrations of Benzophenone-Type Ultraviolet Radiation Filters and Couples' Fecundity

Rationale	Design	Findings	Discussion
501 couples who	Fecundability odds	When FORs were	The strongest signal
were discontinuing	ratios (FORs) and	estimated for each partner	was for males'
use of	95% confidence	separately, 2 UV filters	concentrations of BP-2,
contraceptives in	intervals were	were significantly	which reflected a
order to become	estimated for each	associated with FORs	consistent reduction in
pregnant recruited	UV filter, modeling	below 1, indicative of	fecundability when
for the Longitudinal	each partner's	diminished fecundity or a	partners' concentrations
Investigation of	concentrations	longer time to pregnancy	were modeled
Fertility and the	individually and then	Specifically, BP-2 was	individually or jointly. In
Environment (LIFE)	modeling both	associated with an	addition, when only
Study. Couples	partners'	approximately 31%	males' 4-OH-BP
provided urine	concentrations. UV	reduction in fecundity	concentrations were
specimens and	filter concentrations	(FOR = 0.69, 95%	modeled, 4-OH-BP was
completed daily	were dichotomized	confidence interval (CI):	negatively associated
journals until they	at the 75th	0.50, 0.95), and 4-OH-BP	with fecundability.
either achieved	percentile to assess	was associated with a	
pregnancy or had	more-exposed	26% reduction (FOR =	CONCLUSION: 4-OH-
tried for 12 months.	persons versus less-	0.74, 95% CI: 0.54, 1.00).	BP was associated with
Multiple BP	exposed persons	None of the UV filters	a 26% reduction (FOR
derivatives	relative to couple	measured in females were	= 0.74, 95% CI: 0.54,
measured in urnine	fecundity. FOR	associated with	1.00).
Including 40H-BP.	estimates the odds	fecundability, with the	
	of becoming	exception of BP-8, but	
	pregnant for	only in the creatinine- and	
	partners/couples	age-adjusted model (FOR	
	above the 75th	= 1.34, 95% CI: 1.02,	
	exposure percentile	1.78)	
	relative to those		

below the 75th	
percentile.	

Table 3. Fecundability Odds Ratios According to Urinary Concentrations of Benzophenone-Type Ultraviolet Radiation Filters, by Partner Sex and Model, LIFE Study, 2005–2009<sup>a</sup>

	Female Partners (n = 454)					Male Partners (n = 439)						
UV Filter Unadjuste Model <sup>b</sup>		hadjusted Model <sup>b</sup>	Adjusted Model 1°		Adjusted Model 2 <sup>d</sup>		Unadjusted Model <sup>b</sup>		Adjusted Model 1°		Adjusted Model 2 <sup>d</sup>	
	FOR	95% CI	FOR	95% CI	FOR	95% CI	FOR	95% Cl	FOR	95% CI	FOR	95% CI
BP-1 (2,4-OH-BP)	1.06	0.80, 1.40	1.13	0.85, 1.49	1.02	0.76, 1.37	1.06	0.79, 1.42	1.06	0.79, 1.43	0.97	0.71, 1.32
BP-2 (2,2'4,4'-OH-BP)	0.77	0.57, 1.04	0.81	0.60, 1.10	0.82	0.60, 1.12	0.66 <sup>e</sup>	0.48, 0.90	0.70 <sup>f</sup>	0.51, 0.95	0.69 <sup>f</sup>	0.50, 0.95
BP-3 (2-OH-4-MeO-BP)	1.11	0.83, 1.47	1.21	0.91, 1.62	1.12	0.83, 1.53	1.20	0.90, 1.59	1.20	0.90, 1.59	1.10	0.81, 1.49
BP-8 (2,2'-OH-4-MeO-BP)	1.25	0.95, 1.65	1.34 <sup>1</sup>	1.02, 1.78	1.20	0.89, 1.63	1.39 <sup>f</sup>	1.04, 1.86	1.43 <sup>r</sup>	1.07, 1.91	1.34	0.98, 1.83
4-OH-BP	0.83	0.61, 1.12	0.86	0.63, 1.16	0.77	0.56, 1.06	0.84	0.64, 1.11	0.85	0.65, 1.12	0.74 <sup>1</sup>	0.54, 1.00

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; FOR, fecundability odds ratio; LIFE, Longitudinal Investigation of Fertility and the Environment; 4-OH-BP, 4-hydroxybenzophenone; 2,4-OH-BP, 2,4-dihydroxybenzophenone; 2,2'4,4'-OH-BP, 2,2',4,4'-tetrahydroxybenzophenone; 2-OH-4-MeO-BP, 2-hydroxy-4-methoxybenzophenone; UV, ultraviolet.

<sup>a</sup> Separate models were fitted for each UV filter and partner. Concentrations of UV filters were dichotomized at the 75th percentile, with the group corresponding to lower values serving as the referent. All models accounted for left-truncation or time off contraception.

<sup>b</sup> Adjusted for each partner's UV filter concentration (ng/mL; dichotomized) and urinary creatinine concentration (mg/dL; continuous).

<sup>c</sup> Adjusted for each partner's UV filter concentration (ng/mL; dichotomized), urinary creatinine concentration (mg/dL; continuous), and age (years; continuous).

<sup>d</sup> Adjusted for each partner's UV filter concentration (ng/mL; dichotomized), urinary creatinine concentration (mg/dL; continuous), age (years; continuous), body mass index (categorical; see Table 1), smoking status as defined by serum cotinine level (active exposure, passive exposure, or no exposure; see Table 1), season (winter, spring, summer, or fall), and research site (Michigan or Texas).

<sup>e</sup> P<0.01 (ttest).</p>

P<0.05.

**8.3.** Bisphenol A, benzophenone-type ultraviolet filters, and phthalates in relation to uterine leiomyoma

Rationale	Design	Findings	Discussion
Utilized the	Women with and without fibroids	Significantly higher	CONCLUSION:
Endometriosis,	were compared by various	geometric mean	No association
Natural history,	characteristics using the Chi-	creatinine-corrected	between
Diagnosis, and	square statistics or	concentrations of	urinary 40H-
Outcomes (ENDO)	nonparametric Wilcoxon rank	BPA, 2,4OH-BP, and	BP levels and
Study in which all	sum test for categorical and	2OH-4MeO-BP were	presence of
women underwent	continuous, respectively.	observed in women	fibroids
either a diagnostic	Geometric mean urinary	with than without	
and/or therapeutic	concentrations and	fibroids [BPA: 2.09	
laparoscopy or	accompanying 95% confidence	μg/g vs. 1.46 μg/g	
laparotomy allowing	intervals (Cls) for all chemicals	p=0.004; 2,4OH-	
for the detection of	were compared by fibroid status	BP:11.10 µg/g vs.	
uterine fibroids. 5	using the Wilcoxon test for	6.71 μg/g p=0.01;	
benzophenone-type	assessing significance. Logistic	2OH-4MeO-BP: 11.31	
ultraviolet (UV) filter	regression was used to estimate	μg/g vs. 6.10 μg/g	
metabolites were	the odds of fibroids along with	p=0.01].	
measured 2OH-	95% Cls. Separate models were		
4MeO-BP, 2,4OH-	run for each chemical generating		
BP, 2,2'4,4'OH-BP,	both unadjusted and adjusted		
and 4OH-BP in spot	odds ratios (OR) and		
urine samples.			

	corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI).			
Geometric mean (95% confidence interval) comparison of chemicals by fibroid status (n=473)				

Chemicals (µg/g)	Fibroids (n=99) Geometric Mean (95% CI)	No Fibroids (n=374) Geometric Mean (95% CI)	LOQ value (ng/mL)	% above LOQ/L OD	% of negative & zero values
Benzophenone derivatives					
2,40H-BP	11.1 (7.1, 17.4)	6.7 (5.4, 8.3) <sup>a</sup>	0.08	99	0
4OH-BP	0.2 (0.2, 0.3)	0.3 (0.2, 0.3)	0.08	83	0
20H-4MeO-BP	11.3 (6.4, 20.1)	6.1 (4.6, 8.0) <sup>a</sup>	0.28	91	0

*a* p<0.05

<sup>b</sup>p<0.005

NOTE: All chemicals were creatinine (mg/dL) standardized using the following formula: 100 × chemical (ng/ml)/creatinine (mg/dL). Nonparametric Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to compare chemical concentrations between those with and without fibroids.

8.4. Urinary Concentrations of Benzophenone-Type Ultra Violet Light Filters and Semen Quality

Rationale	Design	Findings	Discussion
413 men	Using linear regression,	BP-2 associated with diminished	CONCLUSION:
provided semen	beta coefficients ( $\beta$ ) and	sperm concen-tration ( $\beta$ =–0.74; CI	No association
and urine	95% Cis for each	-1.41, -0.08), straight (β=-4.57; 95%	between 4OH-
samples, 2005–	chemical dichotomized at	CI −8.95, −0.18) and linear move-	BP and semen
2009. Five UV	the 75 <sup>th</sup> percentile and	ment (β=−3.15; CI −6.01, −0.30),	quality
filters were	Box-Cox transformed	more immature (β=0.38; CI 0.15, 0.62)	
quantified in	semen endpoint were	sperm, and a decreased percentage	
urine: BP-1, BP-	estimated, after adjusting	of other tail abnormalities ( $\beta$ =-0.16; CI	
2, BP-3, and 4-	for age, BMI, cotinine,	−0.31, −0.01). No associations were	
OH-BP.	season, and site.	observed for BP-1, BP-3 or 4OH-BP.	

Semen Quality Endpoint		BP-1		BP-2	BP-3			BP-8		4OH-BP	
	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	
General Characteristics											
Volume (mL)	0.13	-0.04, 0.29	0.04	-0.13, 0.22	0.12	-0.04, 0.28	0.09	-0.08, 0.26	0.04	-0.13, 0.21	
Sperm concentration (×10 <sup>6</sup> /mL)	-0.05	-0.69, 0.59	-0.74	-1.41, -0.08	0.11	-0.53, 0.74	-0.03	-0.68, 0.61	-0.49	-1.16, 0.18	
Total sperm count (x10 <sup>6</sup> /ejaculate)	0.41	-0.55, 1.36	-0.91	-1.91, 0.09	0.59	-0.36, 1.55	0.22	-0.75, 1.18	-0.40	-1.40, 0.61	
Hypo-osmotic swollen (%)	0.22	-2.05, 2.50	-1.75	-4.14, 0.63	-0.13	-2.40, 2.14	-2.57	-4.86, -0.29	-0.34	-2.73, 2.05	
Straw distance (mm)	0.01	-0.13, 0.15	0.02	-0.13, 0.17	0.00	-0.13, 0.14	-0.06	-0.20, 0.08	-0.01	-0.15, 0.14	
Sperm Motility (24 hour)											
Average path velocity (µm/sec)	0.72	-2.05, 3.49	-0.62	-3.53, 2.30	0.33	-2.44, 3.10	-0.63	-3.43, 2.16	1.29	-1.63, 4.20	
Straight line velocity (µm/sec)	0.12	-2.15, 2.40	-0.71	-3.10, 1.69	-0.37	-2.64, 1.91	-1.00	-3.30, 1.30	0.78	-1.61, 3.18	
Curvilinear velocity (µm/sec)	1.92	-2.91, 6.75	-0.27	-5.35, 4.80	1.10	-3.73, 5.93	-1.18	-6.06, 3.70	3.83	-1.24, 8.90	
Amplitude head displacement (µm)	0.01	-0.29, 0.32	0.03	-0.29, 0.35	0.04	1.29, -1.63	-0.02	-0.33, 0.29	0.29	-0.03, 0.61	
Beat cross frequency (Hz)	1.01	-0.52, 2.54	-0.47	-2.08, 1.14	0.67	-0.86, 2.20	-0.98	-2.52, 0.56	0.50	-1.11, 2.11	
Straightness (%)	0.30	-3.89, 4.50	-4.57	-8.95, -0.18	-0.19	1.29, -1.63	-3.51	-7.72, 0.71	-0.89	-5.29, 3.52	
Linearity (%)	0.05	-2.68, 2.78	-3.15	-6.01, -0.30	-0.19	-2.92, 2.54	-2.25	-4.99, 0.49	-1.56	-4.42, 1.30	
Percent motility (%)	-0.23	-0.87, 0.40	-0.31	-0.98, 0.36	-0.36	-1.00, 0.27	-0.37	-1.01, 0.27	-0.30	-0.97, 0.37	
Sperm Head Measurements											
Length (µm)	-0.01	-0.02, 0.01	0.01	-0.01, 0.02	-0.01	-0.02, 0.00	0.00	-0.01, 0.02	0.00	-0.02, 0.01	
Area (µm²)	-0.12	-0.32, 0.08	-0.07	-0.28, 0.14	-0.13	-0.33, 0.07	-0.04	-0.24, 0.16	-0.06	-0.27, 0.15	
Width (µm)	-0.02	-0.06, 0.02	-0.04	-0.08, 0.00	-0.01	-0.05, 0.03	-0.03	-0.08, 0.01	0.00	-0.05, 0.04	
Elongation factor (%)	-0.02	-1.27, 1.23	-1.29	-2.60, 0.01	0.41	-0.84, 1.66	-1.13	-2.39, 0.14	0.00	-1.32, 1.32	
Perimeter (µm)	-0.07	-0.19, 0.05	0.02	-0.10, 0.15	-0.08	-0.20, 0.03	0.01	-0.10, 0.13	-0.04	-0.16, 0.08	
Acrosome area of head (%)	0.59	-0.53, 1.70	-0.82	-1.99, 0.35	0.88	-0.24, 1.99	1.14	0.01, 2.26	-0.01	-1.19, 1.17	
Morphology											
Strict criteria (%) <sup>a</sup>	0.59	-0.47, 1.64	-0.85	-1.99, 0.30	0.40	-0.66, 1.45	-0.08	-1.16, 1.00	0.72	-0.41, 1.86	

Semen Quality Endpoint		BP-1		BP-2		BP-3		BP-8	4	OH-BP
	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	β	95% CI
Traditional normal $(\%)^a$	1.92	-1.18, 5.02	-2.64	-6.00, 0.71	1.46	-1.63, 4.56	-0.14	-3.31, 3.03	1.35	-1.98, 4.68
Amorphous (%)	-0.13	-0.37, 0.12	0.23	-0.04, 0.50	-0.15	-0.40, 0.09	-0.06	-0.32, 0.19	0.02	-0.25, 0.28
Round (%)	-0.02	-0.15, 0.11	0.09	-0.05, 0.23	0.02	-0.11, 0.15	-0.01	-0.15, 0.12	-0.04	-0.18, 0.10
Pyriform (%)	0.03	-0.17, 0.22	0.11	-0.10, 0.32	-0.02	-0.22, 0.17	0.15	-0.05, 0.35	-0.01	-0.23, 0.20
Bicephalic (%)	-0.04	-0.17, 0.10	0.12	-0.03, 0.27	-0.04	-0.17, 0.10	0.00	-0.14, 0.13	-0.03	-0.18, 0.11
Taper (%)	-0.06	-0.22, 0.11	0.09	-0.09, 0.26	-0.09	-0.25, 0.07	0.05	-0.11, 0.22	-0.01	-0.18, 0.17
Megalo head (%)	0.02	-0.10, 0.14	0.11	-0.02, 0.24	-0.02	-0.14, 0.10	0.03	-0.09, 0.15	0.07	-0.06, 0.19
Micro head (%)	-0.02	-0.13, 0.09	0.00	-0.12, 0.12	-0.03	-0.14, 0.08	0.05	-0.06, 0.17	-0.04	-0.16, 0.08
Neck/mid-piece abnormalities (%)	-0.05	-0.14, 0.04	0.05	-0.04, 0.15	-0.02	-0.11, 0.06	0.00	-0.09, 0.09	-0.05	-0.15, 0.05
Coiled tail (%)	0.05	-0.06, 0.15	-0.01	-0.12, 0.11	0.02	-0.09, 0.13	-0.01	-0.12, 0.10	-0.02	-0.13, 0.10
Other tail abnormalities (%)	-0.11	-0.24, 0.03	-0.16	-0.31, -0.01	-0.08	-0.22, 0.06	-0.03	-0.17, 0.11	-0.07	-0.21, 0.08
Cytoplasmic droplet (%)	0.09	-0.17, 0.35	0.09	-0.19, 0.37	0.07	-0.19, 0.33	-0.03	-0.29, 0.24	0.10	-0.18, 0.38
Immature sperm (#)	0.08	-0.14, 0.30	0.38	0.15, 0.62	0.05	-0.17, 0.27	0.01	-0.21, 0.24	0.16	-0.08, 0.40
Sperm Chromatin Stability Assay			_				_		_	
DNA fragmentation index (%)	-0.02	-0.15, 0.11	-0.01	-0.14, 0.13	0.00	-0.13, 0.12	0.09	-0.04, 0.22	-0.04	-0.18, 0.09
High DNA stainability (%)	-0.08	-0.21, 0.06	0.13	-0.01, 0.27	-0.09	-0.22, 0.04	-0.09	-0.23, 0.04	0.01	-0.13, 0.15

**8.5.** Preconception seminal plasma concentrations of endocrine disrupting chemicals in relation to semen quality parameters among male partners planning for pregnancy

Rationale	Design	Findings	Discussion
5 UV filters (BP-1, BP-	Linear mixed-effects models of	BP-2 was	CONCLUSION:
2, BP-3, BP-8, and 4-	EDCs that were log transformed	associated with a	No association
OH-BP) were	and rescaled by their standard	5% reduction in	between 4OH-
quantified in seminal	deviations or dichotomized at	straightness and a	BP and semen
plasma from 339 male	the 75th	3% reduction in	quality
partners who	percentile for each exposure	linearity, when	
participated in a	and outcomes with covariate	measured in both	
prospective pregnancy	adjustment were performed.	urine and seminal	
study. Semen	EDCs in seminal plasma were	plasma. No	
samples underwent	also assessed relative to clinical	association between	
next day analysis	reference values of semen	semen quality and	
using a standardized	quality endpoints using logistic	4OH-BP	
protocol for the	regression or generalized		
quantification of 35	estimating equations.		
endpoints.			

Odds Ratios (95% CI) for seminal plasma chemicals and semen quality parameters <sup>a</sup>.

_															
		Volume		Sperm		Total Count		Sperm Viability		WHO Normal		Strict Normal		DNA Fragmentation	
		(mL) <sup>c</sup> OR (95% CI)	FDR p-value	Concentration (x 10 <sup>6</sup> /m) <sup>e</sup> OR (95% CI)	FDR <b>p-value</b>	(per ejaculate) <sup>e</sup> OR (95% CI)	FDR <b>p-value</b>	(%) <sup>e</sup> OR (95% CI)	FDR <b>p-value</b>	(%) <sup>b</sup> OR (95% CI)	FDR p-value	(%) <sup>b</sup> OR (95% CI)	FDR p-value	(%) <sup>c</sup> OR (95% CI)	FDR <b>p-value</b>
E	Bisphen ol s														
B	<b>BPA</b>	0.71 (0.51, 0.99)	0.33	0.97 (0.73, 1.27)	0.95	0.75 (0.61, 0.91)	0.07	0.91 (0.75, 1.10)	0.67	0.94 (0.74, 1.19)	0.94	6.29 (1.12, 35.5)	0.76	0.85 (0.46, 1.57)	0.80
B	3PF	0.77 (0.53,	0.47	1.32 (0.83, 2.09)	0.63	1.23 (0.83, 1.84)	0.67	1.01 (0.81, 1.25)	0.99	0.89 (0.70, 1.13)	0.80	2.60 (0.78, 8.68)	0.76	0.99 (0.63, 1.56)	0.99
B	BPS	0.80 (0.60, 1.07)	0.47	1.16 (0.73, 1.83)	0.78	1.03 (0.61, 1.75)	0.99	1.11 (0.89, 1.38)	0.67	0.77 (0.60, 0.98)	0.76	1.85 (0.78, 4.35)	0.76	0.89 (0.59, 1.34)	0.79
τ	JV Filters														
E	3P-1	0.63 (0.24, 1.65)	0.60	0.70 (0.28, 1.75)	0.55	1.01 (0.41, 2.51)	0.81	1.08 (0.63, 1.86)	0.97	1.19 (0.70, 2.03)	0.88	3.21 (0.39, 26.1)	0.69	0.63 (0.25, 1.56)	0.55
B	3P-2	2.89 (1.29, 6.49)	0.37	1.12 (0.49, 2.54)	0.97	1.19 (0.50, 2.82)	0.97	1.62 (0.98, 2.66)	0.37	0.95 (0.55, 1.64)	0.95	- e	-	1.28 (0.42, 3.88)	0.84
B	3P - 3	0.55 (0.21, 1.48)	0.55	0.71 (0.29, 1.76)	0.55	0.88 (0.35, 2.24)	0.72	1.13 (0.66, 1.94)	0.91	1.23 (0.72, 2.10)	0.88	3.27 (0.40, 26.6)	0.69	0.63 (0.26, 1.56)	0.55
E	3P - 8 <sup>f</sup>														
4	-OH-BP	1.36 (0.59, 3.15)	0.72	1.53 (0.67, 3.49)	0.60	1.96 (0.84, 4.58)	0.55	1.77 (1.05, 2.99)	0.37	1.60 (0.92, 2.78)	0.69	3.66 (0.45, 29.8)	0.69	1.26 (0.42, 3.74)	0.91

8.6.	Maternal urinary	benzophenones and	l infant birth size:	Identifying crit	ical windows o	f exposure
	,			, ,		

Rationale	Design	Findings	Discussion
BP-1, BP-3 and 4-OH-BP	Birth weight and length were	No significant	CONCLUSI
were measured in maternal	continuous variables in the	association was	ON: No
urine from first, second,	generalized estimating equation	found between	association
and third trimester (847).	(GEE) models with a linear	maternal urinary	between
Birth weight and length	function. In the final multiple	levels of BPs with	4OH-BP
were measured at time of	linear regression model, the	birth weight in all	urinary
delivery. Information on	following potential confounders	newborns, and also	levels and
maternal age, parity,	were included: gestational age,	in boys after	birth weight
weight at delivery, birth	pregnancy weight gain (kg),	stratification by infant	and length.
date, infant sex, and	prepregnancy body mass index,	sex. In girls, each log	
gestational age at delivery	parity, maternal education,	unit increase in	
were collected.	passive smoking, paternal	maternal urinary BP-	
Information on maternal	height (cm), and infant sex	1 and BP-3	
demographic	(except in models stratified by	concentrations in the	
characteristics (age,	sex). In a stratified analysis by	3rd trimester were	
educational levels, and	infant sex, the interaction term	associated with	
ethnicity), socioeconomic	between infant sex and	decreases in birth	
factors (annual family	exposure to BPs was added into	weight by 27.99 g	
income) and lifestyle	the model to assess the	(95% CI: -50.66, -	
(consumption of tobacco	potential modification effects of	5.31), and 19.75 g	
and alcohol) was collected.	infant sex.	(95% CI: -37.31, -	
-		2.19), respectively.	

#### Table 3

Regression coefficients [β (95% CI)] for associations of In-transformed SG-adjusted concentrations of benzophenones (ng/mL) in three trimesters with birth weight.

Birth Weight	All (n = 847)	Boys (n = 445)	Girls (n = 402)	p <sup>c</sup> -value
	Adjusted $\beta^a$ (95% CI)	Adjusted $\beta^{b}$ (95% CI)	Adjusted $\beta^{b}$ (95% CI)	
InBP-1				
1st trimester	-13.19 (-26.58, 0.20)	-16.82 (-36.99, 3.35)	-10.88(-28.71, 6.95)	0.64
2nd trimester	-6.77 (-21.44, 7.90)	-3.34 (-23.94, 17.26)	-10.15 (-31.00, 10.70)	0.72
3rd trimester	-11.37(-26.46, 3.71)	1.40 (-18.82, 21.62)	$-27.99(-50.66, -5.31)^{*}$	0.07
p <sup>d</sup> -value	0.81	0.43	0.43	
InBP-3				
1st trimester	-7.79 (-19.23, 3.66)	-8.77 (-25.45, 7.91)	-7.37 (-23.06, 8.33)	0.91
2nd trimester	-4.98 (-16.86, 6.91)	-5.92 (-22.52, 10.68)	-4.84 (-21.86, 12.18)	0.78
3rd trimester	-9.48 (-21.48, 2.52)	-2.18 (-18.64, 14.28)	$-19.75 \left(-37.31, -2.19 ight)^{*}$	0.17
p <sup>d</sup> -value	0.87	0.86	0.52	
In4-OH-BP				
1st trimester	-13.97 (-32.94, 5.00)	-9.90 (-37.05, 17.24)	-17.84 (-44.32, 8.64)	0.68
2nd trimester	-17.85 (-37.14, 1.44)	-12.70 (-39.72, 14.33)	-22.22 (-49.74, 5.30)	0.71
3rd trimester	-14.14(-33.18, 4.89)	-2.76 (-29.59, 24.07)	-26.16 (-53.12, 0.79)	0.31
p <sup>d</sup> -value	0.95	0.87	0.91	
InBP sum				
1st trimester	-7.19 (-24.80, 10.42)	-12.58 (-39.03, 13.86)	-7.25 (-30.85, 16.35)	0.90
2nd trimester	-5.70(-34.31, 22.91)	-11.43(-61.77, 38.91)	-4.23 (-38.76, 30.29)	0.90
3rd trimester	14.95 (-21.00, 50.90)	46.95 (-30.93, 124.83)	5.98 (-34.16, 46.11)	0.37
p <sup>d</sup> -value	0.55	0.36	0.86	

Table 4

Regression coefficients [\$ (95% CI)] for associations of In-transformed SG-adjusted concentrations of benzophenones (ng/mL) in three trimesters with birth length.

Birth length	All (n = 847)	Boys (n = 445)	Girls (n = 402)	$p^{c}_{-value}$
	Adjusted β <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	Adjusted $\beta^{b}$ (95% CI)	Adjusted $\beta^{b}$ (95% CI)	
InBP-1				
1st trimester	$-0.06(-0.11, -0.01)^{*}$	$-0.10(-0.17, -0.03)^{**}$	-0.03(-0.09, 0.04)	0.11
2nd trimester	-0.01 (-0.07, 0.04)	-0.02 (-0.10, 0.06)	0.00 (-0.07, 0.08)	0.67
3rd trimester	-0.04 (-0.10, 0.01)	0.00 (-0.08, 0.07)	$-0.08$ $(-0.17, 0.00)^{*}$	0.20
p <sup>d</sup> -value	0.42	0.13	0.38	
InBP-3				
1st trimester	-0.03 (-0.08, 0.01)	-0.05 (-0.11, 0.01)	-0.02 (-0.07, 0.04)	0.35
2nd trimester	-0.02 (-0.06, 0.02)	-0.01 (-0.07, 0.05)	-0.03 (-0.09, 0.03)	0.76
3rd trimester	-0.03 (-0.08, 0.01)	0.02 (-0.05, 0.08)	$-0.08(-0.15, -0.02)^{*}$	0.04
p <sup>d</sup> -value	0.93	0.29	0.50	
In4-OH-BP				
1st trimester	$-0.08(-0.15, -0.01)^{*}$	-0.09(-0.19, 0.01)	-0.05 (-0.15, 0.05)	0.51
2nd trimester	-0.06 (-0.13, 0.01)	-0.09(-0.19, 0.01)	-0.03 (-0.13, 0.07)	0.50
3rd trimester	-0.05 (-0.12, 0.02)	-0.02 (-0.12, 0.08)	-0.07 (-0.16, 0.03)	0.59
p <sup>d</sup> -value	0.83	0.53	0.85	
InBP sum				
1st trimester	0.02 (-0.04, 0.09)	0.02(-0.08, 0.12)	0.02 (-0.07, 0.10)	0.84
2nd trimester	0.03 (-0.07, 0.14)	0.01 (-0.17, 0.20)	0.03 (-0.09, 0.16)	0.96
3rd trimester	0.08 (-0.06, 0.21)	0.13 (-0.16, 0.41)	0.07 (-0.08, 0.21)	0.75
p <sup>d</sup> -value	0.74	0.76	0.84	

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval. \*p-value < 0.05, \*\*p-value < 0.01.

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted for pre-pregnancy body mass index, pregnancy weight gain, gestational age, parity, maternal education, paternal height, passive smoking, and infant sex.

<sup>b</sup> Adjusted as model A expect for infant sex.

<sup>c</sup> *p* values for interaction between In-transformed specific gravity adjusted urinary benzophenones concentrations and infant sex. <sup>d</sup> Score test of homogeneity of estimates in three trimesters.